The attached transcript, while an accurate recording of evidence given in the course of the hearing day, is not proofread prior to circulation and thus may contain minor errors.

2014 HAZELWOOD MINE FIRE INQUIRY

MORWELL

WEDNESDAY, 28 MAY 2014

(3rd day of hearing)

BEFORE:

THE HONOURABLE BERNARD TEAGUE AO - Chairman PROFESSOR EMERITUS JOHN CATFORD - Board Member MS SONIA PETERING - Board Member

MERRILL CORPORATION AUSTRALIA PTY LTD 4/190 Queen Street, Melbourne Telephone: 8628 5555 Facsimile: 9642 5185

1 MS RICHARDS: Good morning. We have a little bit of tidying 2 up from yesterday. Mr Riordan does have a few questions for Mr Harkins but, before he returns to the 3 4 witness box, I'd just to formally incorporate the video footage that Mr Mauger took of the aircraft dropping 5 10.03AM retardant on various fires within the mine on the 6 7 afternoon of the 9th as part of exhibit 8 and we have 8 it here. 9 10 #EXHIBIT 8 - (Addition) Video footage produced by Mr Mauger. 10.04AM 11 12 <STEVEN WILLIAM HARKINS, recalled:</pre> 13 <CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR RIORDAN: Mr Harkins, you were asked questions yesterday about your 14 15 statement, in particular an email sent on 8 February 10.04AM 16 from Mr Roach which is on page 46 of your statement, 17 possibly page 46 of the statement could be brought up. 18 It's Annexure 7. 19 At the bottom of page 47 it shows - it's a message 20 from ICC Traralgon at 5.24 but I think it's generally 10.05AM accepted that it's probably a glitch and it's probably 21 4.24 summer time. Correct?---Correct . 22 23 In fairness, it does say 5.24 AEDT which is Australian 24 Eastern Daylight Time - - -?---Correct. - - - without an message, and then at 4.29, five minutes 25 10.06AM 26 later, Nick Demetrios sent that through to you and Mark Nash?---No, he sent it to Alan Roach and Mark Nash. 27 28 Sorry, Alan Roach and Mark Nash?---Correct. 29 He said that this is the latest mapping from the Phoenix model at 1 hours tomorrow night. Worst case scenario, 30 10.06AM 31 this may be the fire protection, and you read that?---I

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 347 MR HARKINS XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | did. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | What did you understand 1 hours tomorrow night to mean?I | |
| 3 | thought it meant that it would be 1 a.m. Monday | |
| 4 | morning. | |
| 5 | If I could take you then to page 49 then which is the actual | 10.06AM |
| 6 | document, being the model I should say. Did you look | |
| 7 | at that model?I did on my Blackberry, yes. | |
| 8 | Did you notice in the top left corner the time that was | |
| 9 | noted was 2/10/2014 at 1.59 a.m.?No, I did not. | |
| 10 | Do you see it there now?Just. | 10.07AM |
| 11 | You'd accept, would you not, that the 2/10/2014 is the | |
| 12 | American dating system, a reference to 10/2/2014 as we | |
| 13 | would write it?10th February, yes. | |
| 14 | 10th February was the Monday, was it not?Correct. | |
| 15 | And 1.51 a.m., rather than as suggested in the letter | 10.07AM |
| 16 | 1 a.m.?Correct, yes. | |
| 17 | Was that a distinction that you noted at the time?No, | |
| 18 | because I didn't see that particular piece of writing | |
| 19 | in the top left-hand corner. | |
| 20 | At any event, you accepted it as being for the worst case | 10.08AM |
| 21 | scenario for 1 a.m. on Monday?That's correct, that's | |
| 22 | what was in the email. | |
| 23 | Mr Roach sent that on to you at 5.35 on Saturday the | |
| 24 | 8th?Correct. | |
| 25 | At 6.41, I should say?Correct, yes. | 10.08AM |
| 26 | The 5.35 seems to be sending it to himself?Yes. | |
| 27 | So at 6.41 it's sent to you?Yes. | |
| 28 | With the message which confirms that it's got a timestamp of | |
| 29 | 1 a.m. on Monday morning?Correct. | |
| 30 | He said that he would spend some time you tomorrow to get a | 10.09AM |
| 31 | better real-time modelling as the day goes by, "I just | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 348 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | wanted to keep you in the loop. I've not shared this | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | info with Rob Dugan or Dave Shanahan as yet. I prefer | |
| 3 | to see and wait what the weather due. The wind is due | |
| 4 | around lunchtime tomorrow." You read that at the | |
| 5 | time?I did. | 10.09AM |
| 6 | You have given evidence in response to a question from the | |
| 7 | Professor that, as far as you were concerned, this was | |
| 8 | just for your information, you were not the gateway of | |
| 9 | the information?No, this is - just wanted to keep | |
| 10 | you in the loop and, if it was for broader | 10.09AM |
| 11 | dissemination, Alan would do that. | |
| 12 | So he wasn't requesting your permission not to forward it on | |
| 13 | to Dugan or Shanahan at that time?No, not at all. | |
| 14 | Mr Roach is now supposed to be called so he can be asked the | |
| 15 | question as to why it is, but did you get back to | 10.09AM |
| 16 | Mr Roach to say whether or not this was acceptable to | |
| 17 | behave in the way he had indicated to you?I | |
| 18 | responded in an email which indicated, from my reading, | |
| 19 | that suggested that there would be some sort of attack | |
| 20 | on the northern batters at 1 a.m. on Monday morning, is | 10.10AM |
| 21 | that correct; Alan then rang me after receipt of my | |
| 22 | email. | |
| 23 | Were you comfortable with his approach of holding that | |
| 24 | information from Dugan and Shanahan at that stage to | |
| 25 | enable him to spend some time with Nick | 10.10AM |
| 26 | Demetrios?Yes, I was. | |
| 27 | Why was that?Because at this stage what I've got is a | |
| 28 | 1 a.m. model for Monday morning and that Alan was going | |
| 29 | to follow-up Nick Demetrios on Sunday morning and that | |
| 30 | seemed to be a reasonable response to a model that, as | 10.10AM |
| 31 | I said, whilst I understood what the dots meant, I | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 349 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | didn't understand the basis or where this model comes | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | from. | |
| 3 | The next morning you say that you were watching your | |
| 4 | emails?Correct. | |
| 5 | Why was that?Well, I was waiting on any further advice | 10.11AM |
| 6 | and any other information regarding the day. | |
| 7 | If the witness could be shown the attachment to the witness | |
| 8 | statement of Mr Jeremiah which is document | |
| 9 | CFA.0009.001.0001.PDF. There should be two | |
| 10 | pages there?Yes. | 10.11AM |
| 11 | The first page, do you see that modelling?I do. | |
| 12 | Was this document provided to you at the time?No. I | |
| 13 | haven't seen this. | |
| 14 | Do you see that it similarly shows the fire in a sense | |
| 15 | extending from Hernes Oak across towards the northern | 10.12AM |
| 16 | batters of the mine?Yes, I do. | |
| 17 | This one's showing this is the position at 9 a.m. on Sunday, | |
| 18 | 9 February 2014. Do you see that?I do. | |
| 19 | If you had of received that piece of modelling, are you able | |
| 20 | to indicate to the Board whether your reaction would | 10.12AM |
| 21 | have been the same to Mr Roach's suggestion?I can | |
| 22 | indicate that it certainly wouldn't have been. If I | |
| 23 | received | |
| 24 | Explain to the Board why it would be different?As I | |
| 25 | understand it this would suggest that at 9 o'clock on | 10.12AM |
| 26 | Sunday morning there would be substantial fire activity | |
| 27 | around and from the red dot spotting into the mine. | |
| 28 | Even if it was indicated to be the worst-case scenario as | |
| 29 | the one for?The 9 o'clock in the morning would | |
| 30 | worry me. I mean, I would immediately call Alan; I | 10.13AM |
| 31 | wouldn't have sent back an email and suggested that I | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 350 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 want more information. I would have expected that, if I'd have received this from Alan, sorry, Mr Roach, he 2 would have widely disseminated this particular piece of 3 4 information, which is usual practice, and I would have 5 been immediately in contact with the Asset Manager. 10.13AM 6 Are you the appropriate person to ask whether any different 7 action might have been prompted by the receipt of this 8 information?---Partially. I can say that, in terms of a serious incident, I'm the Serious Incident Management 9 10 Team Manager, so what would be the most likely outcome 10.13AM with this information is that I would have a discussion 11 12 with George Graham, the Asset Manager, confirm that he has seen this particular model and then suggest that 13 14 the Executive meet to consider what our response would 15 be to this. 10.14AM 16 You've given evidence that the mine was already on high 17 alert; is that correct?---Well, it was in the state of 18 fire preparedness, so it was already executing a plan 19 in accordance with the document put out by Mr Shanahan 20 on the Friday. 10.14AM Are you able to give the Board any idea what, if any, action 21 could have been considered by consultation with 22 23 others?---Certainly the Executive would meet with 24 Mr Shanahan and a few others down at the mine to look at our current preparedness given this information, 25 10.14AM 26 which seems to suggest that it was imminent that there was going to be a lot more fire activity; we'd review 27 28 what resources in terms of equipment was available and we would expect that the emergency command structures 29 would certainly be identified and we'd take action to 30 10.15AM 31 make sure that pre-emptively we would have an Emergency

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 351 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

Commander and necessary support in place well before 9 a.m. on Sunday morning.

1

2

- Could I then show you the next page which is a different
 document in the sense that you'll notice a couple of
 differences such as it doesn't show the fire as quite 10.15AM
 extending to the northern batters but it's an 11 a.m.
 document on Sunday the 9th?---Correct.
- 8 If you had of received that document, are you able to say whether you would have responded any differently to 9 10 Mr Roach's email than what you did?---As I said with 10.16AM 11 the previous one, if Alan had received this, I would 12 expect it to be widely disseminated; I would certainly discuss with the Asset Manager what our response to 13 that would be because it seems to suggest quite a bit 14 15 of spotting on the northern side and the western side 10.16AM 16 of the mine, and to review our current preparedness 17 activities.
- 18 Could I ask you to have a look at this document, please.
 19 I'll hand up two for the Board. If you might go to
 20 Annexure 5 which is in paragraph 27. There you refer 10.16AM
 21 to emails of a safety blimp on 7 February 2014?---Yes.
 22 Or rather, prepared on that day?---Correct.
- You say the emails consisted of the mine preparedness plan
 and the safety blimp for Saturday, 8 February and
 Sunday, 9 February, circulated. Do you see that?---I 10.17AM
 do.
- Annexure 5, without taking you there, only includes the one for the safety link for Saturday, but the document I've handed you, can you tell me what that document is?---Yes, that is an email from my Internal Communications Manager, safety blimp for Sunday,

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 352 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR HARKINS XXN BY MR RIORDAN 10.18AM

| 1 | 9 February. Total fire ban. | |
|--------|---|---------|
| 2 | If it's convenient to the Board, could that be included as | |
| 3 | part of Annexure 5 in this witness statement? | |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN: Yes. | |
| 5 | | 10.18AM |
| 6 7 | #ANNEXURE 5 - (Addition) Email from Internal Communications Manager safety blimp for Sunday, 9 February. | |
| 8 | MR RIORDAN: At the end of yesterday you were asked some | |
| 9 | questions by Dr Wilson as part of paragraph 93 of your | |
| 10 | affidavit. Do you have it there?Yes. | 10.18AM |
| 11 | I might ask you to read, if you could to yourself, | |
| 12 | paragraphs 92 and 93?Yes. | |
| 13 | What Dr Wilson asked you at transcript page 344 was, "And at | |
| 14 | 8 p.m., you tell us in paragraph 93 that the mine | |
| 15 | arranged for a gravity fed pipeline from Loy Yang to | 04.40PM |
| 16 | be charged. Do you recall saying that in | |
| 17 | paragraph 93?Yes, that was my advice."?Correct. | |
| 18 | In paragraph 93 you don't refer to any time. Do you know | |
| 19 | what time the mine did arrange for the gravity fed | |
| 20 | pipeline from Loy Yang to be charged?The actual time | 10.19AM |
| 21 | I don't know, that would be a matter for the Emergency | |
| 22 | Commander. | |
| 23 | Who was the Emergency Commander at the relevant | |
| 24 | time?Romeo Prezioso. | |
| 25 | He's to be called so he we might be able to take it up with | 10.19AM |
| 26 | him. Just for clarity, you do refer in paragraph 93 | |
| 27 | that at approximately 8 p.m. other things occurred. | |
| 28 | Were you intending to indicate at paragraph 93 that | |
| 29 | that did happen at approximately 8 p.m.?That was not | |
| 30 | my intent. | 10.20AM |
| 31 | They are the questions I wanted to ask this witness. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 353 MR HARKINS XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN 1

<RE-EXAMINED BY MS RICHARDS:

2 Thank you, Mr Harkins, just a couple of questions before we 3 let you go for the moment. You've been asked a number 4 of questions about the Phoenix map that you saw and some Phoenix maps that you didn't see?---Yes. 5 10.20AM 6 Your evidence this morning is that, had you seen the maps 7 that you didn't see, your reaction would have been radically different from your reaction to the map that 8 you did see?---Correct. 9 What are the critical differences between those two 10 10.20AM 11 maps?---I think that the 1 a.m. Monday morning, as I 12 understood it as I was reading it, was suggesting that there was a worst-case scenario, which was the words in 13 14 the email, that at 1 a.m. Monday morning there could be 15 a fire spread towards the northern batters, so it was 10.21AM 16 reasonable in discussion with Alan Roach for him to 17 seek further information on that model. Alternatively, 18 the other two that I have only just seen would suggest 19 that at 9 a.m. on Sunday morning there's extensive fire 20 activity around and in the mine; that would cause 10.21AM 21 significant alarm both to Alan, who would widely 22 distribute it, and to myself. 23 We'll ask him that. But in terms of your own reaction, when 24 was the first time you saw those maps that are annexed to Mr Jeremiah's statement?---I saw those, I believe, 25 10.21AM 26 late last night. In conference with your counsel?---That's correct. 27 28 Mr Jeremiah's evidence will be that, and he was the Incident 29 Controller at the time, that those maps that you only

saw for the first time last night were in fact provided 30 10.22AM 31 to the Central Gippsland Essential Industries Group and

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 354 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

MR HARKINS RE-XN BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | one would have expected that they would be passed on to | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Mr Roach. Have you made any enquiries of Mr Roach as | |
| 3 | to whether he in fact received that second lot of | |
| 4 | maps?I have spoken with Mr Roach and he's confirmed | |
| 5 | that he did not receive those maps. | 10.22AM |
| 6 | No further questions, thank you. If Mr Harkins may be | |
| 7 | excused for the moment. | |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. | |
| 9 | <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW) | |
| 10 | MS RICHARDS: The next witness is Romeo Prezioso. I call | 10.22AM |
| 11 | Mr Prezioso. | |
| 12 | < <u>ROMEO JOSEPH PREZIOSO</u> , sworn and examined: | |
| 13 | MS RICHARDS: Good morning, Mr Prezioso?Morning. | |
| 14 | Could you please start by telling us your full name and your | |
| 15 | address?Romeo Joseph Prezioso, 2 Parklands Place, | 10.24AM |
| 16 | Morwell. | |
| 17 | You're here today in response to a summons?Correct. | |
| 18 | For that reason we have no witness statement for you to | |
| 19 | adopt?That's right. | |
| 20 | You're employed at the Hazelwood Mine as a Senior Mine | 10.24AM |
| 21 | Planner; is that correct?That's correct. | |
| 22 | How many years have you been employed at the mine?Nearly | |
| 23 | 30 years now. | |
| 24 | So, back in the SECV days?Yes. | |
| 25 | Can you describe what roles you've performed at the mine | 10.24AM |
| 26 | during that time?I started as a Mine Planner, moved | |
| 27 | into the production side, coordinator, then moved into | |
| 28 | a Fire Service role as a Fire Service Officer for a | |
| 29 | number of years, then moved back across to the planning | |
| 30 | side where, Mine Planning Superintendent and now I'm | 10.25AM |
| 31 | Senior Mine Planner. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 355 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | The time that you spent as a Fire Service Officer, | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | approximately how long was that and at | |
| 3 | what?About a six year period. | |
| 4 | Between what dates?Around 2005 through to 2010, 2011. | |
| 5 | So you were a Fire Service Officer during the time of | 10.25AM |
| 6 | the December 2005 fire?Yes, sure was. | |
| 7 | And the October 2006 fire?Correct. | |
| 8 | And also the fire in 2008?Yes. | |
| 9 | I take it from that, that you're familiar with the mine's | |
| 10 | Emergency Response Plan?I am, yes. | 10.25AM |
| 11 | That we've spent some time looking at over the last couple | |
| 12 | of days?Correct. | |
| 13 | You have a nominated role within that plan, do you | |
| 14 | not?Correct. | |
| 15 | You're an Emergency Services Liaison Officer?That's | 10.25AM |
| 16 | correct. | |
| 17 | It's the case, isn't it, that there is always an Emergency | |
| 18 | Services Liaison Officer rostered on duty?That's | |
| 19 | correct. | |
| 20 | And you were rostered on duty no you weren't rostered | 10.26AM |
| 21 | on duty on the Sunday, were you, that was Mr Roach | |
| 22 | fulfilling that role?Alan Roach, yes. | |
| 23 | Can you tell the Board about your previous experience in | |
| 24 | implementing the Emergency Response Plan when there was | |
| 25 | an actual emergency in the mine and what roles you've | 10.26AM |
| 26 | taken?With the 2006 it's probably a significant fire | |
| 27 | we had back then. I played probably an assisting role | |
| 28 | to the Emergency Commander, more of a logistics side of | |
| 29 | things in that role for the period of that fire. | |
| 30 | That fire went on for three to four days?It was a bit | 10.26AM |
| 31 | longer than that, it was about a week, week or more; | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 356 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | might have been two weeks actually. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | So you were effectively second in command to the Emergency | |
| 3 | Commander during the 2006 fire?Assisting, yes. | |
| 4 | In any other actual fires as opposed to exercises; have you | |
| 5 | taken up a role in that emergency command | 10.27AM |
| 6 | structure?Similar roles in 2008, roles in emergency | |
| 7 | command, an arrangement where we've had some protestors | |
| 8 | on site as well, where they've run the Emergency | |
| 9 | Command Building, I've taken on a role there as | |
| 10 | Emergency Commander through periods. | 10.27AM |
| 11 | Were they protestors outside the gate?Correct. | |
| 12 | Or protestors who'd actually entered into the perimeter of | |
| 13 | the mine?No, outside the gate. | |
| 14 | So that was in anticipation of an emergency rather | |
| 15 | than?Correct. We were running patrols day and night | 10.27AM |
| 16 | basically. | |
| 17 | That was a planned protest a few years ago, wasn't | |
| 18 | it?Yes, it was on a regular basis annually for a | |
| 19 | period of time. | |
| 20 | And the mine had a full emergency command structure in place | 10.28AM |
| 21 | when the protest was expected to happen?Yes, leading | |
| 22 | up to the protest. | |
| 23 | Leading up to the protest?And the actual protest. | |
| 24 | And so there was a nominated Emergency Commander on site | |
| 25 | ready to respond?In that particular case, yes. | 10.28AM |
| 26 | Under the Emergency Response Plan in its current form, there | |
| 27 | are a number of people who are nominated to be | |
| 28 | Emergency Commanders?Correct. | |
| 29 | Should an emergency occur, and the most senior of those is | |
| 30 | Gary Wilkinson, the director of the mine. Then the | 10.28AM |
| 31 | next person or the person who will always be on site is | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 357 MR PRE Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS

| 1 | the Mine Shift Supervisor?That's right. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | So there will always be a Mine Shift Supervisor although | |
| 3 | that person would change depending on the shift?Yes. | |
| 4 | Also Mr Dugan is nominated as Emergency Commander?Yes. | |
| 5 | And also Stan Kemsley who's a Technical Compliance Manager | 10.28AM |
| 6 | in the mine?Compliance Manager, yes. | |
| 7 | On 9 February Mr Wilkinson was absent on leave in | |
| 8 | Queensland, was he not?Correct, yes. | |
| 9 | Mr Dugan had also just commenced leave?Correct. | |
| 10 | And Mr Kemsley was also not on site that day?That's | 10.29AM |
| 11 | right. | |
| 12 | So that left the person who would be the Emergency Commander | |
| 13 | if anything happened as the mine shift | |
| 14 | supervisor?That's right. | |
| 15 | Had anyone spoken to you before Sunday, 9 February about | 10.30AM |
| 16 | whether you would be available to assume the role of | |
| 17 | Emergency Commander if needed?Not in that respect, | |
| 18 | no. | |
| 19 | Because your nominated role under the Emergency Management | |
| 20 | Plan or Emergency Response Plan is ESLO?Correct. | 10.30AM |
| 21 | And there was already one rostered on for the 9th, Mr Roach | |
| 22 | ?That's correct. | |
| 23 | You as I understand it were not rostered to work on the | |
| 24 | Sunday?That's correct. | |
| 25 | Your role as a Senior Mine Planner is a five day a week | 10.30AM |
| 26 | role, Monday to Friday?That's right, Monday to | |
| 27 | Friday. | |
| 28 | So you wouldn't normally be at work on a Sunday?No. | |
| 29 | But you came into the mine of your own accord at some | |
| 30 | stage?That's right. | 10.30AM |
| 31 | When was that?I entered the mine probably around 1.20. | |
| | .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 358 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS | |

| 1 | Why did you come in?Basically, seen a plume of smoke | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | living in Morwell, I pulled out of the driveway, I was | |
| 3 | actually headed to Melbourne, I saw the smoke and I got | |
| 4 | to a point on the west side of Morwell where I could | |
| 5 | see the smoke and the fire getting worse; at that point | 10.30AM |
| 6 | in time I just sort of stationed myself there waiting | |
| 7 | to see what would occur, knowing that this could have a | |
| 8 | real impact on our mine. | |
| 9 | So this was the Hernes Oak Fire at that time?That's | |
| 10 | correct. | 10.30AM |
| 11 | You really had to drive past that to get to Melbourne, | |
| 12 | didn't you?Yes. | |
| 13 | You came in just to see if you were needed, was | |
| 14 | that?No, I was actually sitting there watching | |
| 15 | the fire, I actually turned my radio on in my vehicle, | 10.31AM |
| 16 | so I was in contact with the guys in the mine as well, | |
| 17 | so I was monitoring what they were doing on the radio. | |
| 18 | I actually had discussions on the phone with a couple | |
| 19 | of those guys, Dean Suares, and asking him what the | |
| 20 | circumstance was at the moment. I actually got in | 10.31AM |
| 21 | touch with James Faithfull as well who was the acting | |
| 22 | Director of Mining at the time and just updating him on | |
| 23 | the situation at hand. | |
| 24 | And he was not in Morwell at the time, was he?No, he | |
| 25 | wasn't. | 10.31AM |
| 26 | So, did Mr Faithfull ask you to go to the mine or did you | |
| 27 | just decide to do that of your own accord?No, I | |
| 28 | decided to do that. | |
| 29 | What were you expecting to do when you arrived?In the | |
| 30 | mine? | 10.31AM |
| 31 | Yes?Assist where needed basically. I notified the guys | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 359 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | that I was on site. I actually entered the site and | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | went to the Control Centre building and let those guys | |
| 3 | know that I was actually on site. At this point in | |
| 4 | time we had spotting occurring in the mine. | |
| 5 | Just to be clear, you arrived at the mine at about?It | 10.32AM |
| 6 | must have been about 1.20 from my recollection, yes. | |
| 7 | So this was?I actually spoke to Alan Roach as well | |
| 8 | letting him know I was on site; if he needed assistance | |
| 9 | I was available. | |
| 10 | So he could have back up as an Emergency Services Liaison | 10.32AM |
| 11 | Officer if he needed it?Yes. | |
| 12 | At the time you arrived the only fire that was threatening | |
| 13 | the mine was the Hernes Oak Fire?That's right - no | |
| 14 | actually, the Driffield Fire had started at that stage. | |
| 15 | Other evidence suggests that the Driffield Fire didn't break | 10.32AM |
| 16 | out until 1.30, 20 to 2. So, do you have a different | |
| 17 | understanding of the timeline?Yes. I've got some | |
| 18 | notes. | |
| 19 | If they assist. I might ask to look at them?That's fine. | |
| 20 | I'm just trying to get my times right, it was nearly | 10.33AM |
| 21 | four months ago. So I would have come in, it would | |
| 22 | have been later than that. | |
| 23 | So, as best you recall at the time that you arrived the | |
| 24 | Driffield Fire fire was?I did know the | |
| 25 | Driffield Fire was occurring and the reason I knew that | 10.33AM |
| 26 | was where I was positioned on the west side of Morwell, | |
| 27 | one of the CFA tankers came through, he actually | |
| 28 | stopped, I knew the guys on the tanker and warned me - | |
| 29 | one was an ex-mine employee and he said, "You realise | |
| 30 | that the Driffield Fire's just broken out?" And I | 10.33AM |
| 31 | said, "No, that's not good." At that point in time, | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 360 MR Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY

| 1 | that's when I heard of the Driffield. So, if the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Driffield Fire didn't break out until 1.30, yes, | |
| 3 | obviously I've got my times mixed up. | |
| 4 | So, you arrived at the mine and you're quite sure that the | |
| 5 | Driffield Fire was already burning by the time you got | 10.34AM |
| 6 | there?Yes. | |
| 7 | So that might put it closer to 2 o'clock rather than | |
| 8 | 1.30?Yes. | |
| 9 | When you arrived, you say you reported to some people that | |
| 10 | you were there; who did you contact to let know that | 10.34AM |
| 11 | you were there and available?I rang Dean Suares on | |
| 12 | the phone to let him know I was coming in; I went to | |
| 13 | the Control Centre, 2x12 operators, I was going to talk | |
| 14 | to Dan Wilkinson who was out dealing with spot fires at | |
| 15 | the time, to let the Control Centre Attendant I was on | 10.34AM |
| 16 | site and available if required. Basically, they were | |
| 17 | the initial few people I notified. | |
| 18 | Was there anybody in the Control Centre who had taken charge | |
| 19 | at that time when you arrived?At this point in time | |
| 20 | we had the Control Centre Attendant there. Ian | 10.35AM |
| 21 | Wilkinson would have been in charge but he would have | |
| 22 | been dealing with the fires out in the field, assessing | |
| 23 | the fires. | |
| 24 | By this time there was more than one fire or only one | |
| 25 | fire?Yes, I actually heard on the radio that they'd | 10.35AM |
| 26 | spotted two areas, and as I got into the mine there was | |
| 27 | a third area that was reported which was on the floor. | |
| 28 | Then at some stage you left the Control Centre to go out | |
| 29 | into the mine?Yes, that's right. | |
| 30 | What did you do?I did a visual, I drove through the base | 10.35AM |
| 31 | of the mine. I could see the northern area where the | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 361 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

fire had started in that spot there. I could see a
fixed wing plane attempting to put that out, and also
I'm pretty sure there was a chopper came in as well
assisting that. I drove along and as I got to the
northern batters I looked across and I could see the 10.35AM
fire spotting in the southern area, southern outlet.
As I drove further down - - -

8 There's a very good quality map up there, Mr Prezioso, perhaps you could point out where you were driving?---I 9 10 was driving through the middle here, down here, so I 10.36AM could see the northern fire there. As I got further 11 12 across to, trying to get my bearings, across the northern batters and driving up along these ramps I 13 could see the fire in this area as well up high. 14 15 You mentioned fire in the northern batters, approximately 10.36AM 16 where was that?---About there. That looks right. 17 Was there any sign of fire in the working part of the mine 18 at that time?---Not in the working part, but I did also 19 see another spot fire occurring down in this area which 20 is in the floor, the overburden dump. 10.37AM So on that tour of inspection that you took, there were at 21 least three separate fires that you saw?---Yes, by the 22 23 time I was in there. 24 Having done that, what did you then do?---I actually called 2x12 guys, it might have been Ian Wilkinson at the 25 10.37AM 26 time. I recall asking if they could get a dozer down there on that area to try and smother out the floor at 27

least to get the guys operating on the stacker, which
we have a dumping area here in the stacker. I'm in the
wrong area, sorry. That's the area there. That's the
conveyor, dumps the material, overburden we call it.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 362 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 So there was spotting down around here, so we had 2 dozers down there with people on those dozers, so I asked them if they could get the dozer out there and 3 4 start smothering that out. Do you know if they were able to do that?---They did make 5 10.37AM attempts to get to it. It's just difficult terrain; 6 7 it's actually a dump so it's not easy access to get to 8 that. So they weren't actually able to suppress the fire that 9 way?---They did get there eventually. 10 10.38AM 11 They did?---Yes. 12 So approximately what time is it now?---It's hard to recall. Some time between 2 and 3 o'clock?---Yes, it happened fairly 13 14 quickly. It was within 20 minutes, I believe. 15 Did you take any other action while you were out in the mine 10.38AM 16 before you called back to the Control Centre?---Yes. Т 17 went across to the western batters and I could hear 18 talk over the radio regarding the Driffield getting 19 closer to us, so that was a real concern at that stage, 20 that was posing more of a threat than probably these 10.38AM other fires around here. 21 22 I did notice on my way around there was a crew 23 attempting to work on this, that would have been James 24 Mauger, I think James talked about that where he got covered in retardant, so those guys were working on 25 10.38AM 26 that area there. We had crews dealing with the fire front coming from the Driffield, so I went and 27 28 inspected that and talked to the Supervisor, RTL 29 Supervisor which was Dave Bell regarding some tactics there. They were in the process of cutting a middle 30 10.39AM 31 earth break, I asked them to extent the length of that

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 363 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 break and widen the area of that break. I could also 2 see down the riverbed there's a few bales of hay, large round bales, and with the wind, there were embers 3 flying around potentially causing spot fires. I asked 4 5 them to try and push those into the river, try and put 10.39AM 6 them out because they were potentially causing more 7 spot fires in the surrounding areas. 8 So you have three fires burning, one in the floor of the 9 mine?---At that stage, yes. And two on - one on the northern, one on the southeastern 10 10.40AM batters?---One in the north, one on the floor, one here 11 12 as well and also it was right on our doorstep over 13 there. 14 A lot of activity on the western side of the mine trying to 15 prevent the Driffield Fire from burning into the 10.40AM 16 working part of the mine?---Correct. 17 The resources that you have available to you are two 30,000 18 litre tankers?---Yes. 19 Where were they?---I seen one on the western, operating near 20 the western flank. 10.40AM And where was the other one?---The other one I saw was on 21 the northern batters. 22 23 Trying to put out that fire on the northern 24 batters?---Assisting those guys on the northern 25 batters. 10.40AM 26 And then there are three or four 3,000 or smaller 27 tankers?---Yes. 28 Where were they?---There was probably, from my recollection, 29 there would have been two or three at least on the 30 western flank assisting those guys. 10.40AM 31 Was there another one elsewhere in the mine?---Not that I .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 364 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

can recall. There would have been, but I don't
 remember seeing it.

Approximately how many people, you know mine employees and contractors, were involved in responding to the various fires and the threat of fire on the western side of the mine?---There would have had to have been potentially a dozen people there, just on the western flank.

8 And elsewhere in the mine?---It's hard to put a figure on Just from my observations there would have been 9 it. 10 four or five guys on the northern batters, maybe more. 10.41AM 11 Before you received a call from Mr Roach to come back to the 12 Control Centre, was there any where else you went, anything else you did?---Yes, I talked to the guys on 13 14 the western flank so they seemed to be controlling that 15 fairly well, so I then looked at strategising and maybe 10.41AM 16 coming back to the north and putting some wet breaks, 17 water barriers in the northern batters to prevent it 18 from spreading further, further west, that direction. 19 So that's where I met up with Dave Shanahan and Dave 20 had the same idea, which is good, so we basically 10.42AM worked - I was working one level, he was working the 21 22 level below me. What we were doing was not only just 23 turning on sprays, we were actually pulling what we 24 call skippers, the monitors that spin around, taking them off the actual hydrant connection and just 25 10.42AM 26 shooting the water straight up in the air which again 27 creates a wall, just a huge wall of water which dampens 28 the whole area.

29 That was a strategy designed to prevent the fire from 30 spreading further west?---It's trying to contain, it's 10.42AM 31 all about containment, yes.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 365 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS Was there anything that you attempted to do to put the fire that was already burning to the east of you on the northern batters out?---No, that's about all I got time to do.

5 Then you were called back?---Then I got a call from Alan. 10.43AM So, you left Mr Shanahan there and went back to the Control 6 7 Centre?---Yes, I got the call from Alan and from that 8 call I told him I'd be at least 20 minutes, half an hour, before I got there but if he could open it up and 9 10 get the Emergency Command Centre established and all 10.43AM 11 doors open and things operating the way they should be. 12 What actually is the Emergency Command Centre?---It's basically a control point where all the information is 13 14 come into the emergency Control Centre and we have 15 dedicated rooms where we have whiteboards, screens, 10.43AM 16 computers with all the information coming in, all the calls are coming in, we have dedicated phone lines, 17 18 radio room, break-out room, we have provision for 19 assembly areas for CFA vehicles, all that sort of - so 20 that's a whole building totally dedicated to emergency 10.44AM 21 response. 22 When you say all the information is coming in, how, what

kind of information?---So we declare the emergency, so in the process I take a lead role as Commander and as I'm heading across there I'm notifying people, emergency's been declared, all your correspondence is to come through us, through the Command Centre.

- 28 So, radio communication?---Mainly radio and mobile phone as 29 well.
- 30 Do you have a trunk radio system?---We have a trunk radio 10.44AM
 31 system.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 366 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | Which is point-to-point rather than an open channel; is that | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | right?Yes. | |
| 3 | Is there any open channel radio communication | |
| 4 | available?UHF, yes. | |
| 5 | But that's not in every vehicle as I understand it?Most | 10.44AM |
| 6 | vehicles; yes, not in every vehicle, correct. | |
| 7 | So what communications strategy is in place at the | |
| 8 | commencement of an emergency? How do you make sure | |
| 9 | that everybody knows what's going on?For this | |
| 10 | particular day we got a heap of radios in from Diamond | 10.45AM |
| 11 | Protection from memory, we issue them all out to the | |
| 12 | crews, so we got communication by radio to all the | |
| 13 | crews. This is all evolving, you've got to understand, | |
| 14 | so as we set up our strategies and plans and as the | |
| 15 | crews are directed to certain areas, they then get | 10.45AM |
| 16 | handed their radios and communication lines. | |
| 17 | But this is well after 3 o'clock by the time you're handing | |
| 18 | out radios to crews?It's probably within an hour or | |
| 19 | two. | |
| 20 | The Emergency Response Plan spells out the role and | 10.45AM |
| 21 | responsibilities that an Emergency Commander has to | |
| 22 | follow?Sure. | |
| 23 | Have you actually performed that role yourself | |
| 24 | before?Yes, I have. | |
| 25 | In an actual emergency as opposed to an exercise?When you | 10.46AM |
| 26 | say actual emergency; well, I was in that role when we | |
| 27 | had protestors. | |
| 28 | With the protestors, but that was really in anticipation of | |
| 29 | a protestor getting over a fence?Yes, it was an | |
| 30 | actual role; it wasn't an exercise, it was an | 10.46AM |
| 31 | actual | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 367 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | It's fair to say in that instance you had a bit more time to | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | get yourself organised, didn't you?Yes. | |
| 3 | One of the responsibilities under the Emergency Response | |
| 4 | Plan is for the Control Centre attendant to call 000 to | |
| 5 | notify the CFA of a fire?That's right. | 10.46AM |
| 6 | Do you know whether, before you assumed the role of | |
| 7 | Emergency Commander, the Control Centre Attendant had | |
| 8 | done that?No, I wasn't aware of that. | |
| 9 | Did you check whether that had been done?No, the | |
| 10 | assumption I made was that we had planes coming in and | 10.47AM |
| 11 | choppers coming in, so the CFA knew the situation we | |
| 12 | were in. | |
| 13 | So, because you'd seen the planes and the choppers over on | |
| 14 | the northern side of the mine, you didn't think that it | |
| 15 | was necessary to call 000?Well, they were being | 10.47AM |
| 16 | redirected to assist us, so the assumption is that they | |
| 17 | know that we have a fire in the mine. | |
| 18 | Once you'd got back to the Emergency Command Centre which | |
| 19 | Mr Roach had set up while you were driving back across | |
| 20 | the mine, what did you then do?Put my tabard on. | 10.47AM |
| 21 | Yes, that's the first step in assuming command?Yes. | |
| 22 | After that?And I handed Alan Roach's his, and then we | |
| 23 | proceeded to set up the building accordingly, | |
| 24 | whiteboards, pulled out plans, drawings, try and get | |
| 25 | some idea of the scale of this and where it was going. | 10.48AM |
| 26 | This was still growing. | |
| 27 | You already knew that you had a fire threatening on the | |
| 28 | western side of the mine?Correct. | |
| 29 | And you had at least three separate fires burning within the | |
| 30 | mine?Yes. | 10.48AM |
| 31 | And limited resources to deal with those four things?Yes. | |
| | .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 368 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS | |

| 1 | So, how did you set about forming a strategy?We started | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | setting our priorities for asset protection, | |
| 3 | particularly substations, power poles, those areas | |
| 4 | there, and the coal conveyors and the dredgers. It's | |
| 5 | hard to recall exactly the details behind it, there's a | 10.48AM |
| 6 | lot of phone calls and a lot of communication over | |
| 7 | radios during this period, the first few hours, but | |
| 8 | basically 2x12 look after the operational side of | |
| 9 | things in terms of wetting the areas down and the other | |
| 10 | guys can focus on trying to prevent the fires from | 10.49AM |
| 11 | escalating any further. | |
| 12 | And that was the 1x7 crew?Yes. | |
| 13 | And some people from RTL?RTL as well, yes. | |
| 14 | In addition to the 2x12 crew who were dealing with wetting | |
| 15 | down the operating area, how many people did you have | 10.49AM |
| 16 | at your disposal?Sorry, repeat that question? | |
| 17 | In addition to the 2x12 crew who you say were all working on | |
| 18 | the operating area, how many extra people did you have | |
| 19 | at your disposal?It would have been a dozen or so | |
| 20 | people. | 10.49AM |
| 21 | What decisions did you make about where to put your | |
| 22 | resources?The Driffield Fire was a real concern | |
| 23 | because that was really coming along really quick and | |
| 24 | was heading straight for our main operational area, so | |
| 25 | we maintained people there in that area monitoring and | 10.50AM |
| 26 | we were getting spot fires in the vicinity coming over | |
| 27 | the river, certain areas, so the guys were doing what | |
| 28 | they were required to do there, keep it at bay. Some | |
| 29 | of the other things was, we started calling in | |
| 30 | maintenance people to establish, what we have these | 10.50AM |
| 31 | crane monitors with booster pumps, so people who can | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 369 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 operate the cranes. What the crane monitors do, 2 there's an attachment that goes on the end of the cranes, obviously the crane's got the lift, the height 3 4 to attack these high coalfaces. So a monitor is like a spray?---Yeah, it's a monitor with 5 10.50AM remote control that can be directional and start 6 7 setting those up for containment, more so containment. 8 Where did you put those once you had them?---I believe the crane monitor went down towards the southern batters 9 10 from memory. 10.51AM 11 So, you just had the one of those?---We have two, so we 12 assembled two and I think one of them actually broke down, and had some repairs, hydraulic repairs required 13 14 to it. 15 So one that you could use?---Yes. 10.51AM 16 And you directed that down to the southern batters?---I'm 17 pretty sure it was down there, yes. 18 Was it a containment strategy, were you just trying to stop 19 it spreading at that stage?---Stop it spreading, yes, 20 correct. We also, through Alan Roach and through his 10.51AM contact through Central Gippsland Essential Industries 21 Group - oh, the ICC he had a contact there, we were 22 23 trying to get additional aerial support for us, because 24 the fire looked like it was starting - started to get 25 around the corner. The fire started spreading, getting 10.51AM around this corner here and I'm really concerned 26 because it was moving along. The 2x12 guys were 27 28 directed to make sure all the water fully wet this area 29 down and we tried to get some aerial bombing in this area here to try and hold it back from getting any 30 10.52AM 31 further, and luckily it didn't get any further, we

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 370 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | managed to contain it at about there. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | And Mr Roach was communicating with the ICC, was he?Yes. | |
| 3 | The Traralgon ICC?Yes. | |
| 4 | We'll ask him about those conversations?Yes, sure. | |
| 5 | Did you have any information from the ICC about the relative | 10.52AM |
| 6 | threat posed by the Hernes Oak Fire to the north and | |
| 7 | the Driffield Fire to the south?Not on that day, no. | |
| 8 | So you had no input from the ICC about which of those fires | |
| 9 | you should be more concerned about?No. | |
| 10 | While you were in the role of Emergency Commander did you | 10.53AM |
| 11 | have any issues with water supply?Yes. | |
| 12 | What were they?Loss of power to pump stations. | |
| 13 | Can you explain what happened and what effect that had on | |
| 14 | your attempts to fight the fires?We have two major | |
| 15 | pump stations. One we call our dirty water pump | 10.53AM |
| 16 | station which is here, and the other one which is our | |
| 17 | clean water pump station here, that's clean water, it's | |
| 18 | predominantly our artesian water. Generally that water | |
| 19 | is used for - it's clean water so it can be used for | |
| 20 | fire, firefighting, and generally gets pumped out into | 10.53AM |
| 21 | the Hazelwood Pondage, it's very deep watering. So we | |
| 22 | lost power supply to both of these pump stations. | |
| 23 | The power supply is from?From the northern batters. | |
| 24 | high voltage lines that come over the northern | |
| 25 | batters?That's correct. | 10.54AM |
| 26 | There are in fact two of them running parallel?I believe | |
| 27 | so, yes. | |
| 28 | And it's mains power from the external grid?That's right. | |
| 29 | Although you generate power, you're not | |
| 30 | self-sufficient?Yes, it's from SP AusNet. | 10.54AM |
| 31 | Was there any back-up power supply arrangement that you | |
| | .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 371 MR PREZIOSO XN | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | could turn to?We do have contingencies. That's | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 2 | where our technical experts come into play and we had | |
| 3 | electrical engineers in on that day dealing with those | |
| 4 | issues, sorting those problems out. | |
| 5 | We heard from Mr Harkins that that took hours and hours to | 10.54AM |
| 6 | sort out in very difficult conditions?Yes. | |
| 7 | Re-instating supply, power supply? | |
| 8 | Yes?Yes, it was extremely difficult considering the | |
| 9 | circumstances. | |
| 10 | Are there no internal generators that can be switched to | 10.54AM |
| 11 | power the pumps?No. | |
| 12 | There was also a question that arose about gravity fed water | |
| 13 | from Loy Yang. Can you explain what that was | |
| 14 | about?What happens, we still have the additional | |
| 15 | pump stations located on the end of the pondage. I | 10.55AM |
| 16 | don't think it's on there. | |
| 17 | No, the pondage is to the south?The core pump house is 50 | |
| 18 | and 53, so they draw water out of the Hazelwood | |
| 19 | Pondage. That water gets delivered to two tanks. We | |
| 20 | have on the ridge line, high on the ridge next to the | 10.55AM |
| 21 | power station, and they supply a gravity feed or us. | |
| 22 | So even though we lost those pump stations for a period | |
| 23 | of time, we still had water coming into the mine | |
| 24 | through those additional pump stations located in the | |
| 25 | pondage. | 10.55AM |
| 26 | Was that sufficient so continue your firefighting efforts or | |
| 27 | was it a lower supply of water?It's sufficient to | |
| 28 | continue the firefighting, but it does reduce the | |
| 29 | pressure. | |
| 30 | So you had lower pressure throughout the entire Fire | 10.56AM |
| 31 | Services system. While the power was off you were just | 10.00111 |
| <u> </u> | Services system. While the power was oir you were just | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 372 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | relying on that gravity fed water?Listen, I really | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | can't answer that, I'm not sure. | |
| 3 | There was also evidence from Mr Mauger, I think it was | |
| 4 | yesterday, that at about 6 o'clock he and the man he | |
| 5 | was working with received a call to return out of the | 10.56AM |
| 6 | mine, that there'd been a call made to evacuate the | |
| 7 | mine. Did you make that decision?I don't recall | |
| 8 | that. | |
| 9 | So that's not?I don't recall ever doing that or | |
| 10 | hearing that. | 10.56AM |
| 11 | You were the Emergency Commander?Correct. | |
| 12 | At about 6 o'clock still, were you not?Yes. | |
| 13 | Did you make a call to evacuate the mine?No. | |
| 14 | So we don't know where Mr Mauger got that from?I'm not | |
| 15 | sure where that came from. | 10.57AM |
| 16 | The power was off at that time, was it not?I think we | |
| 17 | lost power, yes, around about 6. | |
| 18 | It was still daylight?Yes, daylight savings, so we could | |
| 19 | still operate. | |
| 20 | Mr Faithfull, the acting Mine Director in Mr Wilkinson's | 10.57AM |
| 21 | absence, arrived at the mine at around 5 o'clock we've | |
| 22 | been told by Mr Harkins?Yes, sounds about right, | |
| 23 | yes. | |
| 24 | When he arrived, did he work with you in the Emergency | |
| 25 | Command Centre?Yes, closely working with me. | 10.57AM |
| 26 | At some point you handed over the role of Emergency | |
| 27 | Commander to him?That's right. | |
| 28 | Can you describe how that transition took place?So | |
| 29 | basically, he came in, so I briefed him on what had | |
| 30 | been happening and was just explaining the situation at | 10.58AM |
| 31 | hand. Mind you, this is still developing this fire, so | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 373 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 things are still changing even while he's there, so 2 we're still making decisions on a lot of things that were occurring at the time. Around about 8 o'clock I 3 think that's when we did the official handover. 4 So basically, we brought the guys in, guys out in the 5 10.58AM field, the guys doing - like, the leaders out in the 6 7 field just to get an update on their situation and then 8 strategise for that night, moving into that night. So we also had people called in, additional people, to 9 give the current people obviously a rest. We knew we 10 10.58AM 11 were in for a long haul here so we started a roster 12 system so we were calling in the appropriate people. So at the brief, the people that are on the job on 13

14 the day, to give us an update, situation, then we 15 strategise basically how we move forward for the 10.59AM 16 following night and the people coming in know what 17 their priorities will be for that night.

18 At some stage the CFA also arrived at the mine. When were 19 you first aware of the CFA presence?---We had a strike 20 team coming - now, I'm not sure of the timing on this, 10.59AM I don't recall the time - it would have to be around 21 about 5 or 6, I'm just estimating. They came in, he 22 23 came directly to me, it was the Strike Team Leader and 24 he had a, I think four CFA tankers with him. Не received a phone call at that point in time. He came 25 10.59AM 26 back to me and said, "Listen, there's property and life 27 in danger in Driffield, we're going to have to go, 28 bring rig", so that was the extent of that. 29 So they arrived, reported to you and were called away before 30 they actually got into the mine and this is at around 11.00AM

5 or 6 o'clock as best you can recall?---Yes, it's hard

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 374 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

31

1

to say unless - there's a lot happening.

Then after that, when was the next time you were aware of GFA presence?---We had CFA presence on our northern batters assisting with the protection of MWN substation, so I believe there's a couple of tankers 11.00AM there assisting us, and we had our own fire crew there as well protecting that substation.

8 So there will be evidence later today about a strike team 9 that was initially directed to the briquette factory 10 and found no fire at the briquette factory but could 11.00AM 11 see the fire in the mine and spent some considerable 12 time trying to gain entrance to the mine 13 through - - -?---The slide gate.

14 Well, there are two gates that they attempted to get 15 through. Were you aware that there was a strike team 11.01AM 16 on the northeastern side of the mine trying to get 17 access?---No. I do know, though, as the fire broke 18 through our eastern batter and went through the Energy 19 Brix area, it actually took the power off our slide 20 gate, so the gate was locked open, so there was plenty 11.01AM of access in and out. 21

Can you point out where the slide gate is?---Around about
 here. There. I'll get it right eventually.

24 What are the other access points around there. Energy Brix receive coal from Loy Yang from the trucks, so they run 25 11.02AM 26 down here, roughly in that area there, and that's where 27 you dump in. There's a swing gate here which I don't 28 believe is ours, I believe it belongs to Energy Brix. 29 The other access is obviously the power station, the 30 main access, and along the perimeter we have accessing 11.02AM 31 gates situated along there. And Dave Shanahan, he

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 375 MR PREZIOSO XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | actually opened those gates. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | Opened that one?Yes, down towards Drilling Depot Road. | |
| 3 | Mr Faithfull took over from you as Emergency Commander at | |
| 4 | about 8 o'clock and at that point you were released to | |
| 5 | return home?I was there until about 12, I think. | 11.03AM |
| 6 | You were there until about 12. Were you involved in the | |
| 7 | formal handover to the CFA?I don't remember a formal | |
| 8 | handover that particular night. | |
| 9 | I had asked you about your awareness of the CFA presence. | |
| 10 | There was a strike team that came and went?I | 11.03AM |
| 11 | knew there were tankers on our northern batters | |
| 12 | assisting us, yes. | |
| 13 | The tankers you were aware of on the northern batters. When | |
| 14 | were you aware of the CFA presence in the Emergency | |
| 15 | Command Centre?It would have been that night, and | 11.03AM |
| 16 | Ross Mal came in with a strike team and I think I've | |
| 17 | got here on my notes, there was six fire tankers he | |
| 18 | came in with. | |
| 19 | And that was at approximately what time?It would have | |
| 20 | been around about 7 or 8 clock time. | 11.03AM |
| 21 | I have no further questions for you at this point but I | |
| 22 | would like to have a look at your notes?Sure. | |
| 23 | MR WILSON: No questions for this witness. | |
| 24 | MR RIORDAN: A couple of matters from me. | |
| 25 | < <u>CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR RIORDAN</u> : | 11.04AM |
| 26 | You said you were concentrating on assets in terms of the | |
| 27 | strategy. Did you have any view about what the effect | |
| 28 | of the fire would be if it got into the operating area? | |
| 29 | What effect the operating area would have on the | |
| 30 | intensity of the fire?Yes, it would just escalate it | 11.04AM |
| 31 | even further, make it even more difficult. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 376 MR PRE Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY M

| 1 | You were also asked some questions about the power supply | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | and you said that the duplicated power supply which fed | |
| 3 | substation MWN had been stopped because of damage by | |
| 4 | the fire in the northern, above the northern batters; | |
| 5 | is that correct?That's how I recollect it, yes. | 11.05AM |
| 6 | The alternative power supply was available to MWE, was it | |
| 7 | not, which is in the eastern portion?That's right. | |
| 8 | Could you indicate where substation MWE is on the | |
| 9 | map?Yes. MWE is roughly there. | |
| 10 | And so, the damage that took out MWN | 11.05AM |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN: Could you show me where MWE is?It's that area | |
| 12 | there, that's MWE, east. | |
| 13 | E indicates east, not surprisingly, N indicates north. | |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN: Okay, that's fine. | |
| 15 | MR RIORDAN: The damage that took out the supply to MWN did | 11.06AM |
| 16 | not affect MWE; is that correct?I don't believe so. | |
| 17 | I mean you'd have to ask the technical guys. | |
| 18 | Did you know that the problem with MWE was that it fed an | |
| 19 | area which was damaged, incidentally by a fire in the | |
| 20 | eastern part?Yes. | 11.06AM |
| 21 | And that caused it to have shorts or surges?Correct. | |
| 22 | And the electricians were able to repair that, were they | |
| 23 | not, before they repaired the supply to MWN in the | |
| 24 | north?I believe so, yes. | |
| 25 | And they did that by isolating the part of MWE which | 11.06AM |
| 26 | supplied the burnt out installation?Yes. | |
| 27 | Are you able to assist the Board by indicating how long it | |
| 28 | was that you were out of power altogether?We managed | |
| 29 | to restore power to one of the pumps, which was | |
| 30 | crucial. | 11.07AM |
| 31 | To MWE or MHO?It might have been MHO, this is where you | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 377 MR P Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY

MR PREZIOSO XXN BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | need to - I'm not quite exactly sure, but it was the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | early mornings, we managed to get water back - power | |
| 3 | back to one of those pumps which restored our water. | |
| 4 | And by early morning, what time are you thinking? You | |
| 5 | weren't there?No, I wasn't there but I believe it | 11.07AM |
| 6 | was around 2, 3 in the morning. | |
| 7 | While you are there, do you know where MHO is?Yes. | |
| 8 | Could you indicate that on the map?Now, MHO, that's a | |
| 9 | tricky one. | |
| 10 | Is that any better to help assist you?I believe MHO is | 11.08AM |
| 11 | this MW south there. An old substation that we used | |
| 12 | during the west field transfer operations. | |
| 13 | You something but not much about the fact that electricians | |
| 14 | were able to activate that to provide?Yes, | |
| 15 | they did a fantastic job. | 11.08AM |
| 16 | an alternative source of electricity?Correct, yes. | |
| 17 | You don't recall what time that occurred?No, I wasn't | |
| 18 | there at that time, but it was early hours of the | |
| 19 | morning. | |
| 20 | The other thing I was going to ask you about was the access | 11.08AM |
| 21 | on the eastern side. From the eastern side, is the | |
| 22 | slide gate the only means of access?Yes, | |
| 23 | predominantly, yes. | |
| 24 | You said that your understanding was, that was locked open | |
| 25 | by reason of fire damage. Is that correct?That's | 11.09AM |
| 26 | right. | |
| 27 | Do you know whether that got locked open before or after the | |
| 28 | CFA strike team had arrived and then got diverted?I | |
| 29 | do not know that. | |
| 30 | It was some time while you were still Emergency | 11.09AM |
| 31 | Commander?Correct. | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 378 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry 1 No further questions, thank you.

| - | no farener queberens, enami jeu. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | MEMBER PETERING: With this new map here, could you just | |
| 3 | point out the points of access into the mine?Okay, | |
| 4 | you have your main access through the power station. | |
| 5 | And that would be where CFA could gain entry, so could you | 11.09AM |
| 6 | point out to us which points that would be? Are you | |
| 7 | familiar with this map?Yes, I look at these maps | |
| 8 | every day. I don't know what's going on at the moment. | |
| 9 | The power station's in this area here, so that's the | |
| 10 | main off Brodribb Road, the main success to the station | 11.10AM |
| 11 | and the mine. Also, the sliding gate, which is located | |
| 12 | on the north side here, which is what we call the | |
| 13 | sliding gate, is in there roughly, in that area there. | |
| 14 | MS RICHARDS: Mr Prezioso, there's an entrance off Miners | |
| 15 | Way, is that the one that you were just pointing | 11.10AM |
| 16 | to?Yes, that's the other one. That's not owned by | |
| 17 | us, though, I believe. | |
| 18 | Can you get into the mine through that gate?Yes, | |
| 19 | I believe. It's a gate outside our existing fence. | |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN: So, while it's not precisely accurate, the gates | 11.11AM |
| 21 | where the red squares are on the eastern side and the | |
| 22 | northern side, that's roughly where the gates | |
| 23 | are?Roughly where the gates are, the main entry for | |
| 24 | Hazelwood gates are, yes. | |
| 25 | And there's also the one right near the power | 11.11AM |
| 26 | station?Yes. I believe that that gate though is | |
| 27 | actually, that's Energy Brix's property. | |
| 28 | FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR RIORDAN: | |
| 29 | Would the Board permit me to ask one more on this topic? | |
| 30 | Somebody wanting to gain entry to the mine on that day, | 11.11AM |
| 31 | is there any reason why they wouldn't be able to go | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 379 MR PREZIOSO XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | through the main gate?No, no reason at all. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | The main gate wasn't locked down on that day?No. | |
| 3 | The main gate is the gate, if I recall it correctly, which | |
| 4 | has got a - it's a boom gate on it?It's a manned | |
| 5 | gate. | 11.12AM |
| 6 | It's a manned gate by Diamond Protection?Correct. | |
| 7 | Thank you. | |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you for the last time, Mr Prezioso. | |
| 9 | <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW) | |
| 10 | MS RICHARDS: The next witness is James Faithfull, if he | 11.12AM |
| 11 | could come to the stand please. | |
| 12 | <james and="" anthony="" examined:<="" faithfull,="" sworn="" td=""><td></td></james> | |
| 13 | MS RICHARDS: Good morning, Mr Faithfull?Morning. | |
| 14 | Let's start by asking you your full name and your | |
| 15 | address?James Anthony Faithfull and Brodribb Road, | 11.13AM |
| 16 | Hazelwood. | |
| 17 | What's your occupation?Technical Services Manager. | |
| 18 | You're here today in response to a summons?I am. | |
| 19 | And so we have no written statement from you?No. | |
| 20 | In the week commencing 10 February this year you were going | 11.13AM |
| 21 | to be the acting Mine Director; is that | |
| 22 | correct?That's correct. | |
| 23 | That is because Gary Wilkinson was on leave that | |
| 24 | week?That's right. | |
| 25 | Your substantive role is Technical Services | 11.13AM |
| 26 | Manager?Correct. | |
| 27 | What does that involve?So I look after the mine survey, | |
| 28 | mine geotechnics, mine geology, mine planning - I sit | |
| 29 | up on top of Romeo Prezioso. All of those activities, | |
| 30 | so all of the technical activities that go along with | 11.14AM |
| 31 | operating the mine. | |
| | | |

380 .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 How many years have you worked at the mine?---15 months. 2 What's your background before that?---I spent 15 years as a, working through a number of different roles within 3 4 mining industry throughout Australia. Through Rio Tinto BHP, a number of different other sites including 5 11.14AM Loy Yang, and have spent the last 15 months working as 6 7 the Technical Services Manager at Hazelwood. 8 In the 15 months that you have worked at Hazelwood, this fire on 9 February was the only fire that you had 9 10 experience of, only significant fire?---Yes. 11.15AM 11 You'd just missed out on the one in January 2012?---Yes. 12 What's your familiarity with the mine's Emergency Response Plan?---It's reasonably good. I've certainly read the 13 14 document. 15 Have you had any previous experience in putting the plan 11.15AM 16 into action?---Not in putting our plan into action, but 17 certainly in putting other plans on other sites into 18 action, but not at Hazelwood. 19 I take it that you hadn't participated in any of the 20 training exercises that had been done with the local 11.15AM CFA?---No, only personal firefighting techniques that 21 we all go through when we enlist on site. That was it. 22 23 So you're a fairly recent recruit to Hazelwood; what 24 training did you go through? --- So we go through, all Hazelwood employees and indeed their contractors go 25 11.16AM through like an assessment, fire assessment where you 26 27 have a classroom assessment, then you have a practical 28 assessment in actual firefighting. 29 The Emergency Response Plan that we've been looking at has a 30 number of nominated Emergency Commanders?---That's 11.16AM 31 right.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 381 MR FAITHFULL XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDSON

| 1 | The most senior of those in the mine's management structure | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | is Gary Wilkinson, the Director of the mine, and you | |
| 3 | were acting in his role in his absence, were you | |
| 4 | not?Yes. | |
| 5 | That puts you as the most senior Emergency Commander in the | 11.16AM |
| 6 | Emergency Response Plan in the event of an emergency | |
| 7 | that week?Yes. | |
| 8 | In your role, you usually work a five day week; is that | |
| 9 | correct?Yes. | |
| 10 | You're not on shift?No. | 11.16AM |
| 11 | And that's a Monday to Friday, five day week?Yes. | |
| 12 | On Friday the 7th, you hadn't started to act as at Mine | |
| 13 | Director at that point?No. | |
| 14 | Mr Wilkinson was still there. There was an outbreak of fire | |
| 15 | at Hernes Oak that afternoon to the northwest of the | 11.17AM |
| 16 | mine. Were you aware of that that afternoon?Yes. | |
| 17 | Did you discuss that with Mr Wilkinson?I can't recall. | |
| 18 | Did you discuss it with anyone within the mine?Yes, I | |
| 19 | certainly spoke to indeed Romeo, Stan Kemsley, Dean | |
| 20 | Suares, Steve Harkins and indeed George Graham about | 11.17AM |
| 21 | it. | |
| 22 | So there was fairly widespread knowledge of the fire on the | |
| 23 | northwestern side of the mine. Did you look for any | |
| 24 | external source of information about that fire?Not | |
| 25 | personally, no. | 11.17AM |
| 26 | On the Friday, the 7th, before you left work for the week | |
| 27 | were you also aware that the CFA Chief Officer had | |
| 28 | declared a total fire ban for both the Saturday and the | |
| 29 | Sunday of the weekend?I can't recall with clarity. | |
| 30 | Maybe, maybe not?Maybe, maybe not. | 11.18AM |
| 31 | Were you aware that it was going to be a very hot | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 382 MR FAITHFULL XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDSON

| 1 | weekend?I certainly know that the mine had put in | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | place the fire prevention plans. | |
| 3 | Were you aware that the fire agencies were communicating to | |
| 4 | people that Sunday would be the worst day since Black | |
| 5 | Saturday?No. | 11.18AM |
| 6 | You saw the fire preparedness plan that Dave Shanahan had | |
| 7 | prepared?Yes. | |
| 8 | He communicated that to you and everyone else who needed to | |
| 9 | know?Or got sent out, yes. | |
| 10 | So you knew by the time you left the mine that there was a | 11.18AM |
| 11 | fire burning to the northwest of the mine; you may not | |
| 12 | have known that a total fire ban had been declared but | |
| 13 | you knew that the mine had moved to a state of | |
| 14 | preparedness?Yes. | |
| 15 | And you knew that the forecast for the weekend was very | 11.19AM |
| 16 | hot?Yes. | |
| 17 | Did you consider whether there were any additional | |
| 18 | arrangements that you should put in place to ensure | |
| 19 | that the mine was fully prepared to respond to a fire | |
| 20 | if it should threaten the mine?I considered that, | 11.19AM |
| 21 | if - given the Hernes Oak Fire at that stage when I | |
| 22 | spoke to George Graham and indeed Steve Harkins and | |
| 23 | Romeo Prezioso later that afternoon, it had been that a | |
| 24 | physical fire at Hernes Oak had turned away from the | |
| 25 | mine, so it left us - we were in a better position than | 11.19AM |
| 26 | had it been heading towards us, so, no, we hadn't done | |
| 27 | any additional preparatory works at that stage apart | |
| 28 | from speaking to the likes of Stan Kemsley and Romeo, | |
| 29 | and indeed Dean previous when they were setting up | |
| 30 | their fire prevention plans for the weekend to ensure | 11.20AM |
| 31 | that they had some additional resources in case things | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 383 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR FAITHFULL XN BY MS RICHARDSON

| 2 | You weren't planning to come into work on the Sunday when | |
|----|--|---------|
| 3 | you left on the Friday afternoon?No. Correct. | |
| 4 | During the course of Saturday did you receive any updates | |
| 5 | from Alan Roach who was the rostered Emergency Services | 11.20AM |
| 6 | Liaison Officer?No. | |
| 7 | Did he share with you a fire prediction map that he'd | |
| 8 | received?No. | |
| 9 | from the Central Gippsland Essential Industries | |
| 10 | Group?No. | 11.20AM |
| 11 | Did you have any other information about the status of the | |
| 12 | Hernes Oak Fire by Saturday afternoon?No. | |
| 13 | Did you look it up on the CFA website or the FireReady | |
| 14 | app?Look, I vaguely remember looking at the, I think | |
| 15 | it might have been the VicRoads website where they | 11.21AM |
| 16 | mentioned that it was contained. | |
| 17 | Did you look at the weather forecast for the following | |
| 18 | day?I can't recall looking at the weather forecast | |
| 19 | for the following day. | |
| 20 | At any stage on the Saturday afternoon or evening did you | 11.21AM |
| 21 | think that it might be best for you to go into the mine | |
| 22 | on the Sunday morning in case?No. | |
| 23 | fire threatened the mine?No. | |
| 24 | You've seen, I take it, the prediction map, the fire | |
| 25 | prediction map that Mr Roach provided to Mr Harkins on | 11.21AM |
| 26 | the afternoon of Saturday the 8th. That would have | |
| 27 | been useful information for you to have, would it | |
| 28 | not?It would be useful information. I'd be quizzing | |
| 29 | it of course, if it came to me via email. I'd want to | |
| 30 | substantiate the information that was given. If it had | 11.22AM |
| 31 | proven to be of substantive importance, then, yes, it | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 384 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

MR FAITHFULL XN BY MS RICHARDSON

| 1 | would be. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | It would have alerted you to the very real risk that the | |
| 3 | mine would be threatened by fire on the Sunday?That | |
| 4 | information? | |
| 5 | Yes?Yes. | 11.22AM |
| 6 | And you might then have made some further enquiries about | |
| 7 | the significance of the map and what the weather | |
| 8 | forecast was for the Sunday?Yes. | |
| 9 | I take it, no one contacted you on Saturday or Sunday | |
| 10 | evening and said, James, you really better be at work | 11.22AM |
| 11 | tomorrow?No. | |
| 12 | Where were you on the morning of Sunday the | |
| 13 | 9th?Inverloch. | |
| 14 | When were you alerted to the situation at the mine, that it | |
| 15 | was being threatened by not one but two fires?Romeo | 11.23AM |
| 16 | called me at, look, it's somewhere between half 1 and | |
| 17 | 2. | |
| 18 | What did he tell you?He said that we've got fire | |
| 19 | approaching, that Hernes Oak had gotten out I think at | |
| 20 | that stage and then we had Driffield there in the | 11.23AM |
| 21 | background as well. | |
| 22 | What did you do at that time?Made my way over to the | |
| 23 | mine. | |
| 24 | So you were at Inverloch. There were roadblocks in place, | |
| 25 | were there not?Several. | 11.23AM |
| 26 | On the Princes Highway because of the Hernes Oak | |
| 27 | Fire?Yes. | |
| 28 | Is that the way you came back?Yes. | |
| 29 | And it took you longer than you had anticipated to get back | |
| 30 | to the mine?Much longer. | 11.23AM |
| 31 | What time did you eventually arrive?Approximately | |
| | .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 385 MR FAITHFULL XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDSON | |

1 5 o'clock.

| 2 | When you arrived, can you describe the situation that you | |
|----|---|---------|
| 3 | found. Where did you go first?The first thing I did | |
| 4 | was went to the mine lookout, which is on the southern | |
| 5 | batters. Do I need to point that out? | 11.24AM |
| 6 | I think we know where that is?I went there straight away | |
| 7 | so I could get a visual appreciation of what we were | |
| 8 | facing and saw the fire indeed at Driffield, the fire | |
| 9 | at Hernes Oak and a number of fires within the mine. | |
| 10 | After you'd taken that visual stocktake, where did you go | 11.24AM |
| 11 | then?I went back to the Emergency Control Centre. | |
| 12 | What situation did you find there?Very busy, very busy, a | |
| 13 | lot of activity. | |
| 14 | Who was in charge at that stage?Romeo was in charge at | |
| 15 | that stage. | 11.24AM |
| 16 | Did he brief you about the situation?He did. | |
| 17 | Can you outline what that briefing was?Look, it's very | |
| 18 | sketchy at best, but we had a number of fires at that | |
| 19 | stage within the mine. We had obviously the Driffield | |
| 20 | Fire and the fire at Hernes Oak. We had some water | 11.25AM |
| 21 | problems at that stage and that's the best that I can | |
| 22 | sort of recall. | |
| 23 | At some point in that evening you took over from | |
| 24 | Mr Prezioso?That's right. | |
| 25 | as the emergency Commander. At what time did you | 11.25AM |
| 26 | assume that role?Would have been 8, 8.30, something | |
| 27 | like that. | |
| 28 | The situation when you assumed that role was what? What did | |
| 29 | you have to contend with?For starters, we had no | |
| 30 | power as such. We were sitting in the mine Admin | 11.25AM |
| 31 | offices in the dark with a couple of lighting plants | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 386 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 outside shedding light on where we were. We had at that stage, I think that we - we had a very limited 2 water within the mine, there was indeed no pressure. 3 4 We'd lost at that stage the clean water pump station and dirty water pump station, and indeed we were 5 11.26AM starting to shut down units at the station, shut down 6 7 supply because we physically couldn't get coal then. 8 So the loss of power to the mine not only affected the water supply for fighting fires but also affected coal 9 10 production?---Yes. 11.26AM You couldn't run the conveyors, couldn't run the 11 12 dredge?---No, that's right. Is it fair to say that during that evening your focus was 13 14 very much on getting the power back on rather than 15 fighting the fires?---That is fair to say. 11.26AM 16 When you arrived at about 5, 5.30, was there any CFA 17 presence that you were aware of?---I can't recall. 18 When did you first become aware that the CFA were there on 19 site?---Ross, at the handover, I think it was at the 20 handover at about 8, Ross Mal. 11.27AM Is that the point at which he wrote out an incident action 21 22 plan?---No, no, that was done long - later in the 23 night, in the morning. That was, I guess, an update 24 for the day shift crews coming on. 25 So that was at the end of that night ready for the people 11.27AM 26 who were taking over on the Monday morning?---Yes. During that period between when you assumed the role of 27 28 Emergency Commander and when the CFA took over, what 29 decisions were you making about where to put 30 resources?---The primary decision was to put resources 11.27AM 31 where they're not going to be injured or anything else,

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 387 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR FAITHFULL XN BY MS RICHARDSON

1 so the focus was on at that stage the Driffield Fire 2 was being a serious threat, we obviously had - - -Still at that time of the evening?---Yes, because of the 3 4 proximity to the operational faces. So we - - -5 So is it your evidence that the Driffield Fire was still 11.28AM 6 advancing, was still regarded as a threat at about 7 8 o'clock at night?---From my recollection, yes, it 8 was. So you had some resources on that side of the mine?---We had 9 10 some patrols that were set up to patrol to make sure 11.28AM 11 that that fire was kept away from the mine, and indeed 12 the 2x12 guys with no power were indeed patrolling the 13 operational ledges to ensure that no spot fires arrived 14 in the mine. 15 By the time you arrived there were fires burning on the 11.28AM 16 northern batters, on the southeastern batters and 17 possibly also on the floor of the mine?---Yes. 18 Did you do anything to try to suppress or contain those 19 fires during the time you were responsible?---Yes, we 20 did. I mean, as Romeo mentioned, we brought in 11.29AM additional Bellbrae resources with the crane monitors 21 22 to assist. We had the RTL tankers that were running 23 around trying to get to the fires where they could. We 24 indeed had our own guys going around turning on and off sprays and trying to get hoses to where we needed them. 25 11.29AM 26 So by the time you arrived the power was off and there was 27 no water, was there?---Sorry, when I say no water, 28 limited water, because the dirty water pump station is 29 used to pressurise the water system. So, if you lose 30 the dirty water pump station - - -11.29AM 31 You'd better go back and explain that because I had

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 388 MR FAITHFULL XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDSON

| 1 | understood your evidence to be that by the time you | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | arrived there was no water because the power was | |
| 3 | off?When I say no water, I mean pressurised water. | |
| 4 | Okay, we still had water coming in from pump house 50 | |
| 5 | and 53 into C and D tanks, that gives us some water. | 11.29AM |
| 6 | Where are C and D tanks?They sit up the top of - they sit | |
| 7 | up there. So they give us some capacity, but it's | |
| 8 | gravity fed capacity. | |
| 9 | Tankers could refill from those tanks, is that the | |
| 10 | case?No. There's a number of fill points and indeed | 11.30AM |
| 11 | fresh water fill points throughout the site that they | |
| 12 | can refill from. | |
| 13 | Even with no pressure in the Fire Services | |
| 14 | system?I believe on the northern batters there's a | |
| 15 | mains fresh water refill point. | 11.30AM |
| 16 | From town water effectively?From town water. | |
| 17 | On the northern batters?Yes. | |
| 18 | So that was still operating, that was still available?I | |
| 19 | believe so. | |
| 20 | But the Fire Services pipe system was depressurised until | 11.30AM |
| 21 | the power came back on?Yes. | |
| 22 | In what way could the water from those two tanks that you | |
| 23 | identified be used to suppress the fire?You'd have | |
| 24 | limited capability to suppress the fire. | |
| 25 | But it wasn't possible by the time you arrived on site to | 11.31AM |
| 26 | turn any sprays on, was it?Sorry, can you? | |
| 27 | The sprays are connected to the Fire Services pipe | |
| 28 | network?Yes. | |
| 29 | That was depressurised because the power had been | |
| 30 | lost?That's what I'm saying, you do have some | 11.31AM |
| 31 | capability, so rather than having a full-blown spray, | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 389 MR Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY M

MR FAITHFULL XN BY MS RICHARDSON

| 1 | you're getting a limited capability. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | So, a trickle?Yes, a trickle. | |
| 3 | Where were you trickling the water that was | |
| 4 | available?Some of the water was being distributed on | |
| 5 | the operational faces and some of the water was being | 11.32AM |
| 6 | distributed on the areas that were affected by the | |
| 7 | fire. So we were doing what we could with what we had. | |
| 8 | I have no further questions. Do Members of the Board have | |
| 9 | any questions. | |
| 10 | MR WILSON: No questions from our table, thank you. | 11.32AM |
| 11 | MR RIORDAN: Just the one question, Your Honour. | |
| 12 | < <u>CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR RIORDAN</u> : | |
| 13 | Maybe if the witness could be shown Mr Harkins' statement, | |
| 14 | in particular the modelling. Do you recall Ms Richards | |
| 15 | asked you a question about this modelling you've | 11.32AM |
| 16 | seen?Yes. | |
| 17 | When did you first see this modelling?In the last couple | |
| 18 | of days. | |
| 19 | What have you been told about it, the information, that went | |
| 20 | with the model?Apart from what I learned yesterday, | 11.32AM |
| 21 | not much. | |
| 22 | You observed that the modelling shows that the fire from | |
| 23 | Hernes Oak, if it breaks containment, could reach the | |
| 24 | northern batters of the mine? Is that what you would | |
| 25 | take from looking at that modelling?Yes. | 11.33AM |
| 26 | If you were told that that represented the worst-case | |
| 27 | scenario that could occur by 1 a.m. on Monday morning, | |
| 28 | and you were told that on Saturday evening, would that | |
| 29 | have changed any of your action between Saturday | |
| 30 | evening and Sunday morning?No. | 11.33AM |
| 31 | No further questions. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 390 MR Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 CHAIRMAN: Can I just clarify the position in relation to 2 your knowledge of modelling of this kind. Have you had any training in it so that, if it was made available to 3 4 you, you would understand, at least in some degree or to a substantial degree, the significance of such a 5 11.34AM model if it were made available to you?---I haven't had 6 7 any training in this modelling, it would be the first 8 time I'd be reviewing it. So even things like the Phoenix modelling, what came out in 9 relation to the Black Saturday, would not have been 10 11.34AM 11 known to you and you would not have had other occasion 12 to have had training so that it would be meaningful to you?---No, but I would visually look at that and I 13 would see that there is something that's going to be 14 15 happening to our northern batters. 11.34AM 16 So in effect you might draw some inferences from it?---Yes.

17 Without being able to understand it fully?---Correct.

18 You'd have a general idea of what its meaning was likely to 19 be?---Yes.

20 Any questions arising?

21 <<u>RE-EXAMINED BY MS</u> RICHARDS:

Just to continue on that train, Mr Faithfull. If you had 22 23 seen this on Saturday afternoon you would have appreciated that it suggests a fairly grave situation 24 for the mine in the course of Sunday?---Given that it's 25 11.35AM 26 worst-case scenario and that it's 1 o'clock the following day, no, I wouldn't have done anything else 27 28 additional on Saturday night. 29 You wouldn't have seen any need to raise the level of

30preparedness of the mine in the course of11.36AM31Sunday?---Given that it is a worst-case scenario and

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 391 MR FAITHFULL RE-XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 11.35AM

| | .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 392 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS | |
|----|---|---------|
| 31 | How long have you held that position?That exact position | |
| 30 | correct. | 11.38AM |
| 29 | Hazelwood mine as the Mine Production Manager?That's | |
| 28 | You are employed there at Brodribb Road in Morwell at the | |
| 27 | Dugan, and Brodribb Road, Morwell. | |
| 26 | Please state your full name and address?Robert Ronald | |
| 25 | MS RICHARDS: Good morning, Mr Dugan?Good morning. | 11.38AM |
| 24 | < <u>ROBERT RONALD DUGAN</u> , sworn and examined: | |
| 23 | could please come forward. | |
| 22 | MS RICHARDS: The next witness is Robert Dugan. If Mr Dugan | |
| 21 | <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW) | |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Faithfull, you're excused. | 11.36AM |
| 19 | excused. | |
| 18 | Thank you, no further questions. May Mr Faithfull be | |
| 17 | that stage, yes. | |
| 16 | had everything in place that you needed to have?At | |
| 15 | You would have been satisfied on the basis of that, that you | 11.36AM |
| 14 | might be available?No. | |
| 13 | explanation and asked him for what other scenarios | |
| 12 | wouldn't have contacted Mr Roach and asked him for an | |
| 11 | Well, for 1 o'clock in the morning on the 10th. You | |
| 10 | 10th. | 11.36AM |
| 9 | You wouldn't have?Because it's information for the | |
| 8 | Emergency Commander on site in case?No. | |
| 7 | You wouldn't have thought there was any need to have an | |
| 6 | fire prevention plans in place were adequate?Yes. | |
| 5 | If you had seen this, you would have been satisfied that the | 11.36AM |
| 4 | resources available then. | |
| 3 | had fire prevention plans on site and we had additional | |
| 2 | wouldn't have done anything different, knowing that we | |
| 1 | it's 1 o'clock the following, Monday morning, I | |

| 1 | from the middle of 2013. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | I'll ask you a few more questions about your background at | |
| 3 | the mine, but before I do that, you've made a statement | |
| 4 | to the Inquiry?That's correct. | |
| 5 | You have a copy of it there in front of you. It's a | 11.39AM |
| 6 | statement of 95 paragraphs?That's correct. | |
| 7 | And a number of attachments, 18 attachments?Yes. | |
| 8 | You're familiar with that statement, I take it?Very much. | |
| 9 | Are there any corrections that you want to make at this | |
| 10 | point?No. | 11.39AM |
| 11 | Is the statement true and correct?Yes, it is. | |
| 12 | I tender that, if I might. | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | #EXHIBIT 13 - Statement of Robert Dugan. | |
| 15 | | 11.39AM |
| 16 | MS RICHARDS: You're a surveyor by training, by | |
| 17 | trade?That's correct. | |
| 18 | You worked as a surveyor in open cut mines in the Latrobe | |
| 19 | Valley for a number of years, up to 1993?That's | |
| 20 | correct, yes, started in 1977. | 11.39AM |
| 21 | Then you had some time out of the mining industry?Yes, | |
| 22 | that's correct, but I was in private practice but we | |
| 23 | were back into the - we were surveying in the mining | |
| 24 | industry. | |
| 25 | So you moved into private practice but you were servicing | 11.40AM |
| 26 | the mining industry during that period?That's | |
| 27 | correct. | |
| 28 | Then you returned to Hazelwood in 2001?That's correct. | |
| 29 | I see that you've been an Emergency Services Liaison Officer | |
| 30 | since 2004?That's correct. | 11.40AM |
| 31 | And that's a role that you still fill?No, that's not | |
| | .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 393 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS | |

correct. Once I took on the position as Production Manager I relinquished that role.

1

2

3 What other positions apart from your current role have you held within the mine?---Yes, if I could just go through 4 them in chronological order. From 2001 to 2007 I was 5 11.40AM 6 the Senior Surveyor. From 2007 to September 2010 I was 7 the Mine Operations Coordinator. From September 2010, 8 from that date until April 2012 I was the Mine Production Superintendent. In 2012, April 2012 9 until December 2012 I was Deputy Production Manager. I 10 11.41AM 11 was appointed acting Production Manager, a role which I 12 performed previously from time to time in that period, and in June 2013 I was appointed Production Manager. 13 14 If we could have a look at the organisation charge that is 15 at attachment 1 to Mr Dugan's statement. So your role 11.43AM 16 is the yellow level?---That's correct. 17 You report to Gary Wilkinson, the Mine Director?---Yes. 18 And you essentially sit or are responsible for the 19 production side in the mine?---That's correct. 20 Mr Shanahan, who we heard from yesterday, reports to 11.43AM you?---That's correct. 21 22 In your statement there's a section on the second page that 23 is headed, "Mine fires." And you give us a bit of an 24 explanation of the volatility of brown coal and how 25 very well it burns. You say at paragraph 14 that 11.43AM 26 you're not aware in all of your years of experience in 27 the brown coal mining industry here of a fire being 28 caused in the mine as a result of external 29 bushfire?---That's correct. It is, however, an ever present risk, is it 30 11.43AM 31

not?---Absolutely, with brown coal any source of

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 394 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1

ignition is a risk.

| 2 | And you would agree that the Hazelwood Mine is located in a | |
|----|--|---------|
| 3 | very bushfire prone area of Victoria?I would have | |
| 4 | thought more grassland than bushland, although we do | |
| 5 | have some pockets of plantations in that area. | 11.43AM |
| 6 | You would be familiar, I take it, with the Mine Fire Policy | |
| 7 | and Code of Practice that's in place at the | |
| 8 | mine?Yes. | |
| 9 | In the introduction to that policy the very first risk of | |
| 10 | fire that is identified is the risk of an external | 11.43AM |
| 11 | bushfire spreading into the mine?Yes. | |
| 12 | As happened in 1994 at the Yallourn Open Cut Mine?Yes. | |
| 13 | So, just because it hasn't happened in 35 years doesn't mean | |
| 14 | that there's no risk, does it?No. | |
| 15 | You then in your statement talk about your experience with | 11.43AM |
| 16 | previous fires, significant fires at the mine and one | |
| 17 | of those was in October 2006. That was a fire that was | |
| 18 | caused by a mechanical component?Yes. | |
| 19 | It wasn't an external fire or a hot spot. But your | |
| 20 | experience with that fire demonstrates how very rapidly | 11.44AM |
| 21 | fire can spread from a single point of ignition within | |
| 22 | the mine?Absolutely. | |
| 23 | You say at paragraph 18 that it had spread about one and a | |
| 24 | half kilometres in about 20 minutes?Yes, that's | |
| 25 | correct, on that particular day the winds were that | 11.44AM |
| 26 | strong that the airborne particles that were blowing | |
| 27 | along the bench were on fire, so it was sort of a | |
| 28 | fireball effect rolling along the bench. | |
| 29 | Although this fire occurred in October, you've described it | |
| 30 | as a Black Saturday kind of day?Yes. | 11.44AM |
| 31 | What did you mean by that?It was the - probably a term | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 395 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1 that I probably used a bit loosely, but I believed that 2 the conditions on that particular day, especially the wind, and we'd had a drought leading up to that 3 4 particular part of the year, that the potential was there for fire. In fact, prior to the ignition we had 5 11.45AM 6 declared a fire alert and we had every spray on that 7 was possible in the mine, operational faces. 8 On the operational face. So what you mean by Black Saturday kind of days, being a previous dry spell leading up to 9 10 it, very hot conditions, very windy conditions with low 11.45AM 11 humidity?---Absolutely, yes. 12 And that's perfect conditions for a fire to take off and spread very rapidly?---Yes. 13 14 And really the only thing that you can do to prevent it from 15 spreading rapidly is to put it out 11.46AM 16 immediately?---Correct. You've attached to your statement a number of reports 17 18 arising out of that fire in 2006. Are they attached 19 for the Board's information or because you had personal 20 involvement in any of them?---No, I didn't have any 11.46AM 21 personal involvement in the presentation of those 22 reports or the writing of them, but that's for your 23 information. 24 There was another fire, a significant fire in September 25 2008?---Yes. 11.46AM 26 This was one that was caused by the flare-up of a 27 pre-existing geological hot spot in the mine?---Yes. 28 Where was the hot spot?---It was on the southern batters. 29 Would you like me to point that out? Shall we get up the map?---I hope I don't have Romeo's 30 11.46AM 31 problems.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 396 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | In that southern area?That's correct, and in fact that | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | clayed area is the area that we had dug out and | |
| 3 | backfilled that fire hole, that's why you see that | |
| 4 | white area is actually the clay. | |
| 5 | That quite large white area there?And we actually | 11.47AM |
| 6 | developed that into a borough pit as well. | |
| 7 | Sorry, into a?Sorry, into a place where we obtain clay to | |
| 8 | utilise in other parts of the mine so that's why it's | |
| 9 | bigger than what it looks. | |
| 10 | You've also provided a copy of the report that was produced | 11.47AM |
| 11 | as a result of a review of that fire in 2008; again, | |
| 12 | that's for information rather than because of your | |
| 13 | personal involvement?That's correct. | |
| 14 | With this report, you've not provided any - and follow up | |
| 15 | reports or any audits of the implementation or | 11.48AM |
| 16 | recommendations, are you aware whether any document | |
| 17 | like that exists?I'm not aware. | |
| 18 | One of the recommendations made in the 2008 report is that | |
| 19 | there should be a risk assessment of the | |
| 20 | non-operational areas of the mine to determine if | 11.48AM |
| 21 | further prevention work is required. Do you know if | |
| 22 | that risk assessment's ever been done?I cannot | |
| 23 | recall. | |
| 24 | So the answer is, you don't know?No. | |
| 25 | The January 2012 fire was again another mechanical | 11.48AM |
| 26 | fire?Yes. | |
| 27 | That involved one of the dredges, so that was a fire in the | |
| 28 | operational part of the mine rather than in the worked | |
| 29 | out area?That's correct. | |
| 30 | Again, you've provided a report arising from the review and | 11.48AM |
| 31 | some follow-up reports. Did you have any personal | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 397 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | involvement in any of that?No. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Although I think you may have had some responsibility for | |
| 3 | implementing recommendations arising?Yes, that's | |
| 4 | correct. | |
| 5 | But it's fair to say that that was a structural fire very | 11.49AM |
| 6 | much about - and the recommendations were very much | |
| 7 | about protecting machinery and those assets?That's | |
| 8 | correct. | |
| 9 | Just to return to my question about the risk assessment that | |
| 10 | was recommended be undertaken in the 2008 review. Who | 11.49AM |
| 11 | would know if that risk assessment had been done?I | |
| 12 | would have to get our Compliance Manager to look | |
| 13 | through and find out where that was the case. | |
| 14 | That's Mr Kemsley, is it?That's correct. | |
| 15 | So, if Mr Kemsley doesn't know, he'd be able to find | 11.49AM |
| 16 | out?I'm sure he could. | |
| 17 | In paragraph 28 of your statement you refer to the mine Fire | |
| 18 | Service Policy and Code of Practice and we've already | |
| 19 | touched on this, you've said you're familiar with it. | |
| 20 | You say there that it is based on the 1994 policy that | 11.50AM |
| 21 | Generation Victoria had in place at the time of | |
| 22 | privatisation?Yes. | |
| 23 | Have you actually looked at the two documents side-by-side | |
| 24 | ?No, I haven't. | |
| 25 | Is it your understanding that the current Mine Fire Policy | 11.50AM |
| 26 | and Code of Practice is very similar to the 1994 | |
| 27 | document?That's my understanding, yes. | |
| 28 | In the time that you've been working at the mine has there | |
| 29 | been any wholesale review of the mine Fire Service | |
| 30 | Policy and Code of Practice?I'm not aware of any. | 11.51AM |
| 31 | If you look back into where I was involved in that sort | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 398 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1 of thing, it's only in the last few years where I've 2 taken on the responsibility of the Fire Service. Because there was a very significant expansion of the mine 3 4 approved in 2009, was there not? Do you know whether the opportunity was taken at that time to have a good 5 11.51AM look at whether the mine Fire Service Policy and Code 6 7 of Practice, which is essentially a 1980s document, was 8 suitable to the new conditions in the mine?---No, I'm 9 not aware. You then talk about the training that is provided to 10 11.51AM 11 employees of the mine in relation to fighting brown 12 coal fires. Can you describe what training there actually is and who gets it?---Yes. Every employee of 13 14 GDF Suez within the mine and any contractor entering 15 the mine and working the mine is subject to that 11.52AM 16 training. That training consists of a classroom 17 demonstration and a review of what is available to 18 fight the fire. 19 Does that demonstration use the training slides that you 20 have provided us?---Yes. 11.52AM 21 We'll look at those ourselves rather than get you to actually do the training session for us now, but that's 22 23 used as the basis for the classroom session?---Correct, 24 and then practical demonstration, if weather allows it at that particular point in time, outside with a coal 25 11.52AM 26 fire in a container to show how it can spread when you hit it with a jet of water and that sort of thing. 27 28 Does the practical demonstration extend to actually getting 29 out in the mine and turning on sprinklers on the Fire Service pipe network?---No, but there's a demonstration 30 11.53AM 31 in the classroom with an actual sprinkler and pipe work

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 399 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | on the safe - and effective way to turn on a sprinkler | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | or attaching a hose to a pipe. | |
| 3 | That's basic training that everyone who works at the mine | |
| 4 | has to undertake before they start?Yes. | |
| 5 | Is there a higher level of training for the people who work | 11.53AM |
| 6 | on the 1x7 crew?Yes, there is; that's called the | |
| 7 | fire man - trying to emphasise, not fireman, fire man | |
| 8 | training, and that is where they're taken to a | |
| 9 | different level. The reason for the fire man training | |
| 10 | is that, if there's any hot works, and I mean by any | 11.53AM |
| 11 | hot works in the mine that's cutting, grinding or | |
| 12 | welding within the mine, our policy says that there | |
| 13 | must be a trained fire man present that can monitor it, | |
| 14 | douse the area, make it safe and control any sparks | |
| 15 | that may arise. So that is a role that the 1x7 group | 11.53AM |
| 16 | plays. | |
| 17 | That then qualifies them to work alongside somebody who's | |
| 18 | undertaking hot works to ensure that any sparks that do | |
| 19 | escape are quickly put out?That's correct and, in | |
| 20 | addition to those, there are some maintenance personnel | 11.54AM |
| 21 | that have been trained in that as well so they can help | |
| 22 | their own boilermakers and welders and the likes. | |
| 23 | Then you say that everybody typically has refresher training | |
| 24 | every 12 months?That is correct. | |
| 25 | What does the refresher training involve?Exactly the same | 11.54AM |
| 26 | thing, there's two attachments there, one's slightly | |
| 27 | modified, and I emphasise slightly modified to | |
| 28 | refresher training. It's called "the office", I think | |
| 29 | is the only differentiation on the heading. It's | |
| 30 | basically taken through the same process again. | 11.54AM |
| 31 | So there are two sets of slides at attachment 9?Yes, very | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 400 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1

subtle differences, yes.

2 What process is in place to make sure that everybody actually does their annual refresher training?---Yes, 3 4 this is recorded during, when you attend you sign an 5 attendance sheet and there's an assessment done on the 11.55AM 6 training at the end, and then that assessment is kept 7 by our HR Department and they monitor who hasn't been 8 trained or who has, and that's kept on record. Is there any follow-up if somebody's, for example, been on 9 leave when the training course is provided?---Yes, 10 11.55AM 11 that's correct. There's periodic lists sent out to the 12 likes of myself or my Superintendents to say that these people were missed, could you please arrange for the 13 14 next training session, that they be caught up. 15 That refresher training, does that also involve the 11.55AM 16 practical component or is it just the classroom 17 component?---It's predominantly the classroom component 18 and, as I said, weather permitting, outside and conduct 19 the practical, but we have the practical demonstration 20 on the desks. 11.56AM Moving down to paragraph 33 of your statement, you talk 21 about the reticulated Fire Service's water system and 22 23 you've provided us with a diagram at Annexure 11 and 24 I'd very much like to get that up on the screen and ask you some questions about it. Mr Dugan, what I would 25 11.56AM 26 like you to do is to explain to us what this 27 represents?---Yes, I can do that. It's a schematic so 28 it's not a very good diagram to be trying to show but 29 I'll do my best. Is there a better one or is this the best you're able to 30 11.56AM 31 find?---No, none of the diagrams we've had up here

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 401 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 before. I could probably, if we go to the photo 2 probably explain it a little more geographically. I'm sure we can switch between the two?---As Romeo 3 4 mentioned, the dirty water pumps, which are three, they 5 have the capacity of 600 litres a second in total, they 11.57AM 6 are pumping the water out of the bottom, the lowest 7 point of the mine and the last pond, and that gets 8 pumped into our reticulation system for firefighting and/or dust suppression, which these firefighting 9 10 service pipelines can do. 11.57AM

The dirty water comes from those four ponds on the northern 11 12 side of the mine?---Yes, there is a huge catchment, the mine in the surrounding areas, so water does drain into 13 14 the mine, and it settles in six ponds by the time it 15 gets to the dirty water pond. Then it pumps the water 11.58AM 16 around. The design of the ponds are such that, we can also hold back in the event of a flood so we have the 17 18 capacity to - each pond has a valve connected to the 19 corresponding one and we can turn off valves and hold 20 floods back; in the other way we can also let more 11.58AM water through to the dirty water pond in summer if need 21 22 be.

The dirty water pond, the three ponds pump the water around a reticulated system, and if no water is required, any excess water is pumped out by the works effluent pond, which is a populated pond that it treats before it goes into the Hazelwood Pondage.

28 Romeo then said that we had a clean water system, 29 that is the pumps that we use to de-water the aquifer 30 which is an underground lake under the mine. The 31 reason we're de-watering that aquifer is to maintain

11.58AM

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 402 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 stability in the batter. If we didn't de-water the 2 water pressure out of that, the water would rise in the tide batters and we would have the potential for 3 4 collapse, so they're running at about 200 litres a 5 second, but they have a much larger capacity. 11.59AM But that's separate to the Fire Service's pipe 6 7 work?---Correct but they can be opened into the Fire 8 Service system via what's called an H-section and that water, that water from the artesian, can be directed 9 10 into the fire system as well. 11.59AM 11 There are different colours on this schematic, can you 12 explain the significance of those? There is a key?---The colours are basically the diameter of the 13 water mains. Obviously, reading from the bottom you 14 15 have the bigger diameter mains which in this case are 11.59AM 16 anything between 1400ml diameter to 1,000 to 750. 17 Around the outside of the mine you have your larger 18 mains which then feed down into a smaller main which 19 feeds down into your Fire Service pipes. Most of our 20 Fire Service pipes, the smallest is 300 diameter steel. 12.00PM Those pink pipes, they're the 300 diameter pipes?---Yes. 21 They appear to follow very closely where the conveyors are 22 23 where the operating face of the mine is?---Absolutely. 24 The reason for that is to provide coverage over the coal surface areas that we have mined and also provide 25 12.00PM 26 protection for the infrastructure, which is your 27 conveyors, and then the dredgers can attach themselves 28 to that pipe work as well for their protection. 29 We've seen photographs of sprinklers connected into those 30 pipes on the operating area of the mine?---That's 12.00PM 31 correct.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 403 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1 Moving to the non-operational area of the mine, of course 2 where the fire burned is where we're most interested 3 in. What are the pipes, or as at 9 February what were 4 the pipes in place in the northern batters and in the southeastern batters and on the floor of the 5 12.01PM 6 mine?---This big gap here is the rehabilitated area, so 7 we're looking at this void, and also the HARA Pond and 8 also the overburden dump, so there's no firefighting systems on that area because it's closed. The Fire 9 Service systems, these two areas here, show on 3 Level 10 12.03PM 11 and 5 Level run up adjacent to the HARA damn wall.

12 The circle here I think indicates a tanker filling point, that will probably be mentioned later. A tanker 13 14 filling point is where any of the mine tankers can go 15 up underneath and directly have water poured straight 12.03PM 16 in, they don't have to connect up to a main. On these eastern batters you'll see water reticulation. 17 There was one on 1 Level, 3 Level and 5 Level on the 18 19 southeastern batters. Around the corner into the 20 southern outlet they were talking about today there is 12.03PM 21 some pipe work into there.

22 Those pipes along the northern batters in particular look to 23 be much wider diameter than the pipes on the working 24 face?---Yes, that's correct, they're predominantly 600 diameter along the bottom of the batter on each level, 25 12.03PM and that's mainly to supply, have that volume of water 26 there to supply any - when it gets smaller as it comes 27 28 on further there.

29 Are those pipes just transmitting water to the operational 30 area of the mine or is it actually possible to get 31 water out of the them into the worked out area of the

12.03PM

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 404 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | mine?No, they do have sprays on them, which are | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | monitors, sprays. | |
| 3 | At what intervals are those sprays?I'm unsure but the | |
| 4 | policy is calling for, going off my memory here, | |
| 5 | roughly about 55 metres, then a hydrant, then a spray. | 12.03PM |
| 6 | In the time that you have worked at the mine has any of the | |
| 7 | reticulated pipe network been removed?Just in this | |
| 8 | area, and I probably could probably bring up a | |
| 9 | different photo if I could, it might be better to show | |
| 10 | you. | 12.03PM |
| 11 | We'll bring up the satellite view?The pipe work comes | |
| 12 | around the northern batters as you saw on the diagrams | |
| 13 | here, but there was some pipe work in this vicinity in | |
| 14 | here that was taken out; that was due to batter | |
| 15 | stability issues that we had at the time because of the | 12.04PM |
| 16 | water seeping out of the pipe work and the likes | |
| 17 | leading to cracks so we had major issues within that | |
| 18 | area. I also believe that was one of the areas, I'm | |
| 19 | not sure but at the time we were looking at | |
| 20 | rehabilitating as soon as possible there, knocking down | 12.04PM |
| 21 | those batters there on the top. | |
| 22 | While you're pointing at that map, just to be clear, the | |
| 23 | area of the mine that has been rehabilitated, can you | |
| 24 | point that out?From here, right around to there and | |
| 25 | it drops down around to there. This area here is | 12.05PM |
| 26 | what's called the HARA, which is the Hazelwood Ash | |
| 27 | Retention Area. | |
| 28 | Am I right in understanding that that area that had been | |
| 29 | rehabilitated did not burn during the fires | |
| 30 | in February?That's correct. | 12.05PM |
| 31 | If I understand you correctly, there is one area of pipe | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 405 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | that's been removed in your time, is that right?Yes. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | And that's due to that instability issue?Yes, in that | |
| 3 | area there. | |
| 4 | That's in the batters just to the west of the rehabilitated | |
| 5 | area?Correct. | 12.05PM |
| 6 | And that area did burn during the February fires, did it | |
| 7 | not?It did eventually, yes. That was the area, | |
| 8 | we'll get to that chronological events, but that's the | |
| 9 | area that we did put pipe work into, but the actual | |
| 10 | ignition of the fire was back here, I think this side | 12.06PM |
| 11 | of the fire. | |
| 12 | Just to the west of that clayed area, that is the fire hole | |
| 13 | that was clay capped?Just there. | |
| 14 | Not long before, is that right?I think clay capped over | |
| 15 | the years for many, many years, but that area there, we | 12.06PM |
| 16 | would have - I can't remember the exact date when it | |
| 17 | previously had a bit of smoke coming out, but we dug it | |
| 18 | out and clay capped it. | |
| 19 | While we're talking about pipes I'm going to skip forward a | |
| 20 | bit in the chronology and ask you to explain what pipes | 12.06PM |
| 21 | were installed during the fire fight. You relate in | |
| 22 | your statement a discussion you had with the Incident | |
| 23 | Controller along the lines of, "Well, what do you | |
| 24 | need"?Yes, correct. | |
| 25 | And you together identified a need for some more reticulated | 12.07PM |
| 26 | water?Yes. | |
| 27 | Where did you identify that those pipes should go and what | |
| 28 | was actually installed?There was identified for | |
| 29 | those pipes to go into this area here, where we took | |
| 30 | the pipe out of. We had a good fortune, if I could | 12.07PM |
| 31 | just go back a step, of calling upon our other mines in | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 406 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 the area, which was AGL, Loy Yang, and they provided us with some expertise in pipe work engineering as we 2 3 needed some more engineers, so they came over and, 4 coupled with their experience, we ended up putting in an alternative pumping scenario in the dirty water 5 12.07PM It was twofold for that; (1) we didn't have to 6 pond. 7 rely on electricity if something happened, (2) we were 8 recirculating the water, we weren't bringing water in from the outside. So with that in mind, under the 9 10 direction from one of the engineers from Loy Yang, we 12.08PM 11 built this pipeline that completed a ring main right 12 around and connecting into a vulnerable pipe line and went down into the bottom. From there we did spur 13 14 lines into these areas. Bearing in mind by this stage 15 this was fully alight and we had a lot of - we'll 12.08PM 16 obviously get to it, CO issues, carbon monoxide issues, 17 so our workers working in there were working in extreme conditions, hence the helicopters were used to help 18 19 cool down areas and that in that area. So our 20 predominant line was to complete a ring main of which 12.08PM we could put spur lines off. That was a 300 diameter 21 22 steel pipe work. 23 So that's the same kind of pipe that you use in the

24 operational area?---In all our operations, yes. Thev come in 12 metre lengths and they have to be welded 25 12.09PM together and we can tow about 60 metre to 100 metre in 26 length, so we had three sets of welding tanks working 27 28 day and night welding that together, and Loy Yang was 29 good enough, because you can't just snap your fingers, get steel pipe within days, they had an order on the 30 12.09PM 31 way and we were able to use their order to start that

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 407 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

work off.

1

2 Just going back in time a little bit. When the pipes were removed from that area, do you know whether there was 3 4 any risk assessment undertaken?---I'm not sure. Again, is it Mr Kemsley who would know?---He would be the 5 12.09PM one to ask and find out. 6 7 Essentially the work that you did, installing new 8 reticulated pipes or installing new pipes that formed part of the reticulated pipe network during the fire, 9 10 was replacing the pipes that had been removed?---No, 12.09PM 11 some was but it was also in addition. We actually put 12 pipe work in around the bottom of the mine where there was actual clay but we had some coal batters that we 13 14 weren't able to get to because of the clay covering; it 15 was too hard by machinery to get to it so we actually 12.10PM 16 put pipe work in there.

17 The other added feature was that we had a separate 18 pumping capacity which was diesel versus electricity. 19 So this is the first time that you've had an internal source 20 of power to move water around in the Fire Services 12.10PM 21 system?---That's correct. But you asked the question 22 of Romeo before about, could it have been generated, 23 I've asked the question since and the size of 24 generators it would need to drive our pumping system would be horrendous, it would be huge, it probably 25 12.10PM 26 wouldn't - I don't even know if they exist. So doing this as a separate own system that also linked into the 27 28 main as well, so that we can boost pressure which we 29 were suffering at the time.

30 So the diesel generator is not sufficient to pressurise the 12.11PM31 entire system?---No.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 408 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 It's a boosting capacity?---Yes. These are diesel pumps as 2 opposed to diesel generators. 3 Okay, sorry. It shortens the exercise?---That's right. 4 MEMBER PETERING: Ms Richards, are you going back to the 5 pipes diagram? 12.11PM 6 MS RICHARDS: We can. 7 MEMBER PETERING: Thank you. I'd just like to ask Mr Dugan 8 a question. On this diagram I'm reading "Tanker filling port, points locations"?---Yes, circles that 9 represent the tanker filling points. 10 12.11PM 11 On 9 February were you aware, was each one of those 12 available to be used if the water was available?---As far as I'm concerned, yes, if the pool water was 13 14 available. 15 Could any CFA tanker have access to - could the CFA tanker 12.11PM 16 fill up at those points as well with the appropriate 17 fittings?---Yes, well, they don't actually need 18 fittings. It's like a modern bathroom tap that comes 19 up and drops down like that, they can pull underneath 20 and the water column goes directly into their tanks. 12.12PM 21 The CFA tankers, I think, have an opening on the top, 22 correct me if I'm wrong, guys, but a volume of water 23 can go straight in, and/or they can connect to our Fire 24 Service mains via their hoses and they can fill up from that, they can connect to the hydrants on our Fire 25 12.12PM 26 Service mains. 27 Provided the water is there?---Provided the water is there. 28 Thank you, Ms Richards. 29 MS RICHARDS: There will be evidence later today from a CFA 30 volunteer who was among one of the first crews to 12.12PM 31 respond on the northeastern side of the mine and his .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 409 MR DUGAN XN

Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

BY MS RICHARDS

1 experience was of not being able to find tanker fill 2 points and of finding some hydrants that did not have 3 the CFA compatible thread on them. My reading of the mine's fire instructions, there's a clear requirement 4 5 that all of those hydrants have CFA compatible threads. 12.13PM 6 What process is undertaken to ensure that that is in 7 fact the case?---I'm unaware of us having any non-CFA 8 threads. Whether the thread was stripped I'm not sure, you'll have to ask him at the time, but as far as I'm 9 10 aware, all our - and it's been a process ever since 12.13PM 11 I've been involved with connecting hoses to pipes, that 12 they are CFA compatible for obvious reasons. Even a CFA compatible fitting would not have been of use 13 14 once the power was off and the pipe system was 15 depressurised?---No, but there'd be, it would be a slow 12.14PM 16 process of filling the trucks definitely. 17 I think his evidence is that they were able to find a 18 filling point on the northern side, which I'm inferring is the one that's fed from the town water 19 20 supply?---Yes. You've also got to bear in mind, we're 12.14PM 21 into night-time by that stage, or late day, the mine's full of smoke and I believe he's from Westbury, so you 22 23 haven't got the Morwell group that has training in our 24 mine, so I'm unaware whether he understands where our 25 pipe work is. 12.14PM 26 So it requires some degree of familiarity with the mine, 27 does it not, to know where the tanker filling points 28 are?---Absolutely, and that's why, if you read my 29 statement, we embed with the CFA strike teams escorts 30 or guides to - that's one of their purposes. 12.15PM 31 One more question in relation to pipes and water supply.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 410 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1 It's a requirement in the mine Fire Service Policy and 2 Code of Practice that there be tanker filling points so that a tanker at any part of the worked out area of the 3 4 mine can reach a fill point within five minutes. How 5 do you test for compliance with that requirement?---I 12.15PM haven't been involved in testing and doing an actual 6 7 time test on that, so I can't be sure of how it is 8 tested. But on the northern batters it's fair to say, I think, that 9 10 all of the tanker fill points are up at grass level; is 12.15PM that correct?---This one here is, from memory, on 3 or 11 12 5 Level, I'm sure of that. 13 And the remainder are up at the top?---Yes. But if the other thing was up there, you will see we have ramps 14 15 and road connections, all of these levels are, apart 12.16PM 16 from the rehabilitated areas, has access along, they 17 have roads that are accessible, and so the time it 18 takes to get from a tanker filling point to some point 19 within the mine, that was where the five minutes comes 20 from and I do not know how that's been tested. 12.16PM 21 But again, it would help to know your way around?---Absolutely . 22 23 Or to have a guide who did. Another piece of fire 24 preparedness that you are responsible for is the weekly preparedness report, or RAG report as you refer to it 25 12.17PM 26 in paragraph 34 of your statement. Could we get that document up, please, it's Annexure 13 to Mr Dugan's 27 28 statement. This deals with both flood and fire. But 29 on the Monday before 9 February you give the fire preparedness a pretty good rating in this document and 30 12.17PM 31 the only area of concern is the weather forecast

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 411 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1

looking forward?---That's correct.

2 There's one item that I'd like to ask you to talk a little bit more about which is right at the very bottom of 3 4 that page. One of the issues that's identified as 5 needing to be managed is fire hot spot status 12.18PM throughout the mine, and of course you've had recent 6 7 experience of two fires breaking out from that source 8 in the last decade. There's a note there, "The north batter hot spot remains inactive since being plugged 9 four weeks ago. Still monitoring." Could I ask you 10 12.18PM 11 first about the plugging of the northern batters hot 12 spot?---Sure. The northern batters hot spot when we notice it, it is just a small column of smoke, it might 13 14 be as big as this glass, be wisping up out of the 15 clayed surface. Our belief is that - well, coal is 12.18PM 16 very fractious, there can be some form of movement, and oxygen may find its way down into there, but then 17 18 provides a fire chain to allow some smoke to come up 19 and work its way up through the clay; it's our signal 20 that there is, there's obviously some oxygen getting in 12.19PM there. To answer your question directly, what we do, 21 we either send an excavator in there and just dig out 22 23 that hot spot down to three or four metres and then we 24 bring in fresh clay and then compact it over the top. Basically taking the oxygen out of the triangle. 25 12.21PM 26 And that's the process that you'd been through approximately 27 four weeks previously, so very early in the New 28 Year?---Yes. 29 Then you say, still monitoring, so what was the monitoring 30 that was done for that hot spot?---Monitoring in the 12.21PM 31 mine is eyes and we have lots of people that are

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 412 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry H

1 looking at that area, and indeed even the management in 2 their drive-arounds do drive in there occasionally and just look and see if they can see any signs of smoke or 3 4 anything escaping there that would tell us that some 5 oxygen has got in there. 12.21PM 6 Is there any record of the monitoring that was 7 undertaken?---No, it's just visual and it's something that our 2x12 - we have approximately 21 personnel who 8 are operating in the mine along with the 1x7 services 9 10 group, the maintenance groups and a lot of contractors 12.21PM 11 there that, you're eyes are going around and, believe 12 it or not, they're very attuned to anything that's blue in smoke which suggests that that's a fire as opposed 13 to steam that's coming off our artesian water. 14 15 So as at 3 February, that hot spot had not shown any signs 12.21PM 16 of activity?---No. 17 Since it had been recapped about four weeks 18 previously?---Correct. 19 Is this the one that is just to the east of where the fire 20 broke out on the northern batters?---Correct, you saw 12.21PM that in Dave Shanahan's. 21 22 In Dave Shanahan's photographs we saw yesterday? --- Correct. 23 Is that the hot spot that's often referred to as Old 24 Faithful?---Unfortunately, yes, and I think I might be responsible for giving it that name, much to my 25 12.21PM 26 disgust. In light of the very serious forecast for the weekend of 27 28 8 and 9 February, did you update this status report 29 before you left work on the Friday afternoon?---No. Can I just say what the purpose of this report's for? 30 12.21PM 31 Sure?---The purpose of this report, RAG not being a

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 413 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1 derogatory name, it's red, amber green, it's a very in 2 your face, hit you straight away, short sharp document on the conditions within the mine. This was something 3 4 that we developed with the Executive Management Team during the previous year, and it was something that I 5 12.22PM 6 could give them on the Monday for their weekly catch up 7 meeting, that they can see if there's anything 8 outstanding that needs their attention further, and that's all it's there for; it's not a comprehensive 9 10 preparedness plan. We have another plan for that. 12.22PM 11 Okay. So the take away message from this document is, we're 12 ready but better keep an eye on the weather?---Absolutely. 13 On Friday the 7th you were getting ready for a week's leave; 14 15 is that correct?---That's correct. 12.22PM 16 In the course of that afternoon did you become aware of the 17 Hernes Oak Fire to the northwest of the mine?--- I did. 18 What information did you have about it at that time?---I 19 wasn't aware of where it started but I was aware that 20 it was in the Hernes Oak area, and I know where Hernes 12.23PM 21 Oak is so I knew roughly where it would be. If I could just add further with that, I have a very strong 22 23 relationship with the local CFA, and Station Officer, I 24 think his correct title is, Shane Mynard, and he contacts me regularly if he sees that we might be 25 12.23PM 26 having a total fire ban coming up or the likes, just regular contact, and I'm unaware and I didn't record it 27 28 but he would have had to have rung me to say that there 29 was something going on in that area. So that's another possible source of your knowledge that 30 12.23PM 31 there was a fire burning to the northwest of the

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 414 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | mine?Also, we have a number of members of our work | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | group that belong to the CFA and they carry pagers, and | |
| 3 | we were already getting workers coming to us from their | |
| 4 | paged messages to say that there was a fire on the | |
| 5 | Hernes Oak. | 12.24PM |
| 6 | So no question, you knew about it?Yes. | |
| 7 | Did you know about the very serious fire weather forecast | |
| 8 | for the weekend of the 8th and 9th by the time you left | |
| 9 | work on the 7th?Yes, I knew there was definitely a | |
| 10 | total fire ban, because I think that was intimated on | 12.24PM |
| 11 | the Thursday night on the news I think. | |
| 12 | It had been declared very early on the Sunday?Early, yes, | |
| 13 | two days in a row. | |
| 14 | Had you appreciated that Sunday was going to be the | |
| 15 | particularly bad day with the wind change coming | 12.24PM |
| 16 | through at some stage?I can't recall differentiating | |
| 17 | between the Saturday and Sunday but, if I had of seen | |
| 18 | it, yes, it would have been a concern, yes. | |
| 19 | You talked about that day in October 2006 when the fire | |
| 20 | broke out as being a Black Saturday kind of day?Yes. | 12.24PM |
| 21 | Did you understand that you were facing a Black Saturday | |
| 22 | kind of day on the Saturday and Sunday of that | |
| 23 | weekend?No, I just undertook it to be extreme | |
| 24 | weather - sorry, a total fire ban which indicates | |
| 25 | already that, for the CFA matrix to determine a total | 12.25PM |
| 26 | fire ban it has to be fairly substantial weather. | |
| 27 | But we had a very hot dry spell, there had been hardly any | |
| 28 | rain during January, hadn't there?That's correct. | |
| 29 | The forecast was for very hot weather and for very windy | |
| 30 | conditions on the Sunday?I accept what you said. I | 12.25PM |
| 31 | can't remember reading that it was windy but I accept | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 415 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS that, yes.

1

| 2 | You can't remember being aware of that when you left work on | |
|----|--|---------|
| 3 | the Friday evening?Absolutely, can I talk to you | |
| 4 | about before I left work what was arranged. Due to | |
| 5 | that information of a total fire ban - a total fire ban | 12.25PM |
| 6 | in your own mind and the reason obviously that it's | |
| 7 | called that in Victoria, it really ramps up in your | |
| 8 | mind that something's - the weather is not going to be | |
| 9 | conducive. So I, along with Dave Shanahan, and Dave | |
| 10 | mentioned yesterday, we talked about that and we | 12.26PM |
| 11 | decided that, yes, we should be putting out a fire | |
| 12 | preparedness and mitigation plan for both Saturday and | |
| 13 | Sunday. | |
| 14 | You have attached that to your statement as Annexure | |
| 15 | 14?Yes. | 12.26PM |
| 16 | There's one thing that you can help clear up for me is the | |
| 17 | distinction between a fire preparedness plan and a Fire | |
| 18 | Alert?Sure. | |
| 19 | Until Mr Shanahan gave evidence yesterday, I had understood | |
| 20 | that if there was a total fire ban in place of course | 12.26PM |
| 21 | you would all be on alert, but that there were | |
| 22 | conditions that might short of a total fire ban that | |
| 23 | would cause a person in Mr Shanahan's position to | |
| 24 | declare a fire alert. Is that the way it works?Not | |
| 25 | quite. A fire alert - I'm not a computer guru, but I | 12.27PM |
| 26 | think it's based on the same matrices as the CFA use | |
| 27 | for their total fire ban, which is humidity, wind | |
| 28 | speed, temperature and fuel. We have, as mentioned I | |
| 29 | think by one of the previous witnesses, that on our | |
| 30 | operational system it monitors these matrices and it | 12.27PM |
| 31 | actually starts flashing up on the screens of our | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 416 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1 Control Centre Attendant, and indeed anybody else who 2 can access the operational screen, what stage the day's 3 going to, and goes from low alert, medium alert, high 4 alert, extreme, fire alert.

- Is that based on actual weather conditions at that time or 12.27PM
 forecast conditions?---Yes, we have a number of weather
 stations around the mine, I think there's three and
 plus I think they include the one at the Latrobe Valley
 Airport as well.
- So a fire alert is not like a total fire ban done in 12.28PM advance, it's done on the basis of conditions at the time?---Correct.
- So that explains why in the fire preparedness plans that Mr Shanahan prepared in consultation with you, no fire alert was actually declared for the Saturday or the Sunday?---No. A fire alert would only be declared by persons on site when the conditions got to that extreme.

19 If I could just point out with the fire alert, one 20 of the main factors with the fire alert is to get all 12.28PM unnecessary people off the coal surfaces so that they 21 22 don't run a risk of creating an unwanted spark, so it's 23 primarily aimed at your maintenance and the like. So 24 on a weekend you already have restricted access to those levels. 25 12.28PM 26

When conditions reach a certain level of seriousness, all those non-essential services are removed from exposed coal areas?---Yes, that's correct, and then they go into another - you just don't remove them and sit them in the office; they then go into a patrolling and roving capacity for fire watch.

12.29PM

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 417 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1 When you left to go on your week's leave in Mallacoota on Friday the 7th, did you have any concern that perhaps 2 you should stay for a day or two to see what went 3 4 on?---I left late because we were sort of still monitoring the situation, and I rang - I haven't said 5 12.29PM here, but I believe I rang my Services Supervisor, Dean 6 7 Suares, who was rostered on, just asked him, how are 8 things out, because Dave had set up some trucks and graders and dozers to be patrolling out in that 9 vicinity on the grass level and he said it's all quiet, 12.29PM 10 11 nothing seems to be going on.

I do recall on the Saturday morning or somewhere, either a news report or something to say that it was contained and that was how I left.

15 So you were away from Morwell when the fire broke out and 12.30PM 16 had some difficulty getting back due to the fires in 17 East Gippsland?---Yes. I was out on the water and 18 uncontactable until I got off the water at 3.30, when I saw a number of missed calls, and before I had even 19 20 pushed a button to ring some of the missed calls I was 12.30PM contacted by the then Production Superintendent, Matt 21 Weddell, who explained that we had a fire spotted into 22 23 the open cut. I then subsequently during the course of 24 the evening knowing - and he did say that Romeo - I'm not too sure, I asked the question who was in charge 25 12.31PM and he said Ian Wilkinson was still the Emergency 26 Commander. Now, I can't remember how many calls I did 27 28 on and off, but during the night I rang Romeo because 29 I'd found out he was the Emergency Commander and he gave me more of an update and I think I might have rang 30 12.31PM 31 James later in the night when he changed over.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 418 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1 Then from news reports there was fires in, I can't 2 remember the exact location, but in the Orbost area and the highway had been blocked, so I couldn't travel back 3 4 that night. Let's pick up the story when you returned to the mine. You 5 12.31PM managed to get back, I think it was, on the 6 7 Tuesday?---Yes, at 4.00 p.m. - sorry, no, Monday at 4. 8 On Monday at 4?---Yes. By that time the CFA had assumed control?---Yes. 9 10 But you were very involved from that point on in the mine's 12.32PM 11 response, in the mine's contribution to suppressing the 12 fire?---Yes. On the way home I got my wife to drive so I could talk on the phone, and I had some contact with 13 14 Steve because I knew he was in the Control Centre, in 15 the Emergency Control Centre. At this point in time I 12.32PM 16 knew how busy Romeo and the Emergency Commanders would be to be receiving phone calls from someone that's not 17 18 involved in the fire, so I spoke to Steve about what he 19 expected of me when I was coming back. We discussed 20 then that I would probably take over the day shift 12.32PM running of the Emergency Command because of my 21 experience in previous fires. 22 23 And that's what you did really from then until the point 24 where the fire - - -?---Day 45. I don't want to ask you about all of the detail of that 25 12.33PM 26 suppression effort but you've given us a detailed account in your statement, but there are a couple of 27 28 things that I wanted to explore with you. When you 29 took over on the first day shift on Tuesday the 11th, you say there was an emergency command structure that 30 12.33PM

had been established at the mine and this is at

31

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 419 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1 paragraph 48.

2 So the CFA were in control at that time, they had their own incident command structure. What was the 3 relationship between your emergency command structure 4 and the CFA's incident command structure?---Very close. 5 12.33PM 6 They're at a local level. The person in charge at that 7 point was Peter Lockwood on the ground, the ICC was 8 still getting run from, I think, Traralgon, I'm not too sure. But Peter Lockwood, who I know quite well from 9 10 previous experience, was there at the time and he was 12.34PM 11 the man in charge. I could see from the Monday when I 12 came back and sat in the background and listened and watched that the liaison that was going on between the 13 14 two had set in, and that's how we've run previous 15 fires. 12.34PM 16 Just to be clear, you had your own command structure within 17 the mine?---Correct. 18 And the CFA had its command structure?---Yes. 19 Into which it fitted people from MFB, Department of 20 Environment and Primary Industries, interstate 12.34PM firefighters as they became available, but throughout 21 22 the fire fight you had separate command structures; is 23 that correct?---Yes, that's correct. 24 Very close liaison, but not integrated?---No, the integration was happening on the ground in the sense 25 12.34PM that, I developed - initially James and Romeo had 26 divided it into two rough sectors, north and south. 27 I 28 broke that down into a more manageable sectors called 29 the northern batters, the eastern batters and southeastern batters. The CFA were initially the 30 12.35PM 31 strike team leaders because the volunteers were still

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 420 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS involved in the first week, but as the fire went on and
the ability to get volunteers, a lot more permanents
were coming in, so they had what's called sector
commanders operating under their operational Commander
and we decided to call our Supervisors Sector
Commanders so that we could have them sitting at the
same level.

I then in the initial day organised that we would have briefings at 6 a.m., 12 noon and 6 p.m. Now, the reason for that was so that our Supervisors/Sector 12.35PM Commanders could liaise with the outgoing Sector Commanders and we could update both groups at the same time with the relevant information on history and what our strategies were going forward.

The CFA in the initial stages were there, but 15 12.36PM 16 their Sector Commanders were still getting established 17 and sometimes they didn't make it, but by the end of the first week there was the integration at those 18 19 meetings of the CFA Sector Commanders, our Sector 20 Commanders and I would lead the three meetings. 12.36PM Were the CFA sectors the same as sectors?---Yes, absolutely. 21 22 So they hadn't been initially, you said Romeo and James had 23 divided it into two rough sectors?---Yes. 24 But there's an incident action plan that was developed on the night of the 9th that was in a place for the 10th 25 12.36PM that does identify four separate sectors?---Yes. 26 Were they the sectors that were in place subsequently?---No, 27 28 that was initial thoughts but with the - as you can imagine, the CFA were evolving, there was equipment and 29 men coming in, the advent of the MFB coming in and 30 12.37PM other agencies coming in. So, it was sort of in that 31

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 421 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | period of time I would say that it was pretty hectic | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | and chaotic, so the actual rigidity of those sectors | |
| 3 | was not established until about the Wednesday. | |
| 4 | So from the Wednesday you were operating with the same | |
| 5 | sectors?Yes. | 12.37PM |
| 6 | But you effectively had two Sector Commanders in each | |
| 7 | sector?Yes, and there was a reason for that. | |
| 8 | That's why I'm interested in hearing because that seems to | |
| 9 | be a doubling up and a potential source of confusion | |
| 10 | about who should be doing what and who should be | 12.37PM |
| 11 | answering to whom?No, that's fine. No, there's a | |
| 12 | reason for that and that is because there's two | |
| 13 | distinct roles there. The CFA Sector Commander was in | |
| 14 | charge of the firefighting appliances, ie the | |
| 15 | telebooms, the aerial appliances, the airport tenders, | 12.38PM |
| 16 | whatever the gear they had in. Our role was to support | |
| 17 | them and also operate with them our firefighting | |
| 18 | equipment. So we had at the height of the fire we | |
| 19 | had - could I refer to my notes, if I can find it. | |
| 20 | At the height of the fire we had an additional 33 | 12.38PM |
| 21 | items of mobile plant in above our normal capacity and | |
| 22 | that consisted of five 30 tonne all terrain cranes with | |
| 23 | crane monitors, and they will be supported by a crew | |
| 24 | that would man our booster pump trailers that would | |
| 25 | enable them to get the water pressure. | 12.39PM |

We also had two initially 30,000 litre all terrain 26 articulated fire tankers on the site; that was boosted 27 28 to seven we had operating. We had seven long-reach 30 29 tonne excavators as well. So that type of equipment was run by ourselves because we're the subject matter 30 12.39PM experts or trained and competent in that equipment. 31

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 422 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

MR DUGAN XN BY MS RICHARDS

1 The CFA were trained and competent on their own 2 equipment but we needed to liaise between each other because we had to work in with one another, hence the 3 4 Sector Commanders would talk to one another during the 5 course of the day and be able to have the ability to 12.39PM move their machines with each other, and if the CFA 6 7 needed help, for example their appliances were attached 8 to Fire Service mains and they had lots of hoses attached, we would have crews come in and work with 9 10 them to move that machine to another stop, so there was 12.40PM 11 a lot of liaising.

12 The other thing too with a fire of this size is it 13 creates a lot of infrastructure damage, that being 14 roads, drains, power, water, and debris is brought in. 15 To even move around, we have to have earthmoving 12.40PM 16 equipment operating all the time to clear access with 17 that.

18 Do you think there might be some scope to explore closer 19 integration of that command structure when responding 20 to a fire?---Absolutely. They brought - - -12.40PM So you don't have two parallel command structures operating 21 next to one another?---It sounds parallel but in the 22 23 field it wasn't parallel. What I would - some of the 24 learnings that I would take out of it is that we would have the same communication system that would link both 25 12.40PM together. We had some form of linking, but it was 26 mainly our personal or mobile phones, so I think in the 27 28 event we would have some form of communication that 29 could be linked, but the actual structure - - -And you mean, like the radio communication system?---Yes. 30 12.41PM 31 The mine's and CFA's are quite different, are they?---They

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 423 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1are. As mentioned before we had a number of portable2radios that we put into the CFA appliances that could3be used to, whilst the CFA aerial appliance will be4fighting a high batter, he will be in contact with one5of our diggers to dig out the hot material and drop6down to him, so there's a lot of interaction going on7there between the crews.

There's one other area I'd like you to expand on. You talk 8 9 from paragraph 82 onwards about carbon monoxide 10 management and you comment on, I suppose it's fair to 12.41PM 11 say, different work practices between the crews at the 12 mine and the firefighters who are coming in from outside to respond to the fire. You said at 13 14 paragraph 85 that there were problems that hampered the firefighting effort. Can you expand on that?---Yes, 15 12.42PM 16 this was in the initial stages in the first week. The 17 CO had come to light in the 2006 fire as an issue in a coal mine. From that, the CFA had developed a - I 18 19 don't know recall the policy, I don't understand their 20 terminology - but had developed then, we have somewhere 12.42PM 21 a set of slides that show what was acceptable in a fire 22 front. So we incorporate that into our mine fire 23 instructions, and that was - I'm going on memory here 24 without reading them - below 30 parts per million, which is an atmospheric measurement. We would 25 12.43PM 26 undertake our firefighting without being hampered, in a sense, and then above 30 parts per million up to 200 27 28 parts per million we could work, but no more than two 29 hours, a two hour period.

30Now, that's the way we started on the first day,12.43PM31as I think Romeo or someone pointed out. We have banks

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 424 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR DUGAN XN BY MS RICHARDS 12.41PM

1 of CO monitors spread throughout the mine and they were 2 issued to our firefighting workforce and they were 3 carried on their persons within the work group and they 4 were able to ascertain whether they were in that sort of level of carbon monoxide. Do you want me to 5 12.43PM continue? 6 7 Please do?---With that, there was some confusion on what the 8 CFA were using and they - if you permit me to read what I've said here. They then required or directed us that 9 10 we should be having a two hour break after two hours' 12.44PM 11 work. 12 Where did that direction come from?---From my counterpart, the correct terminology at that point in time I'm not 13 14 sure, but it was the Operations Commander on site. 15 That we would work two hours and have to bring our guys 12.44PM 16 out after two hours. Then the finger testing began. And there was an equipment - - -?---There was only one. 17 18 There was only one and it wasn't working that well?---That's 19 correct. They worked out that the erroneous readings 20 were caused by UV - the ultraviolet light from the 12.44PM lights and indeed the dirty hands of the firefighters 21 so the CFA made moves to fix that. But what it was 22 23 doing was, it was holding our workforce out longer than 24 we thought, or our own policy said. You were able to resolve that?---Yes. 25 12.45PM 26 By 18 February?---Can you show me what paragraph that's on? Paragraph 86, so at 30 parts or less than 30 parts 27 28 per million there was no need to apply the two hour 29 rule?---Yes. And it was only when the readings were over 30 parts 30 12.45PM 31 per million that people could only work for two hour

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 425 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS shifts?---That's correct.

1

2 But later in your statement you suggest that CFA or the firefighters under CFA control continued to work for 3 4 two hours with a two hour rest?---Yes, that is correct, and I thought that that had been worked out, but I then 5 12.45PM 6 found out that this was due to a fatigue policy. So 7 their workers would work two hours, then come back out 8 and be replaced by another crew that would go down and take over their firefighting appliances. 9 10 So that was for fatigue management rather 12.46PM 11 than - - -?---That's what I was told by the CFO. 12 At paragraph 88 you say that, as far as you're aware, nobody had to be treated for carbon monoxide exposure arising 13 14 of the firefighting effort. Is that referring to mine 15 employees and contractors only?---Yes, that would be 12.46PM 16 the case. What I meant by that was that they would 17 stay in hospital and be treated for any exposures. 18 When the erroneous readings were happening with the UV 19 light and the dirty hands, we had a lot of readings 20 that were going over the 5 per cent, which is different 12.46PM to the atmospherics, it's a percentage of CO in the 21 blood, and that was triggering our persons to go to the 22 23 hospital to report, but we had no person, once they 24 were tested at the hospital, could verify that their percentage of CO was not over the limit. 25 12.47PM 26 But these were the people working under your 27 control?---Under my direction. 28 Firefighters under the CFA incident control is a separate 29 issue?---Yes, I'm only speaking for my own firefighting 30 team. 12.47PM 31 I have no more questions for you, Mr Dugan. The Board may

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 426 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR DUGAN XN BY MS RICHARDS 1

have some questions.

2 MEMBER PETERING: Mr Dugan, Ms Richards invited you to express your learnings and particularly around the 3 4 integration of the sector supports. Given you're the Mine Production Manager, would you mind indulging in 5 12.47PM perhaps some learnings around preparation that you 6 7 picked up on behalf of GDF that you may have done 8 differently?---In preparation, can I just expand just to give you a bit of an idea what our preparation was, 9 10 it hasn't been brought out here, it may later, but we 12.48PM 11 have a program when, prior to the fire season starting, 12 we have an extensive slashing program and that encompasses about 530 hectares of land between the mine 13 perimeter and the mine boundary. We have lessees also 14 15 that own land in there that are instructed to, if 12.48PM 16 they're not cutting it for hay before the end of the year, we'll have it slashed. That is ongoing and 17 18 that happens every year. There's also a review done of 19 it in the February to see if there's any regrowth and 20 then, if there is, we would slash it again. So, in 12.48PM fact, in the part of mine preparedness, I think we were 21 22 pretty good.

23 We must realise also, we're very focused also on 24 fire coming from the mine out caused by plant or the like, so hence that's sort of our focus. Yes, there is 25 12.49PM the chance of fire coming in but, as I said to you, 26 we're surrounded by grasslands, and we took - by the 27 28 slashing and the perimeter road that we have around the 29 mine, any fast-moving grass fire, we believe, would not come into the mine. 30 12.49PM

31 So nothing further in relation to preparation given the

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 427 MR DUGAN XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | weather situation you've identified in your RAG report, | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | nothing further you would have done?At this point, | |
| 3 | what I can recollect, without probably leaving this | |
| 4 | witness stand and say I probably should have said that, | |
| 5 | no, I can't think of anything at the moment. | 12.49PM |
| 6 | Thank you. | |
| 7 | < <u>CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR RIORDAN:</u> | |
| 8 | On that topic, Mr Dugan, I think you also mentioned that | |
| 9 | there's an annual audit report, is there not?That's | |
| 10 | correct. | 12.50PM |
| 11 | You also mentioned the audit report, if I can take you to | |
| 12 | Annexure 10 to your statement if that's achievable. Do | |
| 13 | you have that in front of you as well?Yes, I have | |
| 14 | that in front of me. | |
| 15 | Can you indicate to the Board the sort of work that this | 12.50PM |
| 16 | covers in the audit report?This audit report is | |
| 17 | conducted prior to the fire season yearly, and it looks | |
| 18 | at all the equipment that we have for firefighting | |
| 19 | purposes is checked and that it is not malfunctioning, | |
| 20 | ie the trucks, the number of hoses that we have in | 12.50PM |
| 21 | stock. If there is defects, we fix them directly after | |
| 22 | the audit. The sprays, whether the sprays are working | |
| 23 | properly; the hydrants, whether they're working | |
| 24 | properly and the likes, that's tested at the same time. | |
| 25 | The mine also consists of conveyors and these | 12.51PM |
| 26 | conveyors come out of the mine to the raw coal bunker | |
| 27 | and they have a firefighting system applied there as | |
| 28 | well and that is all checked and ticked off. | |
| 29 | Can I take you to page 48 of 50 in that report. Could you | |
| 30 | tell the Board what that records?That's the diagram | 12.51PM |
| 31 | that we had up before, part thereof. It says - are we | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 428 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

MR DUGAN XXN BY MR RIORDAN 1

looking at the same page?

2 No, we're not, that's the overburden. The next page, that's the page you're being directed to, yes?---Yes. What it 3 4 says there is that there they've found out that there two hydrants on 3 Level, six ball valves on one level 5 12.52PM and two on 3 Level, the two hydrants need replacing, 6 7 they're basically giving a description on some of their 8 parts that they've found that were inactive and needed 9 to be replaced. On the point about the witness who later on today talks 10 12.52PM 11 about non-compatibility with CFA, are you aware 12 anywhere on the site where there are any water connections which aren't CFA compatible?---No, I'm not 13 14 aware. 15 And so, you suspect that, if they had difficulties in 12.52PM 16 connecting their equipment, the problem lay 17 elsewhere?---It may do. 18 You were asked about the pipelines, on that northern batter 19 pipeline you mentioned that, as a result of 20 rehabilitation there'd been some pipelines removed 12.53PM there, and also as a result of some defective or 21 22 leaking pipes, there have been some removal of pipes in 23 the area. Is that right?---That's correct. 24 Is your understanding of the terms of the mine licence of 25 GDF Suez that in fact you're required to have pipes 12.53PM 26 there under the terms of that licence?---No, I think in 27 the policy, I think it says that we have to have our 28 benches covered in clay and that is what in fact we 29 have, we have clay roads along the benches and then the clay's taken out to the top of the batter and to the 30 12.54PM 31 bottom, or what we call the toe, where there is a

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 429 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR DUGAN XXN BY MR RIORDAN

1 drain. 2 But nonetheless, you leave what pipes in there that you 3 can?---Yes. 4 In particular, there's been references to this fire 5 preparedness plan. Could I take you to Annexure 14. 12.54PM Annexure 14 has the pipe preparedness plans for the 6 7 Saturday and the Sunday which you've given evidence you 8 assisted Mr Shanahan in preparation of these documents. Is that right?---That's correct. 9 And they were prepared in light of the fact that the weekend 10 12.54PM 11 coming up was plainly going to be a high risk fire 12 weekend; correct?---That's correct. Could you explain to the Board what it is that these 13 14 guidelines are requiring GDF Suez staff to do?---It 15 sets out there - first of all, is it a total fire ban 12.55PM 16 and is there any background message that we need to 17 give the employees. We also show there where our 18 machines are, ie the dredges and the mobile slews and 19 the stacker in relation to the operational face. And 20 then preparedness for fire, it shows there that all 12.55PM 21 pumps, east header sprays must be checked on Friday the 22 7th. You will see down there, operations to operate 23 remote east headers on all face conveyors Saturday 24 nightshift. Saturday nightshift in coal mine language is Friday night, so our operational workforce was 25 12.55PM 26 dampening down the mine during the night in preparation 27 for the next day so that the 1x7 workforce didn't have 28 as much work to do in dampening anything else. 29 Then it goes on to the manning and available resources. It shows you there - - -30 12.56PM 31 Before you leave that, you talk about all unmanned machines

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 430 MR DUGAN XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

1 to be fully hosed up. Can you explain what that 2 means?---Yes, all the dredges and mobile slews if they're not manned, ie if they're not digging, they 3 4 must be hosted up, and hosted up means they're connected by a 90 ml lay flat hose from the machine to 5 12.56PM the charged Fire Service main so that, in the event of 6 7 a fire, the main can just being activated and turned on 8 and the sprinkler system on the machines commence. These machines have their own sprinkler systems incorporated 9 into the machines?---That's correct. 10 12.56PM 11 There is also talk about the east head header sprays and the 12 pumps being checked, pumps playing up. What are east header sprays?---Yes, along each conveyor, along each 13 14 face conveyor which is the conveyor that runs along the 15 operational face, there's two water supply pipelines, 12.57PM 16 they are 300 diameter steel pipelines, they're about 17 1.5 kilometres long which is the length of the 18 conveyor, average length. The east header in obvious 19 terms, east of the conveyor, that has all the valves 20 turned on but the line is not charged and that can be 12.57PM activated from the Control Centre operator and he 21 22 can - - -23 So it has a remote control, does it?---Remote control. The 24 water pipe on the other side of the conveyor remains charged all the time. 25 12.57PM 26 What's that for?---Charged means full of water, and under 27 pressure. 28 And that's called the west header, is it?---That's correct, 29 and that allows any person to turn on any spray or 30 hydrant. 12.57PM 31 That's not remotely operable? --- No, because it's charged.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 431 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR DUGAN XXN BY MR RIORDAN

"To relocate the portable sprays if necessary within the 1 mine coal areas to maximise water spray coverage", what 2 does that mean?---It means we have a number of portable 3 water sprays, they are the same as the sprays that sit 4 in our water pipes, and they're in a triangular pyramid 5 12.58PM 6 configuration so they can be lifted by two persons, and 7 they can be put into areas where the actual sprays of 8 the Fire Service pipes can't reach and they sort of plug the gaps, so to speak, on the coal benches, 9 10 operating benches. 12.58PM 11 The next line talks about intermittent sprays, why are they 12 intermittent? You see, "Sprays on intermittently from Saturday"?---Yes, intermittently means we are 13 14 continually wetting down the mine surface. If we 15 turned all the sprays on in the mine surface we would 12.58PM 16 just about flood it and we wouldn't be able to dig any coal. So intermittently, we'd have it on for a couple 17 18 of hours, stop it, go to the next one, turn it on, stop 19 it, and by doing that it maintains - all the coal 20 remains damp. 12.59PM That's from 7 o'clock in the morning, but two down they're 21 talking about operating of remote east headers and all 22 23 face conveyors on the Saturday nightshift?---Yes, 24 that's because the 1x7 services are not in at night and you'd have a health and safety issue walking along coal 25 12.59PM 26 benches turning on sprays on and off, so we help them by having it remotely controlled and there's four east 27 28 headers that can be turned on from the Control Centre. 29 So that's basically creating a fire break or wetting coal so that it doesn't have to be done as quick in the 30 12.59PM 31 next day.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 432 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry You've heard some of the former members or workers
 particularly in the SECV days talk about wetting down
 the coal surfaces. Was this done?---Yes.

4 On this weekend?---Yes.

5 They also talked about having availability of tankers and 01.00PM the like. Can you explain to the Board what tankers 6 7 this requires to have available and other 8 appliances?---Yes. We have two CFA, ex-CFA fire tankers which are 3,000 litre capacity, one stationed 9 10 at the mine, the other one stationed with Diamond 01.00PM 11 Protection who are our emergency provider. We also 12 have two 30,000 litre all terrain articulated water tankers and they have the capacity to either supply 13 water to firefighting efforts or indeed they have two 14 15 monitors remotely controlled from the cabin, but they 01.00PM 16 can hit large structures or batters.

17 Is that a convenient time?

18 CHAIRMAN: Yes, but I'm going to ask a question that you may 19 want to deal with after we've resumed. In paragraph 25 20 of your statement you referred to significant learnings 01.01PM from previous fires. I'd like your comments on whether 21 one of the potentially significant learnings from this 22 23 fire was that there ought to be taken into account 24 significant health risks from a fire in a mine so close to a town?---I think you're right. I don't disagree 25 01.01PM 26 with that, but at the point in time we were fighting a fire and you're responsible for the firefighters and 27 28 the personnel in the fire, so my primary aim, along 29 with the CFA, is to protect the health and safety of the firefighting workforce, so you're sort of - your 30 01.01PM 31 consideration is (a) first - - -

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 433 MR DUGAN XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN 1 (a) Is your fire personnel, your mine personnel?---Yes.

2 But query whether (b) is the people in the adjoining area or 3 (b) is the operating area protection. I gather, the 4 lives of the people in the adjoining area would be (b), if you had to be put to it, but from what you're saying 5 01.02PM there wasn't consideration given to that which goes 6 7 under life and death but significant health 8 risks?---You're right there, but the Morwell township was suffering smoke from week 1. That was firmly on 9 10 our minds at any time. Our strategy was to knock out 01.03PM 11 the northern batters, which is closest to the freeway 12 which is closest to the township, so most of our firefighting efforts were aimed in that area to knock 13 14 that down as soon as possible.

15 And that was, say, as against the southern batters because 01.03PM 16 of your perception that something needed to be done for 17 the citizens of Morwell rather than with the southern 18 batters where you could have easily put attention. You 19 chose, because of the reasons you've mentioned, to 20 direct it to the northern batters?---Absolutely. In 01.03PM the initial stages of the fire fight, the first two 21 22 days, we operate under, as Mr Lapsley indicated, 23 primacy of life, I think it's communication to the 24 public and then critical infrastructure. We had no primacy of life issues, and at that point of time no 25 01.03PM 26 public issues, as in communicating because the CFA were the combatant authority. Our third one is the 27 28 infrastructure. But within the second or third day the 29 attention was turned to the smoke that was enveloping Morwell and just coming off that. 30 01.04PM

31 So it wasn't in the express priorities, but you included it

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 434 MR DUGAN XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | because of its relative significance as assessed at | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | that time?Absolutely, and just to ratify that, I | |
| 3 | used to participate in the morning briefings of the CFA | |
| 4 | and MFB when they come on site, and you had a lot of | |
| 5 | people coming from interstate or other parts of the | 01.04PM |
| 6 | State not knowing the area and really not knowing the | |
| 7 | reason - they're there to fight a fire, but one of the | |
| 8 | things that we made plain and clear on the day, you are | |
| 9 | here to try and stop the fire, to stop the people of | |
| 10 | Morwell getting inundated with smoke. Obviously health | 01.04PM |
| 11 | and safety was the number one concern, but the main | |
| 12 | strategy, main priority was to stop this smoke getting | |
| 13 | into Morwell. There's no question. | |
| 14 | Thank you, we will resume at 2 o'clock. | |
| 15 | <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW). | 01.04PM |
| 16 | LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | | |
| | | |

1 UPON RESUMING AT 2.00 P.M.:

2 <ROBERT RONALD DUGAN, recalled:

3 MR RIORDAN: As the Board pleases.

Mr Dugan, before I forget, yesterday there was a question 4 5 raised with Mr Harkins about fire preparedness plans 02.02PM and what had been prepared earlier in the bushfire 6 7 season. Have you had the opportunity of getting a 8 printout that shows when that occurred?---Yes, I have. I looked up when I'd sent the plans out in January, and 9 10 if I could just read the dates: There were six 02.02PM preparedness plans ranging from 13/1, 14/1, 15/1, 16/1, 11 12 27/1 and 2/2. Thank you. Would the Board prefer that document be tendered or would 13 14 that transcript evidence satisfy the need? 15 CHAIRMAN: We accept his evidence without question. 02.02PM 16 MR RIORDAN: Thank you. Could I take you back to the fire 17 preparedness plan I was asking you questions about at 18 lunchtime which was exhibit 14 I think. I think you 19 got down to manning and available resources in 20 explaining it. The Diamond Protection fire tanker, 02.05PM could you tell the Board what's that tanker?---As I 21 mentioned before, we have two ex-CFA tankers on site 22 23 which are 3,000 litre capacity, one of which is 24 stationed with the Diamond Protection at the front gate. It can also be utilised in first response to any 02.05PM 25 26 emergency in the power station and/or mine. Adequate manning of the 1x7 crew, what does that 27 28 mean?---That makes sure there's adequate manning to do 29 their normal work for the day but also to have enough manning to respond to an emergency situation if the 30 02.05PM 31 need arises.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 436 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

MR DUGAN XXN BY MR RIORDAN

1 The next instruction relates to communications on channel 29 UHF radio. What's the import of that?---That's so that 2 we have, as people may not realise, there's 40 channels 3 4 on UHF and we predominantly operate on two or three in 5 the mine, but this is to make sure that, in the event 02.05PM 6 of an emergency, we all go to one channel, which is 29. 7 MEMBER PETERING: Sorry, Mr Riordan, but that wasn't in fact 8 the case on the day of the 8th, they reverted to channel 21?---I believe so, from listening to 9 10 Mr Shanahan's evidence when he called on 29, yes. 02.05PM 11 Do you have any understanding in why there would be a change 12 of number, of channel?---No, I don't. The fire tank allocation, ensured that all the 13 MR RIORDAN: 30 tonne tankers remain half full at all times, what's 14 15 the intention behind that instruction?---This is a 02.05PM 16 fired preparedness plan for the next day or two days, or next day in this case. That's so, that that tanker 17 18 can still do its duties as in watering roads and doing 19 its normal earth work duties, but usually if you're 20 just doing an earthworks project you'll run your tanker 02.05PM down to nearly out before you go and refill. By saying 21 to it to only stop at half full and then go and refill, 22 23 in the event of an emergency you need to respond you'll 24 still have 15,000 litres there. The second page talks about the allocation of a fire alert 25 02.05PM 26 event. You were asked some questions about this, but can you tell the Board, with these features in place 27 28 operating as this was on a Saturday, would there have 29 been any difference in substance with the fire 30 preparedness if a fire alert had been prepared as 02.06PM 31 opposed to people complying with the fire preparedness

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 437 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry MR DUGAN XXN BY MR RIORDAN

1 plan?---No, not really. The main object of the fire alert is during the week days when you have other 2 persons other than the operational crew on the coal 3 4 benches, for example the maintenance and any other 5 contractual workforce that's working in there. During 02.06PM the weekend maintenance is not on the coal benches. 6 7 That was prepared on the Friday?---That's correct. 8 And you gave Mr Shanahan some assistance with it?---That's 9 correct. 10 You also prepared the next one in that group, which was for 02.06PM 11 the Sunday which was identical except that plainly 12 enough it provided for overnight sprinkling on the Saturday night rather than the Friday night et cetera; 13 is that correct?---Yes, that's correct. A mine 14 15 operations group, as we explained earlier, have the 02.07PM 16 capacity to automatically turn on the sprinklers to wet 17 down the mine and that was the case at this point. 18 When you prepared that on the Friday, were you aware of the fire that had started northwest of the mine at Hernes 19 20 Oak?---I'm not sure whether it was just before or 02.07PM during the notification that I was aware that there was 21 a fire, but in the event I still would have put this 22 23 out due to the total fire ban that was declared for 24 Saturday and Sunday. 25 You did hear of the fire on the Friday?---Yes. 02.07PM 26 After you heard of the fire, in paragraph 37 you say you had 27 numerous discussions about the fire and the potential 28 threat of the fire with Dave Shanahan?---That's 29 correct. Could you say what additional arrangements or suggestions 30 02.07PM 31 were put in place as a result of those

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 438 MR DUGAN XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

1 discussions?---Yes, it was to divert our Fire Service 2 personnel or 1x7 personnel, along with the RTL contractors, over to that edge of the mine with our two 3 4 30,000 tankers. Which edge of the mine?---Northwestern edge, corresponding 5 02.08PM 6 to the line of Hernes Oak, and they were to remain in a 7 patrolling capacity during the rest of that day. 8 You also mentioned in your statement two graders and two dozers; what's the purpose for those?---The graders and 9 10 dozers, as mentioned before, can - sort of first line 02.08PM 11 attack. If indeed you spot a fast running grass fire 12 coming towards you, you can quite quickly establish a fire break. Now, the dozers and graders that we're 13 talking about are a much bigger piece of plant than the 14 15 normal grader and dozer you'll see out in the normal 02.08PM 16 workforce. 17 When did you leave on your holidays to go to Mallacoota, 18 wasn't it?---That's correct. When did you leave?---I can't remember the time, but it was 19 20 late Friday, it was getting towards dusk and I'm not 02.09PM sure of the exact time, but yes, upon leaving one of 21 the last communications I believe I had was with Dean 22 23 Suares. He was the 1x7 Supervisor that was still there 24 with the trucks and the graders and dozers and his 25 comment to me was that everything appeared to be quiet. 02.09PM 26 Quiet with reference to what?---Reference to having, moving 27 towards the mine. 28 The fire?---Yes. Sorry, the Hernes Oak Fire. 29 On the Saturday at Mallacoota did you get any information as 30 to how the fire at Hernes Oak was progressing?---I 02.09PM 31 can't remember exactly whether it was by the news

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 439 MR DUGAN XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

1 reports or indeed a phone call, but I believe on 2 Saturday that there was a terminology used "contained". I want to ask you a hypothetical question. Could I ask you 3 4 to have a look at Annexure 7 to Mr Harkins' statement, if that might be shown. This is the email of 5 02.10PM 8 February. Possibly, if you could be shown the model 6 7 on the third page. This was a model that was emailed 8 through to Mr Roach who you know as being the liaison officer?---That's correct. 9 10 On the Saturday evening and you weren't sent this, were 02.11PM you?---No, that's correct. 11 12 Are you familiar with these Phoenix models?---I only learnt 13 a lot about them yesterday. At the time at around about 8 February did you have any 14 15 familiarity with the Phoenix models? --- No. 02.11PM 16 If that had been sent to you at that time with your 17 knowledge what would that image have depicted to 18 you?---I would assume, not knowing the legend or all that, that there would be some activity of some sort, 19 20 and if it came from the CFA, one would assume some fire 02.11PM activity would be in that area. 21 22 If we could go back two pages with the emails. If on 23 Saturday you had of had emailed to you that model by 24 Mr Roach and you were told that that had come in from the ICC as depicting the worst-case scenario at 1 a.m. 25 02.12PM 26 on Monday, given your state of knowledge at the time as 27 to the state of the Hernes Oak Fire as it was, would 28 you have been inclined at that point in time on 29 Saturday night to take any further action?---No, because looking at the timing of the email, it would be 30 02.13PM 31 hard to do anything different and, with what we'd set

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 440 MR DU Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR

MR DUGAN XXN BY MR RIORDAN

- 1 up and that I knew was in place, that was forecast for 2 the Monday morning at 1 am, I presume, if I remember 3 rightly.
- Monday at 1 a.m., yes?---Yes. The equipment and tankers
 that we had over there, being the first response type 02.13PM
 machines that they are, I would deem that satisfactory
 at this point in time.
- 8 The model such as you understood it, showing a worst-case scenario of the Hernes Oak Fire if it escaped its 9 10 containment could reach the northern batters of the 02.13PM 11 mine, was that conveying any information to you as a 12 possibility that you weren't already aware of?---Not really, because when you've got the knowledge that 13 there's a fire in Hernes Oak somewhere in that 14 15 vicinity, and you knew the winds that were forecast, 02.14PM 16 you would think that we may have some issues there, 17 hence I don't think it would have told me anything 18 different because I didn't understand what the colours 19 meant.
- 20 The email, if I could just take it up a bit, the email after 02.14PM Mr Roach got it, at 6 p.m. he made the decision that he 21 wouldn't distribute it at that stage, would spend some 22 23 time with Nick Demetrios presumably the next morning to 24 get a better real-time modelling as the day goes by, do you have any comment as to whether you think that was 25 02.14PM 26 an adequate or proper approach?---Again, being night-time and not understanding whether they would be 27 28 able to get a model delivered updated from that or an 29 explanation, I would find that getting it first thing the next morning, I would find that satisfactory. 30 02.14PM 31 I have no further questions for this witness.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 441 MR DUGAN XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN 1 <RE-EXAMINED BY MS RICHARDS:

2 Two very brief matters in re-examination, Mr Dugan.

Mr Riordan asked you a number of questions about the 3 4 detail of the fire preparedness plan that was issued 5 and you spoke about sprinklers going, wetting down 6 being done overnight. Just to be absolutely clear, 7 that was all wetting down of the operating part of the 8 mine, was it not?---That's correct.

None of that was on the worked out batters?---That's 9 10 correct.

02.15PM

02.15PM

- 11 So there was no wetting down of that area of the mine at 12 all?---That's correct.
- With the pipe network that was in place was it possible to 13 14 wet down that area of the mine?---With the pipe work 15 that you have on the benches, the sprays when they're 02.15PM 16 rotating hit the batters about 10 metres, 15 metres up about a maximum, they don't go to the full height of 17 the 20 metres high. But the reason that wouldn't enter 18 19 into consideration at that particular time was that our 20 primary aim is to protect the infrastructure to 02.16PM 21 maintain power supply to the State.

22 You were asked some questions just a moment ago about this 23 email with the Phoenix prediction map attached. Your 24 answer was that you thought that it was appropriate for Mr Roach to speak with Mr Demetrios the following day 25 02.16PM 26 and get some better real-time modelling the following day. Had you been at work, you would have wanted to be 27 28 kept up-to-date with the information that Mr Roach got 29 from Mr Demetrios, would you not?---I would suggest that, if I had of been at work, I would have been 30 31 contacted.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 442 MR DUGAN RE-XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 02.16PM

| 1 | No further questions. May Mr Dugan be excused if the Board | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | has nothing further for him. | |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Dugan. | |
| 4 | <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW) | |
| 5 | MS RICHARDS: The next witness is a community witness, | 02.17PM |
| 6 | Anthony Lalor. Mr Lalor, would you please come | |
| 7 | forward. | |
| 8 | < <u>ANTHONY JOHN LALOR</u> , sworn and examined: | |
| 9 | MS RICHARDS: Good afternoon. | |
| 10 | Can you please tell us your full name?Anthony John Lalor. | 02.18PM |
| 11 | You live in Willow Grove on a dairy farm there?That's | |
| 12 | correct. | |
| 13 | You have run that dairy farm for about 25 years?Correct. | |
| 14 | You've made a statement to the Inquiry that I understand you | |
| 15 | have there in front of you?That's right. | 02.18PM |
| 16 | It's a statement of 40 paragraphs with one attachment and | |
| 17 | that attachment is the submission that you've made to | |
| 18 | the Inquiry. Have you read the statement | |
| 19 | recently?Couple of nights ago. | |
| 20 | Are there any corrections that you would like to make to | 02.18PM |
| 21 | it?No. | |
| 22 | Is the statement true and correct?Yes. | |
| 23 | I tender that, if I could. | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | #EXHIBIT 14 - Statement of Anthony Lalor. | 02.18PM |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | MS RICHARDS: Mr Lalor, you told us a moment ago that you | |
| 28 | have been running the dairy farm that you live on for | |
| 29 | about 25 years. You weren't always a dairy | |
| 30 | farmer?No. Prior to that I was a surveyor with the | 02.19PM |
| 31 | SEC. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 443 MR LALOR XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | That was at around the time of privatisation, did you cross | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | that barrier?I left when it was privatised. | |
| 3 | For about the same time that you have been running your farm | |
| 4 | at Willow Grove, you have been a volunteer with the | |
| 5 | Country Fire Authority?Correct; a little bit longer. | 02.19PM |
| 6 | What brigade do you belong to?Willow Grove. | |
| 7 | Do you hold any position in that brigade?I'm the First | |
| 8 | Lieutenant and the Secretary. | |
| 9 | Until 9 February this year had you had any previous | |
| 10 | experience fighting a coal mine fire in one of the open | 02.19PM |
| 11 | cut mines in this area?I've been involved in a fire | |
| 12 | at Hazelwood Mine, I think it must have been 2006; is | |
| 13 | that correct? | |
| 14 | It says 2008 in your statement?2008, sorry, yes, and a | |
| 15 | number of small fires, but when I was with the survey | 02.20PM |
| 16 | group in Yallourn and associated open cuts. | |
| 17 | Besides from that larger fire that you fought or assisted | |
| 18 | with fighting in 2008, have you had any particular | |
| 19 | training with brown coal fire fighting?No. | |
| 20 | How familiar are you with the layout of the Hazelwood Mine. | 02.20PM |
| 21 | Because I was a surveyor I had quite a lot to do with the | |
| 22 | mine, but that was 25 years ago, so of course it's | |
| 23 | changed quite dramatically in that time, but I have | |
| 24 | knowledge of the area. | |
| 25 | I'd like to take you straight to 9 February. You were | 02.20PM |
| 26 | called out for a small fire that morning?Yes, we | |
| 27 | went to a fire lit by an arsonist at Rokeby and | |
| 28 | successfully contained that and returned back to the | |
| 29 | station. | |
| 30 | And then there was a call to put together a strike | 02.21PM |
| 31 | team?That's correct. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 444 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | And you put your name forward?I did, yes. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Tell us about the strike team that was assembled?We had | |
| 3 | the Willow Grove tanker, we had a forward command | |
| 4 | vehicle which was a Toyota four-wheel drive from | |
| 5 | Noojee. We had a Hallora tanker, a Trida tanker, | 02.21PM |
| 6 | Tarwin Lower tanker. There's one I've forgotten. | |
| 7 | I think Erica, you mention?Sorry, Erica, yes. | |
| 8 | Two of those tankers were from the Narracan group that your | |
| 9 | brigade belongs to?Erica and Willow Grove, yes. | |
| 10 | And the other three were from another group?Other groups, | 02.21PM |
| 11 | yes. | |
| 12 | And none of them from Morwell?No. | |
| 13 | When and where did the strike team assemble?We assembled | |
| 14 | at the Morwell Fire Station around about 6 o'clock. | |
| 15 | Where were you directed to go?Initially we were told that | 02.22PM |
| 16 | we would be going out to Tyers, and then information | |
| 17 | came in that the Morwell briquette factory was under | |
| 18 | impact from fire, and we were told that we were being | |
| 19 | redirected there. We were directed there following a | |
| 20 | Morwell tanker as our lead vehicle which took us down | 02.22PM |
| 21 | to the Morwell briquette factory. When we arrived | |
| 22 | there it was evident that the briquette factory was not | |
| 23 | under attack, there was a lot of smoke but there was no | |
| 24 | actual flame. So then the Morwell tanker then | |
| 25 | redirected us over the ridge, what I call the ridge, | 02.22PM |
| 26 | around to the mine perimeter. At that stage the | |
| 27 | Morwell tanker was called away and we were tasked to | |
| 28 | protect and put our fires in that area. | |
| 29 | So at this stage you're still outside the perimeter fence of | |
| 30 | the mine?Yes. We were directed to a gate, I think, | 02.23PM |
| 31 | but don't hold me to this, it was gate 1A that we were | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 445 MR LALOR XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1

told to get there, to meet there.

When you got there you had some difficulty getting through?---We did, the gate, the 8 foot security fencing and a large gate, we always carry boltcutters on our truck to allow us to get access through most gates, but I believe, possibly due to the quality of the steel used, that we couldn't cut the chain or the padlock on that gate.

9 You weren't able to cut your way in?---No.

- 10 We've been told by another witness that there's a swipe card 02.23PM 11 held at the Morwell Fire Station that allows entry to 12 the mine. Did the Morwell tanker that had been with you have a swipe card?---I don't know, but there was no 13 14 instrumentation or devices at that gate that would 15 allow a use of a swipe card, so it took some time for 02.24PM 16 us to communicate that back that we could not gain 17 access at that point.
- 18 Once it became clear that you couldn't gain access, what did 19 you do next?---We were redirected back to another gate 20 which is possibly the gate that they're talking about, 02.24PM 21 which is a boom gate type gate, and apparently that can 22 be remotely operated from the Control Centre. It took 23 quite a long time for us to be able to get that 24 remotely operated to allow that to open and, when it was opened, it was opened on a press button basis so 25 02.24PM that every truck that had to enter or exit the site had 26 to press a button, to go through individually. 27 That 28 was later rectified but initially it was one truck at a 29 time.
- 30Mr Prezioso this morning talked about a slide gate. Does02.25PM31that match the description of the gate that you went

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 446 MR LALOR XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | through?No. Look, I thought it was a boom gate, | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | maybe it was a slide gate. It was a remote controlled | |
| 3 | gate. | |
| 4 | If we put up a satellite image of the mine, do you think you | |
| 5 | can point out the location of the gate?I think so, | 02.25PM |
| 6 | yes. Somewhere in this vicinity here from my | |
| 7 | recollection. | |
| 8 | That's pretty much directed to the west of the briquette | |
| 9 | factory?Yes. | |
| 10 | What time was it by the time you eventually gained access to | 02.26PM |
| 11 | the mine?I couldn't tell you. It was probably - no | |
| 12 | look, I wasn't - because of the activity that was | |
| 13 | taking place and the pressure we were under, I wasn't | |
| 14 | really keeping track of time. Often when we have a | |
| 15 | strike team you have a penciller that's in the strike | 02.26PM |
| 16 | Team Leader's car but we didn't have a penciller so | |
| 17 | that sort of information wasn't taken down. | |
| 18 | When you were through the gate and inside the perimeter of | |
| 19 | the mine, was there anyone there to meet you and escort | |
| 20 | you?No. | 02.26PM |
| 21 | So, where did you go?We attacked - the fire was coming | |
| 22 | out of the, what I would call the eastern batters, | |
| 23 | coming over the top of the eastern batters onto the | |
| 24 | grasslands impacting on structures and infrastructure | |
| 25 | in that area, so we just immediately set about trying | 02.26PM |
| 26 | to bring those areas under control. | |
| 27 | What particular infrastructure was under threat at that | |
| 28 | stage?There was what I believe to be the rising | |
| 29 | conveyor that feeds the Morwell briquette factory, that | |
| 30 | was being impacted from the grasslands onto that area, | 02.27PM |
| 31 | so we directed most of our activity into area. We were | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 447 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 again hampered by security fencing that we couldn't 2 access or gain access through so we were having to the security fencing wasn't a long way away from the 3 4 conveyor so we were able to get water onto the conveyor areas. We weren't - at that stage we presumed it was 5 02.27PM an operational piece of machinery; it was only later a 6 7 couple of fellows happened to come down in a ute, 8 mining personnel or briquette factory personnel, I 9 don't know who they were, we asked them the question, was this a vital piece of structure and I said, yes, 10 02.28PM 11 it's the main coal supply to the briquette factory. 12 We've also heard some evidence about sprays that are installed along the conveyor belts, the conveyors 13 14 throughout the mine. Was there any spray system 15 operating at that time?---There was no sprays operating 02.28PM 16 at the time that we were first there and we spent quite 17 some time there containing that fire, and later on in 18 the night, quite some time later when we went back past 19 those conveyor systems, there was evidence that sprays 20 had been activated. 02.28PM 21 Once you'd done everything that you could there, did you move to another part of the mine?---We basically 22 23 patrolled all along that northeast corner. 24 Again, could you indicate on the map where you were 25 patrolling?---Basically, right through that area there. 02.29PM 26 At this point you ran into some difficulty with your water 27 supply?---Yes. We were struggling for water, with the 28 number of tankers we had and at that stage there were 29 one or two fellows happen - they weren't tasked to assist us but they happened to be driving past and we 30 02.29PM 31 grabbed them we said, we need water, can you give us

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 448 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 any indication where we can access water for the 2 tankers. The initial point that we were directed to 3 was up on this hill somewhere up here, but before we 4 even got there we were told that water was unsuitable, this was from the mine employee, that the water was 5 02.30PM 6 unsuitable and they redirected us down to a, I think it 7 was an ash pond or some area down this way that we were 8 trying to access water out of. The flow rates were very poor, it took considerable time to refill our 9 10 tankers. We always try to organise the tanker so that 02.30PM 11 we're not all filling at once so that there are always 12 tankers on the fire ground and it was a very slow 13 process.

14 Once you had been able to replenish your water, where did 15 you concentrate your efforts then?---Back in that 02.30PM northeast corner. It was running across, there's a 16 17 large number of buildings up on the ridge area. 18 There's old, I believe they are conveyor gullets that 19 run through that area that were heavily timbered which 20 caused guite a lot of concerns. Again, we had a number 02.31PM of concerns with security fencing; all those buildings 21 seemed to be individually occupied and they have 22 23 security fencing around it and we again had trouble 24 getting through that fencing. We'd be able to get so far through and couldn't access the other side. All 25 02.31PM 26 the time you've been talking about you've been up on 27 the upper level of the mine?---Yes.

At any time did you look into the open cut and take stock of what was happening in there?---Yes. Reasonably early when we first got there, when the fire was coming out of the mine, we were up fairly close and looked over

02.31PM

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 449 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 2 the edge and, yeah, quite amazed at the extent of the coverage of the fire on the batters.

Can you describe what you saw?---If anybody's seen the 3 4 photos that have been in the press, it's like a vertical larva flow; it was just guite amazing. 5 The 02.32PM 6 extent, I've never seen fire of that extent when I've 7 been to previous mine fires, and I do remember remarking to people, I said it would take them months 8 9 to get this out and, yeah, as it turned out, I think 10 that's what happened, yes. 02.32PM

11 At some point you were re-tasked to work along the northern 12 side of the mine?---Yes. Later on in the evening, I remember it was dark at all this time and we were 13 14 reliant a little bit on my knowledge of the road works, 15 all the road works that we were working around or the 02.32PM 16 areas working around were the old parts of the mine which I had a little bit of knowledge of. But we were 17 18 given the assistance of two mine employees and they 19 tasked us to head over to the northern batters, an area 20 that basically runs between the Princes Highway and the 02.33PM mine, I think there's a 66 kV power lines run through 21 22 that area and they wanted protection for those, so we 23 accessed those spots. We were surprised that the 24 access track into those areas was unmaintained because 25 they'd never been graded since winter, so it caused 02.33PM 26 some difficulty in getting - even though we have four-wheel drive tankers, we were severely impaired in 27 28 getting in there in a reasonable time. 29 I know it was difficult for you to keep track of time, but

30at what stage in the evening, into the night, was it02.33PM31that the mine personnel came to give you some

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 450 MR LALOR XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

| 1 | direction?I'd expect it would have been early the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | next morning. | |
| 3 | Until that point, how were you receiving your direction? | |
| 4 | Where was it coming from?They were through the | |
| 5 | strike Team Leader, and I believe he was in it | 02.34PM |
| 6 | communication with, I think, Morwell Fire Station | |
| 7 | initially but after that, I don't know. | |
| 8 | So he was getting direction over the radio from somewhere | |
| 9 | else?Over the radio, yes. | |
| 10 | And it wasn't until the early hours of the morning that you | 02.34PM |
| 11 | direct contact with mine employees?Yes, that's what | |
| 12 | I believe, yes. | |
| 13 | While you were working along the northern batters, could you | |
| 14 | see any suppression work being done inside the open | |
| 15 | cut?Not - none that was evident to me. | 02.34PM |
| 16 | You talk at one point in your statement about seeing two | |
| 17 | tankers driving down onto the floor of the mine?No, | |
| 18 | that was our tankers. Two of our tankers were tasked | |
| 19 | to go down and assist in protection of, I think it was | |
| 20 | a pump or a substation in the floor of the mine, so two | 02.35PM |
| 21 | tankers were sent there, because some tankers do have | |
| 22 | cabin protection for the crew and some don't, so we | |
| 23 | sent two tankers that had cabin protection for the crew | |
| 24 | down there. | |
| 25 | Were you in that party or did you remain up on the grass | 02.35PM |
| 26 | level?No, we've got a Rox cabin on our tanker and we | |
| 27 | remained above on grass level. | |
| 28 | Then you say in paragraph 35 of your statement that you | |
| 29 | spent the rest of the night really working around that | |
| 30 | northeastern corner?That's correct. | 02.35PM |
| 31 | What were you able to achieve in the course of that | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 451 MR LALOR XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS 1 night?---In hindsight, I think we saved Morwell briquette factory, I think we saved the char plant, I 2 think we saved all the buildings on the ridge, I think 3 4 we saved the workshops and I think we saved part of the township of Morwell, because at all those points there 5 02.35PM was major fire activity and without our efforts 6 7 I believe it would have been unchecked and, yes, could 8 still be going towards Traralgon today.

And at that point the fire threat was coming directly out of 9 the open cut mine?---That's correct, yes. The problem 10 02.36PM 11 was that, because there could be no suppression on the 12 fire in the open cut, it was continually putting out embers, so it was just a continued source of fire. 13 At what time were you able to knock off and handover to 14 15 another crew?---It was roughly 8 o'clock in the 02.36PM 16 morning.

17 Did you return to the fire fight that went on for another 18 six weeks or so?---No, I did not.

19 What were your reasons for that?---I've dealt with some 20 Government Departments and private plantation operators 02.37PM 21 and some mine operators over the years, whereas a CFA crew member at different times of the night or day or 22 23 whatever, they've had a roster change, they've said we 24 haven't got personnel to come in to assist you, you'll have to stay here and finish off or clean up or 25 02.37PM continue the thing, so my view, and it's only my 26 personal view, is that we tend to get used because 27 28 we're a volunteer firefighting force, doesn't cost us 29 anything and so - I turned out to every fire that the Willow Grove Fire Brigade has as a member and go 30 02.37PM 31 anywhere I'm required, but when the option is given to

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 452 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | me to return some places I'll make a decision not to | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | return. | |
| 3 | Thank you, Mr Lalor. Do Members of the Board have any | |
| 4 | questions? | |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN: There's this matter of the fitting, I didn't know | 02.38PM |
| 6 | whether you wanted | |
| 7 | MS RICHARDS: Yes. We've had some evidence from Mr Dugan | |
| 8 | who you saw just complete his evidence that the | |
| 9 | hydro-fittings within the mine are all supposed to be | |
| 10 | CFO compliant. Can you just elaborate on the | 02.38PM |
| 11 | difficulty you had finding a hydrant that you could | |
| 12 | couple your tanker to?Our main problem was | |
| 13 | basically, without assistance from mine personnel, we | |
| 14 | couldn't identify any hydrants or stand pipes. There's | |
| 15 | no signage, no reflectors, no - you know, in a town | 02.38PM |
| 16 | usually you have a wooden post and reflectors and | |
| 17 | indications of where your water points are; we could | |
| 18 | not find those points. There was one particular point, | |
| 19 | one of the first spots we went to that, whether it was | |
| 20 | a damage threat or whether it was incompatible, we | 02.38PM |
| 21 | couldn't make use of that particular point. | |
| 22 | We managed to scrounge water whenever we moved, so | |

22 23 we manage to get some water, we had to go through the 24 power station at one stage and we found a stand pipe 25 there and we managed to refuel, so we virtually used 02.39PM 26 our resources as we could, but we did find in one instance at least that we could not make use of their 27 28 stand pipe. And the water flow, even though we did 29 find stand pipes and hydrants, the water flow was very, 30 very poor. 02.39PM

31 Thank you, Mr Lalor. Now, I've had no indication that

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 453 MR LALOR XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MS RICHARDS

1

anyone wants to ask Mr Lalor any questions.

2 MR WILSON: No, thank you.

3 MR RIORDAN: I'll ask a couple of questions if I could.

4 <<u>CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR RIORDAN</u>:

- Just in relation to access, do you know why it was chosen to 02.39PM
 attempt to go in through the area in which you did in
 the first instance where you found the locked gate and
 you were unable to gain access?---We were directed
 there by the Morwell truck.
- 10 You followed the Morwell truck, you don't know why the 02.40PM Morwell- - -?---No, but I would presume, this is just a 11 12 presumption, that because the fire was impacting well, there was a lot of smoke and evidence of fire on 13 the other side of the ridge, so rather than return to 14 15 safe they went around there. Now, I don't know whether 02.40PM 16 they were acting on instructions or what.
- You don't know the reason why, when that didn't work, they didn't go around to the main entrance and secure entrance that way? You weren't part of those discussions?---I wasn't, no. That main entrance was an 02.40PM area that we had difficulty getting through
- 22 because - -
- 23 The main entrance with the boom gate?---Yes. That's the one 24 that I mentioned earlier that - - -

It's a manned entrance?---No, it wasn't manned, there was 02.40PM no - the entrance that we went through wasn't manned. You indicated the area where there's gate 1A where there's an intercom button?---No, gate 1A didn't have any infrastructure, intercoms, swipe cards, nothing at all.

30 The second gate, 2?---The second gate had that 02.41PM
31 infrastructure.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 454 MR LALOR XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | What you indicated was, my instructions are that's gate 1A | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | and that's where you have intercom access?No, I | |
| 3 | don't believe that to be the case. | |
| 4 | You don't believe that it would be the case that it's 1A or | |
| 5 | you don't believe it to be the case that it has | 02.41PM |
| 6 | intercom access?The gate that we initially went to, | |
| 7 | which I believe to be 1A, did not have inter come | |
| 8 | access. | |
| 9 | But the second one did?The second one did. | |
| 10 | You understand, that's not the main gate?Look, I don't | 02.41PM |
| 11 | know the terminology, I don't know - it was on a main | |
| 12 | road that runs on the eastern side of the cut, so I | |
| 13 | don't know what the main gate or where the main gate | |
| 14 | is. | |
| 15 | But certainly, when you did get entrance through that gate, | 02.41PM |
| 16 | you did it by intercom?I don't know. | |
| 17 | You don't know?I don't know. | |
| 18 | Nobody met you at the gate. You say that when you were | |
| 19 | involved with the mine there used to be scouts to | |
| 20 | assist you to enter?That's correct. As a surveyor | 02.42PM |
| 21 | we were called upon to be guides to lead fire trucks | |
| 22 | into the mine area. | |
| 23 | Presumably, they weren't days of catastrophic fires when | |
| 24 | those scouts were made available to you to enter?I | |
| 25 | think some of the fires that we experienced at Yallourn | 02.42PM |
| 26 | may have been reasonably big fires. | |
| 27 | Are you saying that when you were being surveyor or carried | |
| 28 | around as a surveyor, they were in days of fire, were | |
| 29 | they?Sorry? | |
| 30 | Sorry, you were the scout, were you?Yes. I was a | 02.42PM |
| 31 | surveyor working for the SEC when they had fires, this | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 455 MR LALOR XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

1 is only applicable to my time when I was at Yallourn Open Cut. When a fire was in the open cut and they 2 brought in outside personnel, they tasked the survey 3 4 people to act as guides to lead those fire trucks into the site. 5 02.43PM 6 Are you aware if any arrangements were made by your truck 7 for scouts to be made available? In other words, 8 whether you contacted the Incident Control Centre and 9 told them that you wanted a scout when you were coming 10 through that entrance? --- Not being the strike Team 02.43PM 11 Leader, I'm not sure what he asked for or what he was 12 trying to achieve. I know our main concern was getting 13 access into the mine initially. 14 And you thought you had gone through the main gate?---I 15 don't know what the gate is called, but it was a gate 02.43PM 16 that was operated by swipe cards and had press buttons 17 and stopped all access at that, from that point 18 inwards. 19 You make mention of a lack of sprays on the conveyor. You 20 noted them operating later though, did you?---That's 02.44PM 21 correct, yes. 22 You put that down to the fact that there'd been a power 23 failure?---No, I didn't put it down to anything, I just 24 made a note that they weren't operating when we were there initially, later on they were. 25 02.44PM Certainly it would be consistent with the fact that there 26 27 had been a power failure which had been restored?---I 28 have no idea. 29 The track around the mine you made reference to, can I 30 suggest to you that you were operating off a secondary 02.44PM 31 track because the main track was not accessible at that

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 456 MR I Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MF

MR LALOR XXN BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | time because of the fire?No. We were operating off | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | a secondary track that was running parallel to the | |
| 3 | asset that we were protecting. | |
| 4 | Can I suggest to you that you note that the mine personnel | |
| 5 | knocked off at midnight and went home. Were you aware | 02.45PM |
| 6 | that another shift came on of mine personnel, a | |
| 7 | nightshift?That wasn't relevant to this fire, that | |
| 8 | was on the previous 2008 fire. | |
| 9 | I see, that's your experience in 2008 when you became | |
| 10 | dissatisfied, was it?That's correct. | 02.45PM |
| 11 | Thank you, very much. | |
| 12 | MS RICHARDS: May Mr Lalor be excused please? | |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you, Mr Lalor. | |
| 14 | <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW) | |
| 15 | MS RICHARDS: Now I'll let Mr Rozen have a turn. | 02.45PM |
| 16 | MR ROZEN: The next witness is Mr Jeremiah. Mr Jeremiah, | |
| 17 | please come to the witness box. | |
| 18 | < <u>LAWRENCE ROBERT JEREMIAH</u> , affirmed and examined: | |
| 19 | MR ROZEN: Afternoon, Mr Jeremiah. Can you please confirm | |
| 20 | that your full name is Lawrence Robert | 02.46PM |
| 21 | Jeremiah?That's correct. | |
| 22 | And your work address is 71 Hotham Street, | |
| 23 | Traralgon?That's correct. | |
| 24 | You're employed by the Department of Environment and Primary | |
| 25 | Industries, DEPI?Yes. | 02.46PM |
| 26 | Previously known as the Department of Sustainability and | |
| 27 | Environment?That's correct. | |
| 28 | And various other names over the years that we don't need to | |
| 29 | go into. Mr Jeremiah, you've made a witness statement | |
| 30 | dated 22 May 2014 for the purposes of the | 02.47PM |
| 31 | Inquiry?Yes, I have. | |
| | | |

457 MR JEREMIAH XN .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | And the statement runs to some 107 paragraphs and has 12 | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | attachments, is that right?That's correct. | |
| 3 | Have you read through a copy of your statement before giving | |
| 4 | evidence today?Yes, I have. | |
| 5 | Can you confirm for us please that the contents of the | 02.47PM |
| 6 | statement are true and correct?Yes, they are. | |
| 7 | I tender the statement. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | #EXHIBIT 15 - Statement of Lawrence Jeremiah. | |
| 10 | | 02.47PM |
| 11 | MR ROZEN: Mr Jeremiah, you've been asked to provide a | |
| 12 | statement and to give evidence before the Inquiry | |
| 13 | because you were the Incident Controller at the | |
| 14 | Traralgon Incident Control Centre between 8-12 February | |
| 15 | of this year?That's correct. | 02.47PM |
| 16 | More specifically, the day shift Incident Controller?Yes. | |
| 17 | I'll just ask you a little bit about your background and | |
| 18 | then I'll ask you some questions about your time during | |
| 19 | that period as the Incident Controller. Your formal | |
| 20 | title is Regional Business Manager with DEPI?That's | 02.48PM |
| 21 | correct. | |
| 22 | You have responsibility for the Gippsland region; is that | |
| 23 | right?The business management component, yes. | |
| 24 | Specifically budgeting, workforce and corporate activities | |
| 25 | in the Gippsland region?That's correct. | 02.48PM |
| 26 | You've held your current role since 2012?Yes. | |
| 27 | Probably more relevantly for our purposes, you have carried | |
| 28 | out fire management roles in various capacities for | |
| 29 | approximately the last 40 years of your working | |
| 30 | life?That's correct. | 02.48PM |
| 31 | You're a vastly experienced Level 3 Incident Controller; is | |
| | .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 458 MR JEREMIAH XN | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

BY MR ROZEN

| 1 | that fair to say?I'd say experienced. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Okay. 25 years doesn't count for vastly?Many fires. | |
| 3 | As we're aware, Level 3 is the highest level of | |
| 4 | accreditation for Incident Controllers. Is that | |
| 5 | right?That's correct. | 02.49PM |
| 6 | Formal qualifications, you have a Bachelor of Forest Science | |
| 7 | from the University of Melbourne and other | |
| 8 | qualifications listed at paragraph 4 of your | |
| 9 | statement?That's correct. | |
| 10 | If I can take you, please, to 7 February of this year. As | 02.49PM |
| 11 | of the afternoon of 7 February, there was a relatively | |
| 12 | small fire burning in your patch, if I can call it | |
| 13 | that, known as the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track | |
| 14 | Fire?That's correct. | |
| 15 | Throughout the course of 7 February - that is, the Friday | 02.49PM |
| 16 | afternoon, that fire was being managed locally as a | |
| 17 | Level 1 incident?That's my knowledge. I had no | |
| 18 | involvement with that fire on the Friday. | |
| 19 | I understand that, but that is your understanding and I | |
| 20 | don't think it's controversial, what does "managed | 02.50PM |
| 21 | locally" mean as you use that term?To my knowledge, | |
| 22 | the Traralgon Incident Control Centre was not | |
| 23 | functioning on the Friday, so the resources that were | |
| 24 | deployed to the incident were being managed by a local | |
| 25 | control facility and my assumption is that was via the | 02.50PM |
| 26 | CFA. | |
| 27 | In the afternoon or early evening of 7 February, you were | |
| 28 | asked by your employer, by DEPI, to fill the role of | |
| 29 | Incident Controller at the Traralgon Incident Control | |
| 30 | Centre from 8-12 February?Initially for the 8th and | 02.50PM |
| 31 | 9th. So when I was approached on the 7th I was only | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 459 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | aware of the 8th and 9th. As a consequence of the fire | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | it went through to the 12th. | |
| 3 | You accepted that role and, so far as the | |
| 4 | Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track Fire, the one that was being | |
| 5 | managed locally as of 7 February, you were advised | 02.51PM |
| 6 | that, if that increased in size, its management would | |
| 7 | be handed to you in your role as the Incident | |
| 8 | Controller at Traralgon on 8 February?That's | |
| 9 | correct. | |
| 10 | As it turned out, that is in fact what occurred and you | 02.51PM |
| 11 | became responsible for the management of that fire on | |
| 12 | 8 February?Yes. | |
| 13 | You use the expression "going" to describe that fire on | |
| 14 | 7 February, and that's a term of art, if I can put it | |
| 15 | that way, within the Emergency Services. Can you | 02.52PM |
| 16 | perhaps just briefly explain to us what that | |
| 17 | means?Yes. So, within our terminology we use | |
| 18 | primarily four definitions to demonstrate the status of | |
| 19 | a fire. "Going" means that there is still active fire | |
| 20 | which we need to deal with. "Contains" means that the | 02.52PM |
| 21 | fire's not spreading and potentially has limited risk, | |
| 22 | as in we're blacking out or doing something. | |
| 23 | "Controlled" implies that we believe the fire no longer | |
| 24 | poses a risk but we may still be patrolling it. | |
| 25 | Ultimately it changes to "safe". | 02.52PM |
| 26 | "Safe" means no further suppression action or patrols are | |
| 27 | necessary?That's correct. | |
| 28 | Just in relation to "controlled", and I'm reading here, and | |
| 29 | we probably don't need to go through it, but the | |
| 30 | Bushfire Handbook which is annexed to your statement | 02.52PM |
| 31 | defines "control" as the complete perimeter of a fire | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 460 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 risk secured and no break away is expected. Do you 2 agree with that?---That's correct. I want to ask you a little bit about the Incident Control 3 4 Centre at Traralgon and particularly the Incident Management Team that was made available to you when you 5 02.53PM 6 commenced your shift early on 8 February 2014. You 7 make reference in your statement to the applicable 8 JSOP, that's Joint Standard Operating Procedure; is that right?---That's correct. 9 It's joint in the sense that it applies to both DEPI and the 10 02.53PM CFA in terms of incident management readiness?---And 11 12 other agencies. Just by way of context, JSOP 2.03, which is the one you 13 refer to and we can find it at attachment 5 of your 14 15 statement, that is a standard operating procedure which 02.54PM 16 was revised in response to the recommendations of the 17 Black Saturday Royal Commission recommendations?---I 18 believe so. 19 In any event, without necessarily going to it in detail, you 20 summarise its effect in your statement, and I'll see if 02.54PM I can get this right, the Traralgon Incident Control 21 Centre is designated under that standard operating 22 23 procedure as the Incident Control Centre for the South 24 and West Gippsland cluster?---That's correct. It's the primary Incident Control Centre?---Yes. 25 02.54PM 26 Perhaps it would be useful to go to the document which is 27 attachment 5. If we could scroll down to page 0528, 28 which is a map of Victoria. Correct me if I'm wrong, 29 Mr Jeremiah, but the purpose of this standard operating 30 procedure is to ensure that the fire agencies have in 02.55PM 31 place at the commencement of days of particular fire

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 461 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 danger Incident Management Teams at appropriate levels 2 within different Incident Control Centres?---That's correct. We cannot predict where a fire will start, so 3 4 it's based on an assumption that if we pre-position people across the State throughout the landscape, so 5 02.56PM that we can be as close to where a fire may start and 6 7 can respond accordingly. Perhaps if we go to the table on the next page, please, 8 9 which is schedule 4. The way that these arrangements 10 operate is that we can see on the right-hand side of 02.56PM 11 that table, if we go to the top of it, we can see a 12 heading, "Fire danger rating" at the top. Do you see that, Mr Jeremiah?---Yes. 13 14 Then underneath that we see four categories, very high, 15 severe, extreme and code red?---That's correct. 02.56PM 16 For our purposes both 8 and 9 February in the Gippsland 17 region were days of extreme fire danger 18 rating?---Extreme certainly for Sunday. Saturday, 19 parts of the State were extreme, but we were being 20 prepared for the Sunday, so we were operating under the 02.57PM 21 assumption of extreme, correct. Then the table sets out what is required by way of what 22 23 level of preparedness is required for a given Incident 24 Control Centre where the fire danger rating is extreme. Is that right?---That's correct. 25 02.57PM 26 If we trace our way down that table towards the bottom of 27 the page, we see for the Gippsland region that 28 Traralgon is identified as the primary Incident Control 29 Centre. And then, within the Traralgon cluster, is 30 that the right word?---Cluster is the right term, yes. 02.57PM 31 We have Traralgon, Ellinbank, Leongatha, Noojee and Erica.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 462 MR JEREMIAH XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR ROZEN

| 1 | So far as Traralgon is concerned, if we trace along | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | under the heading "Extreme", we see that the letter C | |
| 3 | appears, and from the top of the page we know that's a | |
| 4 | core IMT that is required to be in place on that | |
| 5 | day?That's correct. | 02.58PM |
| 6 | For present purposes, if we look at Yarram, and I'll come | |
| 7 | back to Yarram in a moment, there's a little asterisk | |
| 8 | under that heading, and from the top of the page we see | |
| 9 | that's a base IMT that's required to be in place in | |
| 10 | Yarram. Is that right?Yes. | 02.58PM |
| 11 | If it's a code red day, then it's C, but for our purposes | |
| 12 | it's an asterisk therefore a base IMT. Thank you, if | |
| 13 | we could leave that document and its complicated tables | |
| 14 | now and go back to 8 February. That's perhaps a | |
| 15 | long-winded way of making the short point, Mr Jeremiah, | 02.59PM |
| 16 | that you make in paragraph 23 of your statement, that | |
| 17 | despite what was required by that table, apparently | |
| 18 | required, Yarram did not have in place a base | |
| 19 | IMT?No, it did not. | |
| 20 | Can you tell us why?Resources were limited given the | 02.59PM |
| 21 | other fires that were occurring across the State, and | |
| 22 | whilst we were being asked to execute a level of | |
| 23 | preparedness, we were aware that the resources were | |
| 24 | limited. | |
| 25 | Specifically, Mr Jeremiah, a base IMT as it's defined in | 02.59PM |
| 26 | that SOP, and I won't go back to it, requires there to | |
| 27 | be in place an Incident Controller at either Level 2 or | |
| 28 | Level 3?That's correct. | |
| 29 | And there was not an Incident Controller at either Level 2 | |
| 30 | or Level 3 in the Yarram Incident Control Centre on | 03.00PM |
| 31 | either the 8th or 9th February. Is that | |
| | | |

463 .MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | correct?Neither, as far is I'm aware, that's right. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | During the course of 9th February, the Sunday which I'll | |
| 3 | come to in a moment, one of the fires that you had to | |
| 4 | deal with was a fire at Jack River?That's correct. | |
| 5 | We heard evidence, Mr Lapsley's best estimate was that Jack | 03.00PM |
| 6 | River was about 45 kilometres, I think he said | |
| 7 | southwest of Morwell. Does that sound right?That's | |
| 8 | the correct order of magnitude, yes. | |
| 9 | Thereabouts. That fire at one stage on 9 February | |
| 10 | threatened the town of Yarram, did it not?That's | 03.00PM |
| 11 | correct. It was lit or started before the wind change, | |
| 12 | so it was initially moving under the influence of the | |
| 13 | northwesterly wind which had it moving directly towards | |
| 14 | Yarram. | |
| 15 | And it was one of the fires, along with the fires burning in | 03.01PM |
| 16 | the Morwell region, that came under your control as | |
| 17 | Incident Controller, did it not, on | |
| 18 | 9 February?That's correct. | |
| 19 | So concerned were you about the risk to Yarram and the risk | |
| 20 | to life caused by the Jack River Fire that you diverted | 03.01PM |
| 21 | some air resources from Morwell to deal with the Jack | |
| 22 | River Fire?Yes. So, we had requested and we were | |
| 23 | receiving additional air resources. The Jack River | |
| 24 | Fire was the only one that was going and spreading at | |
| 25 | that time. It was threatening life, so that's where I | 03.01PM |
| 26 | prioritised the resources. | |
| 27 | Obviously enough, the Jack River Fire was taking up some of | |
| 28 | your time and thought as Incident Controller at the | |
| 29 | Traralgon Incident Control Centre during the course of | |
| 30 | 9 February?As were other fires. | 03.02PM |
| 31 | Indeed. If there had been in place a base IMT at Yarram, as | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 464 MR JEREMIAH XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR ROZEN required by the standard operating procedure, would
 that have relieved you of some or all of your Incident
 Controller responsibilities for the Jack River
 Fire?---Yes, it would.

Is that one of the matters that you're referring to in your 5 03.02PM 6 statement when you say - this is paragraph 95(a) of 7 your statement - answering the question, what could 8 have been done better in relation to preparedness for and response to the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track, 9 Morwell-Hernes Oak Fire, you say, "It would have been 10 03.03PM 11 preferable to address the sub-optimal level of 12 resourcing available for the Traralgon IMT in the period 8 February 2014 to 10 February 2014." Is the 13 situation with Yarram part of your concern there or is 14 15 it separate?---I'm not seeing that as - sorry, 95(a)? 03.03PM 16 95(a)?---I'm reading 94(a). 17 Sorry, 94 is a longer list of things that went wrong and I

18 come to that, but for the moment I'm concentrating on 19 something that didn't go as well as it could 19 have?---That's correct. Ideally at all of the other 03.03PM 20 Incident Control Centres in the Traralgon cluster, we 22 would have had skilled people able to support a 23 response to any fire that started.

Because it wasn't just Yarram that was deficient in this
regard. You've listed in paragraph 23, Alam Bank, 03.04PM
Leongatha, Noojee and Erica, all should have base IMTs
but didn't.

There was another issue, wasn't there, with resourcing of your IMT, and that is, you didn't have available to you in Traralgon all of the constituent parts of a core IMT 03.04PM as required by the SOP?---That's correct.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 465 MR JEREMIAH XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR ROZEN

1 Paragraph 24 of your statement you list what's required by 2 the SOP for a core IMT. As I understand your evidence, 3 you didn't have an Aircraft Officer as required by 4 paragraph (d)?---No, the Aircraft Officer was attached 5 to the Regional Control Centre. 03.04PM I was going to ask you that, so there was a work around for 6 7 that deficiency?---Yes. Was that of any practical effect as far as you were 8 concerned, that you didn't have your own Aircraft 9 10 Officer in your IMT?---For the Saturday and the early 03.05PM 11 part of Sunday, given we had no active fire, the 12 arrangements were adequate. Once fires broke out it was just an extra step in the chain of managing the 13 14 resources. 15 If we can go back to 8 February if I could please. You were 03.05PM 16 confronted with the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track Fire and 17 you've set out in your statement at paragraph 29 the 18 events of 8 February. You note there that, based on 19 weather predictions for the following day, you made a 20 request for more resources to be made available to you 03.05PM through your Regional Controller, who I think was 21 Mr Russell. Is that right?---That's correct. 22 23 What resources were you seeking from Mr Russell?---Three 24 strike teams and two large helicopters. In relation to strike teams, you were probably in the 25 03.06PM 26 hearing room a moment ago when Mr Lalor was giving 27 evidence about his strike team. Is that what you're 28 talking about, is it four tankers and a lead 29 vehicle?---Five tankers and a command vehicle. 30 And fully crude tankers means what, four firefighters per 03.06PM 31 tanker? Is that right?---It can vary, but of that

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 466 MR JEREMIAH XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR ROZEN order.

1

2 You were wanting three such teams pre-positioned in the Latrobe Valley, as well as the two helicopters which 3 I'll come to in a moment. As it turned out, two strike 4 5 teams were made available to you?---That's correct. 03.06PM 6 When was that resource provided? I think you actually deal 7 with it, they were pre-positioned on the Saturday 8 evening? --- So the request was that they arrive on the Saturday and have an opportunity to rest so that they 9 10 could work all of Sunday without there being any 03.07PM 11 significant fatigue issues. 12 The availability of that resource fresh and ready to go first thing Sunday was crucial given the weather 13 forecast for Sunday?---That's correct. 14 15 You explain that, based on your extensive experience of 03.07PM 16 fires in the Latrobe Valley you wanted what you 17 describe as an aggressive approach taken to the 18 containment of the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track 19 Fire?---Yes. So we're back to Saturday, so I assumed 20 control, somewhere between 0700 and 9 o'clock. It was 03.07PM apparent from some aircraft photography that I observed 21 that there was still fire burning in the gullies, 22 23 particularly on the southwest section, which I was 24 concerned was not abating, declining at the rate I would expect given the level of resources we had 25 03.08PM 26 working on it, which made me concerned that we may not 27 have it fully secure by the Sunday, so I instructed the 28 Operations Officer to adopt an aggressive approach 29 which meant getting more equipment, heavy plant, 30 helicopters and so on in order to contain it if we 03.08PM 31 could fully and wholly before the Sunday.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 467 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | Your assessment was, you needed old-fashioned boots on the | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | ground doing blacking out work inside the perimeter of | |
| 3 | the fire; is that right?Yes, and heavy plant to deal | |
| 4 | with large trees that were burning and so on. | |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN: Could I just clarify whereabouts it was that you | 03.08PM |
| 6 | saw the smoke on the Saturday, Sunday morning?No, | |
| 7 | there was some gullies in the southwest portion of the | |
| 8 | original fire. | |
| 9 | Southwest?Yes. | |
| 10 | MR ROZEN: I think we heard yesterday that the area within | 03.09PM |
| 11 | the containment line was somewhere in the vicinity of | |
| 12 | 150 hectares, does that sound about right?The maps | |
| 13 | that I have with the Incident Action Plan are showing | |
| 14 | about 125 hectares so | |
| 15 | So within that sort of region?Yes. | 03.09PM |
| 16 | Your answer to His Honour's question is that you wished to | |
| 17 | concentrate your resources in the southwest part of | |
| 18 | that area?Yes, so the photography that was taken at | |
| 19 | or around lunchtime on the Saturday showed a | |
| 20 | significant amount of smoke coming from the southwest | 03.09PM |
| 21 | and negligible smoke in the northeast. | |
| 22 | We'll come to it in a moment, but as it turned out the break | |
| 23 | out was in fact in the northeast area on | |
| 24 | 9 February?That's my understanding. | |
| 25 | Perhaps we'll come to discuss that in a moment. | 03.10PM |
| 26 | CHAIRMAN: Can I interrupt only because I've got that map in | |
| 27 | front of me, that's the ultimate map. When one talks | |
| 28 | about the southwest, do you mean, if you like, the | |
| 29 | western portion?The western. | |
| 30 | It's a kind of rectangle, so it has a point to the west, a | 03.10PM |
| 31 | point to the south, if you like. When you meant the | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 468 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 southwest, do you meant that general area that's shown there and the - because it's an extreme boundary? 2 MR ROZEN: We're just bringing it up on the screen; it might 3 4 be easier for the witness. 5 It's a little intriguing because the fire came CHAIRMAN: 03.10PM from the opposite end?---Yes, that's right. We held 6 7 the bit that concerned us and lost the bit elsewhere. 8 MR ROZEN: That might assist you. Now, of course, this is showing the area ultimately burnt. Are you able to 9 10 indicate with the ruler that area of some 120 hectares 03.11PM that you were talking about? Can that be identified 11 12 there?---So, this bit is pretty much the original fire. So something of a rectangle?---It was that area in there 13 that would have been - - -14 15 I think I'd asked you about the request for the strike team 03.11PM 16 resource. There was also a request, you told us, for 17 two heavy helicopters to be provided, and we have heard 18 that, whilst you got the helicopters, they didn't 19 arrive until about midday on the 9th?---That's correct. 20 What was your preference in terms of their time of 03.12PM arrival?---Ideally we would have had them arrive on the 21 Saturday with some capacity to work in the latter 22 23 hours, daylight hours, of the Saturday. We did 24 activate or reactivate the small helicopter that is initially managed by Hancocks Plantations, it was 25 03.12PM 26 reactivated on the Saturday at around 1 p.m. and it was 27 doing some work, but it's very small and not entirely 28 effective so we asked for additional aircraft. 29 Were you given an explanation for why they weren't made 30 available to you at your preferred time?---No. 03.12PM 31 I think in fairness Mr Lapsley did give evidence on Monday

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 469 MR JEREMIAH XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR ROZEN

1 that it was a question of competing resources which is 2 a common enough problem I guess that you face, Mr Jeremiah?---Certainly, given the number of fires 3 4 that were present across the State at the time. 5 Whatever the explanation, it would have been a very useful 03.13PM 6 resource to you, preferably late Saturday but certainly 7 early on the Sunday morning?---That's correct. 8 Effectively by the time they arrived they were deployed 9 to Jack River. You further deployed them to Jack River to deal with the 10 03.13PM 11 emergency that had arisen there?---That's correct. 12 Just before leaving the events of 8 February, I just want to ask you about paragraph 37 of your statement where you 13 14 set out the four steps that you took as Incident 15 Controller to address the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track 03 13PM 16 Fire. There was adopting the aggressive approach which 17 you have spoken of; there was the request for 18 additional resources which we've also discussed; the 19 consideration of what other preparatory works could be 20 done. Perhaps if I could address that first, you say 03.14PM there were limited opportunities to do anything further 21 in paragraph 39. Could you just explain why there were 22 23 limited opportunities to conduct any other preparatory works in the area?---So, a lot of the terrain between 24 Hernes Oak and Morwell is open grassland, so it 25 03.14PM 26 provides adequate access for fire responding vehicles. 27 There's the highway, both the main highway from Hernes 28 Oak to Morwell, but there's also the Strzelecki Highway 29 and other roads. The roads in themselves form a 30 barrier to the spread of fire and provide access and 03.14PM 31 egress for a responding fire appliances. So, given

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 470 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 that level of accessibility, I wasn't - I came to the 2 conclusion that there was not a lot more I could do in terms of trying to prevent the spread of fire. 3 4 The fourth specific step you took in relation to addressing 5 the fire was set out in 37(d), "To inform and alert 03.15PM major industries, including the mine operators", and by 6 7 that you're referring to the three open cut mines in 8 the area, operators of each of those?---That's correct. "... through the Central Gippsland Essential Industries 9 Group Incorporated." We've heard a deal of evidence 10 03.15PM 11 about that Body. Could you just explain from your 12 experience in this area the role it plays in being a conduit for information between the fire agencies and 13 14 its members, including the mine operators?---Yes, so as 15 I understand it, the group has a regular forum and they 03.15PM 16 discuss a number of the risks and threats that may be 17 posed to the collective industries in the Latrobe 18 Valley and they look at how they can provide individual 19 and mutual support to the response of those industries 20 if required. 03.16PM I don't think it's controversial, but both GDF Suez and its 21 22 security contractor, Diamond Protection, are members of 23 the group as is the CFA. Is that the 24 position?---That's correct. 25 Is DEPI also a member or does it not have that status, do 03.16PM 26 you know?---We participate. The forum we would be 27 involved in more formally would be municipal Emergency 28 Management Planning committees, if I've got the right 29 terminology. 30 Just whilst we're on the topic of that I'll get you to jump 03.16PM 31 to paragraph 105 of your statement, please. Do you

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 471 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 have that in front of you, Mr Jeremiah?---Yes, I do. 2 You say there that your primary contact with the Hazelwood Mine operators during the three days, 8, 9 and 3 4 10 February was through Nick Demetrios who is the 5 representative of CGEIG Inc. Is that right?---That's 03.17PM 6 my understanding. 7 More specifically, on the Saturday Mr Demetrios who Chairs 8 that Body and is also a member of the Regional Emergency Management Team, was at the Traralgon 9 10 Incident Control Centre. Is that right?---Yes, he came 03.17PM in, received a personal briefing and was provided with 11 12 some documents. Not just any documents, he was provided with some documents 13 that we've already heard a good deal of evidence about; 14 15 we might just quickly go to them, they're at Annexure 03.18PM 16 12 of your statement and they are two Phoenix 17 prediction - not sure if maps is the right word -18 Phoenix predictions, if they could just be brought up, 19 please. That's the first of those. Do you have that 20 in front of you, Hernes Oak run, 0900, 9 February 03.18PM 2014?---Yes, that's correct. 21 You are no doubt familiar with this type of product, the 22 23 Phoenix prediction, Mr Jeremiah?---Very familiar with 24 the product. Don't fully understand the model itself. But I suspect you understand it well enough to help us 25 03.18PM 26 understand what we're looking at here. Can you help us 27 understand what this means, 0900, 9 February 2014?---To 28 run the model you need to select a time at which the 29 fire commences. So the time in this case was 0900, so 30 we've used the model to do a prediction of what might 03.19PM 31 happen should the fire escape at 9 o'clock in the

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 472 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 morning. The different coloured pixels relate to fire intensity, not to time, and I understand you have had a 2 demonstration around Phoenix and you can see how it 3 4 progresses over time. The model we were running time is added around two hours after escape, so this is the 5 03.19PM potential impact zone we're looking at at around that 6 7 time. 8 Just so that I understand you, you're saying that the 9 software requires the operator to select a 10 time?---That's correct. 03.20PM To designate a time of a predicted break out of the fire and 11 12 then the Phoenix product gives you a prediction of the likely spread of the fire if it was to break out at 13 that time?---That's correct. 14 In this case the operator's chosen 0900 hours on 9 February 15 03.20PM 16 as the break out time?---Yes. 17 Then it doesn't mean, does it, that this is a prediction of 18 the spread of the fire as at 0900 hours?---No, not at 19 It's a decision support tool. It helps us run all. 20 some "what if" scenarios. So, it helps us make some 03.20PM decisions about how we might increase our resources or 21 22 relocate our resources should something happen at that 23 time. 24 There are obviously traps for the uninitiated looking at a document like this; it requires some understanding 25 03.21PM 26 along the lines that you have just explained to get the full benefit of looking at this document?---That's 27 28 correct. It's simply, in its simplest form, it gives 29 you an area that may be impacted and you can see by spot fires or by the main fire. 30 03.21PM 31 If we look at the second document, and from the explanation

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 473 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 you've just given us we can assume, can we, that on the 2 occasion of this document the data that's being entered is a predicted break out at 1100 hours on 3 4 9 February?---That's correct. 5 We see a very different fire spread. How can you explain 03.21PM that? Do we see a fire going northeast into the 6 7 Yallourn Open Cut?---So again, the Phoenix model 8 assumes no suppression effort, so it is a worst-case scenario. With the break out at 11 o'clock the finger 9 to the northeast, this one, is fire spread after the 10 03.22PM 11 wind change. 12 I see. So in the event of an earlier break out the fire would be hardly impacted by the predicted wind change 13 at all?---Not correct. The model only runs for a fixed 14 15 time. So in the 0900 model run, it timed out before 03.22PM 16 the wind change. Can you tell us looking at it when it timed out?---No. 17 18 But some time. I think the forecast wind change was early 19 in the afternoon, it actually arrived at about 20 1.30 p.m. I think is the - - -?--Around 2.30 is my 03.22PM 21 understanding. 2.30? What's the basis of that understanding, 22 23 Mr Jeremiah?---When the main fire changed direction. 24 Just before leaving those Phoenix projections, what you say in your statement is, they were provided to 25 03.23PM 26 Mr Demetrios when he was in the Incident Control Centre on 8 February 2014?---Nick was provided with some 27 28 information on the afternoon of the Saturday. He came 29 in again on the Sunday morning and we had another discussion. I can't confirm which ones were given to 30 03.23PM 31 him on which days.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 474 M Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 Just so we get this clear, at paragraph 106 you say that on 2 the Saturday Mr Demetrios was briefed, was provided with copies of the Phoenix predictions which clearly 3 4 showed that, should the containment break, spotting was 5 likely to occur in the Hazelwood Mine. So you're not 03.23PM 6 saying that he was specifically given these two, you just don't know?---There were other ones and I've seen 7 8 earlier today one that was relevant to us on the 9 Saturday.

And so, do you say that's what was given to him or are you 10 03.24PM 11 assuming that that's probably what he received?---I'm 12 confident he received copies of all of them, but I don't have the time that these ones were given to him. 13 Based on your experience, what was your expectation of what 14 15 he would do with those in terms of distribution within 03.24PM 16 the group that he chaired?---Certainly my expectation 17 is that he would communicate to all of his members. 18 This was as much to substantiate our concerns at how real the risk was to all of the industries in the 19 20 valley and our discussion with him was to the effect 03.24PM that those entities needed to take this threat 21

Would it be fair to say, Mr Jeremiah - did you personally
talk to Mr Demetrios on 8 and 9 February?---Yes, on
both days.

Had you had dealings with him over a lengthy period of time prior to that?---Yes. I worked with Nick during the Churchill fires in 2009.

Where there were similar issues of threats to infrastructure in the Latrobe Valley?---Yes, that's correct, impacting 03.25PM Loy Yang that time.

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 475 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

seriously.

22

MR JEREMIAH XN BY MR ROZEN 03.25PM

1 You, I take it, had every confidence that he would pass on 2 that information to those that needed it?---Yes. So, I had discussions with him in my role as Incident 3 4 Controller; Peter McHugh, the Planning Officer, 5 providing him with all the detailed documents. 03.25PM Sorry to jump you around like this, but if we can just close 6 7 off the events of 8 February, please. At paragraph 50 8 of your statement you refer there to allocating additional resources to the southwest sector of the 9 fire and you've already explained why that was the area 10 03.26PM 11 that you thought it was necessary to apply additional 12 resources to?---That's correct. At paragraph 51 you describe your assessment during the 13 course of 8 February of the likelihood firstly of a 14 15 break out of the fire, and in the event of a break out, 03.26PM 16 the likely consequences of where the fire would travel 17 to?---Yes. 18 You refer to assessing two things, firstly that the 19 probability of a break out you considered to be low, a 20 low risk, but the consequences in the event that the 03.26PM risk occurred were high to catastrophic. Can we deal 21 with the low risk issue first; why did you assess the 22 23 risk as low?---Primarily because the original spread of 24 the fire was contained, as in there was a perimeter containment achieved on the Friday; that we had Friday 25 03.27PM night, all of Saturday and all of Saturday night before 26 27 the extreme conditions of Sunday, and we had some 28 resources available to us and we were actively and 29 aggressively working on containment. Was your assessment of the risk as low, one that took into 30 03.27PM 31 account an optimistic view of additional resources that

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 476 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 you'd requested, particularly the two helicopters?---It
2 was.

And you explain, and I think we all well understand why you 3 4 assessed the consequences as being catastrophic given the township of Morwell and the mines and the paper 5 03.27PM mill and other vital industries in the region?---In 6 7 particular that, given its proximity, should the fire 8 break out the available time for us to respond and suppress the fire would be limited before it impacted. 9 10 In other words, we had a very narrow window in which to 03.28PM 11 react and, if we weren't successful in that window, 12 then the impacts would be relatively immediate. And that's a function of the distance between the fire area 13 14 and the township of Morwell and the mine,

15 firstly?---The forecast rate of spread associated with 03.28PM16 such a day.

17 And the forecast rate of spread, that's right. If we turn 18 then to the situation on 9 February. You commenced 19 your day shift as Incident Controller at 700 hours. At 20 paragraph 61 you note that within a relatively short 03.28PM time, or at least through the course of the day there 21 were a number of other new fires that came under your 22 23 control as Incident Controller. The Jack River Fire 24 already broke out at 10.45. The Driffield Fire, which we've heard quite a bit about, started in the afternoon 25 03.29PM 26 at about 2.30. The Jindivick Fire, what sort of drain on your resources was occasioned by the Jindivick 27 28 Fire?---The Jindivick Fire was not directly managed by 29 me or the team I was managing, however we were asked on 30 several occasions what was happening. The Jindivick 03.29PM 31 Fire has a number of other names, Brandy Creek; it was

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 477 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

managed locally out of Traralgon by local CFA
resources. But, because it took some time to control,
it was somewhat of a niggle in that it was there, we
knew it was there, we weren't managing it, we were
being asked questions about it and were we going to 03.29PM
assume control of it.

7 What about the Giffard West Fire, for completeness, I should 8 ask you about that?---Likewise, a fire that started later in the day; again managed locally by local 9 resources; again, they were able to control that fire 10 03.30PM 11 locally which was good, but again, we were being asked, 12 what's happening are we assuming control of it, and there were other fire reports which were found not to 13 14 be fires.

At paragraph 65 you refer to your decision to divert the two 15 03.30PM 16 helicopters that were ultimately made available to you 17 around about midday on 9 February - to divert them on 18 to deal with the Jack River Fire, and you say that it refused the number of aircraft available to actively 19 20 work on containing the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track Fire. 03.30PM 21 Are you able to put numbers on that? What was 22 available to you once those two helicopters went to 23 Jack River?---Throughout the Sunday, pretty much from 24 the beginning of the Jack River Fire, more and more resources were being made available to us, both in 25 03.31PM terms of aircraft and local responding resources. I 26 think the best I could do is give you a summary of all 27 28 of the resources that were ultimately in and around 29 Latrobe Valley by the end of Sunday. I would need to source some additional data to let you know when each 30 03.31PM 31 individual aircraft was allocated to us and when it

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 478 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

arrived.

1

14

2 Unless the Board particularly wants that information. What we have at the moment is Mr Lapsley's evidence that 3 4 there were, I think, 14 aircraft in the Gippsland region. If further detail is required, I'd be guided 5 03.31PM 6 by the Board on that, if we want to put Mr Jeremiah to 7 that work. 8 CHAIRMAN: I don't think so. 9 MR ROZEN: We won't need to trouble you there, Mr Jeremiah. 10 MEMBER PETERING: May I seek a point of clarification. In 03.32PM paragraph 61, Mr Jeremiah, you're suggesting that the 11 12 Driffield Fire commenced at 1430. So, I could be remembering incorrectly, but I thought I'd heard other 13

wondering where the source of your data is coming 03.32PM from?---It certainly was after the wind change. To get an exact time, my understanding is that it was reported to ESTA via 000, so if you wanted a definitive time, I'd suggest that source.

evidence that it started at 1.30. So, I'm just

20 The source of your data in that statement, is that just from 03.32PM21 your own notes?---Yes.

22 So there's no record of the actual fire timing in your 23 Incident Control records?---I can check my log.

- I don't want to waste particular time, we can come back to it?---But the important thing being it was after the 03.33PM wind change dictated the direction.
- 27 MR ROZEN: From the time of the commencement of that fire, 28 it spread in a northeasterly direction, didn't

29 it?---That's correct, under the influence of the 30 southwesterly wind.

31 At paragraph 67 of your statement you note that there was a

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 479 MR JEREMIAH XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR ROZEN 03.33PM

1 break out of the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track Fire at 2 approximately 1.30 in the afternoon on 9 February and, as you've previously said, the break out occurred not 3 4 in the southwest area where your planning told you it 5 was most likely to break out, but in fact in the 03.33PM 6 northeast corner. A couple of questions about that: 7 Are you able to assist the Inquiry with understanding 8 why the break out occurred in the northeast area rather than the southwest? Have there been any investigations 9 10 of that as far as you're aware?---Not to my knowledge. 03.34PM 11 From your own knowledge of the fire, are you able to assist 12 us at all as to why that occurred?---I did get some information anecdotally from crews that were on the 13 ground that, again, there was a gully with vegetation 14 15 in it that was burning. As I understand it, it 03.34PM 16 ultimately led to some embers crossing the control 17 line. 18 Within a relatively short period of time of the break out, 19 there was spotting from that fire into the town of 20 Morwell, is that right?---That's correct. 03.34PM 21 Specifically west of Latrobe Road. I'm going to ask you some questions about the allocation of the resources 22 23 that were available to you through the course of the 24 afternoon after the fire broke its containment lines. You had an operations officer working as part of your 25 03.35PM 26 team in the Incident Controller centre?---Yes, I did, Brad Fisher. 27 28 Was it your decision or his or a combination of the two as 29 to tactical responses to the spread of that fire after 30 it broke out?---The minute-to-minute tactical 03.35PM 31 deployment of resources on the ground is managed by the

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 480 MR JE Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY

1

Operations Officer and the Sector Commanders.

- 2 So that means Mr Fisher and those reporting to him in the incident control structure; is that right?---That's 3 4 correct.
- What about at a more strategic level, so deciding whether to 5 03.35PM devote resources to protect the township of Morwell as 6 7 compared to providing resources to deal with the 8 looming emergency in the Hazelwood Mine, is that also a decision that occurs at that Operations Officer 9 level?---No. So, the duties of the Incident Controller 10 03.36PM 11 are defined, and I've provided that information, and 12 the priorities are clearly defined by the Fire Services Commissioner, listing life and property first, alerting 13 community second, and critical infrastructure third. 14 15 So, I was certainly actively influencing and leading 03.36PM 16 the decisions and the deployment of resources based 17 around those priorities, both between Jack River and 18 Morwell and in and around Morwell.
- 19 You say at paragraph 41 of your statement that, to the best 20 of your knowledge, having alerted the mine operators of 03.36PM 21 the looming risk, no request was made by them to the 22 Traralgon ICC for resources or assistance during that 23 period. I want to ask you a little bit about that 24 because the Inquiry's heard other evidence about communications from the mine to the Traralgon ICC 25 03.37PM during the course of the afternoon. Did anyone from 26 the mine contact you as Incident Controller during the 27 28 course of the afternoon about the fire in the mine?---I 29 had discussions with Mark Nash from Yallourn, I had no 30 direct conversations with anybody from Morwell Mine. 03.37PM 31 What position did Mr Nash hold at Yallourn?---I'm not aware

- of his exact title, but he was dealing with a response
 to the areas that were on fire within the Yallourn
 works area.
- 4 Did Mr Nash make any request of you for fire resources to 5 assist him?---He did at various times. My first 03.38PM conversations with him related to the potential spot 6 7 fire that had occurred in the works area as a result of 8 the fire on the Friday. My enquiries concluded that there was no risk or threat or going fire remaining 9 after the Friday, but certainly after the fire broke 10 03.38PM 11 out on the Sunday, the southwestern portion of their 12 works area, which again is their overburden area, there was fire, and again after the wind change and when the 13 fire was spreading past the western edge of Morwell and 14 15 towards the pulp mill, there was also potential for it 03.38PM 16 to spread into the working face of the Yallourn Mine. Do you know if resources under your control attended to any 17 18 fire of the Yallourn Mine on the afternoon of 19 9 February?---There was a limited amount of work 20 undertaken on the overburden area; it was not spreading 03.39PM 21 rapidly. We were concentrating our resources in and 22 around Morwell and, once the urban area was protected, 23 we continued to work the perimeter, past the pulp mill, 24 and then it wasn't until Monday that we ultimately got to attend to the perimeter around the bit that was 25 03.39PM threatening Yallourn. 26 At what point in the afternoon, are you able to tell us, did 27
- you consider that the threat to the township of
 Morwell, particularly the houses in the vicinity of
 Latrobe Road, had passed?---I would need to consult my 03.39PM
 notes, but very late in the afternoon towards the end

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 482 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 of my shift there was a report of the fire having 2 crossed north of Latrobe River, suggesting it was still spreading; that was later discounted and that was about 3 4 the time that my shift ended. There's evidence before the Inquiry in the form of a log 5 03.40PM maintained by a contractor at the Hazelwood Mine that 6 7 there was contact with the CFA, specifically - I don't 8 know if it is specific, whether it's the Incident Controller centre or not - but certainly contact with 9 the CFA at 1340 or 1.40 in the afternoon. Are you 10 03.40PM 11 aware of any contact being made to the CFA at that 12 time?---I'm not aware of any. More specifically, there is a note of a discussion with 13 14 Peter McHugh at 1443 hours, so just before quarter to 3 15 in the afternoon. You already told us Mr McHugh was 03.40PM 16 the Planning Officer working for you?---That's correct, 17 yes. 18 Were you aware of discussion between anyone from the 19 Hazelwood Mine and Mr McHugh at that time or any other 20 time on the afternoon?---Not specifically, no. 03.41PM You don't say it didn't happen, you're just saying it wasn't 21 brought to your attention; is that right?---That's 22 23 correct. 24 There were several meetings of your Incident Management Team during the course of the day on 9 February, were there 25 03.41PM 26 not?---Yes, we met every two hours. That's standard practice for an Incident Management 27 28 Team?---It is for my management teams; I would rather 29 meet more frequently for a shorter period of time. We know and the Inquiry has been provided with a large 30 03.41PM 31 number of documents containing the minutes of Incident

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 483 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 Management Team meetings, but apparently there are 2 no minutes of the meetings on 9 February. Is that your understanding of the position?---There's no formal 3 4 typed minutes taken by a minute taker. If you wanted 5 evidence of the meetings, you would need to consult 03.41PM each of the logbooks of each of the IMT members. 6 7 We've got one of those IMT members here, haven't we, and you 8 have your logbook with you?---Yes. Have you checked it to see if there is any reference to any 9 10 request from the Hazelwood Mine operators for 03.42PM 11 assistance with the fire on the afternoon of 12 9 February?---I've read it several times; I'm not aware 13 of any request. Have you enquired of other members of your Incident 14 15 Management Team, particularly whether Mr McHugh has 03.42PM 16 such a record?---No, I have made no enquiry. 17 Presumably Mr McHugh's logbook could be provided to the 18 Inquiry?---If required, is my understanding, yes. 19 That's something he has in his possession presumably, or is 20 it held at the office?---It varies a bit; some people 03.42PM 21 use a logbook that stays at the Incident Control Centre. Others retain their logbook but provide 22 23 electronic copies in our electronic storage system, so one or the other would be available. 24 Can I ask that you make enquiries about the availability of 25 03.43PM 26 that and, through the Victorian Government solicitors, 27 see if they can be provided to us, please?---Yes. 28 Thank you. We've heard some evidence about an aircraft 29 operating in the vicinity of the mine dropping some 30 retardant at around about 3 p.m. Were you aware that 03.43PM 31 there was an aircraft operating dropping retardant on,

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 484 MR 484

1 it was on the northern batters at about that 2 time?---I'm not aware of any specific aircraft drop. Aircraft need to operate in clean air, they can't 3 4 operate in smoke. There's an air attack supervisor who's in a small helicopter who has visibility, that's 5 03.43PM their role to do the tactical tasking of where an 6 7 aircraft can operate most effectively and most 8 efficiently. If the fire on the northern batters of the mine, as seems 9

10 likely, was initiated by spotting from the break out of 03.44PM 11 the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track Fire, then any activity 12 by an aircraft there would be just part of their general role in relation to containment of the break 13 14 out; is that right?---That's correct. So our priority 15 is to try and limit its spread through the landscape 03.44PM 16 and protect assets that are under threat that are ahead 17 of the fire. So, whilst we were aware that some fire 18 had entered the mine, our priority was to deal with the 19 life and property around Morwell and to limit its 20 further spread and further impact on other assets. 03.44PM Your period as Incident Controller ended at the end of your 21 shift, 7 p.m. on 9 February?---That's correct. 22 23 You commenced a further shift on the day shift of the 10th, the following day. That's correct, yes. 24

25At that point in time, what had started as the Hernes03.45PM26Oak-McDonalds Track Fire had been renamed the Hernes27Oak-Morwell Fire. Have I got that terminology28right?---Morwell-Hernes Oak.

Morwell-Hernes Oak Fire. Until a separate Incident Control
 structure was established for the Hazelwood Mine, as of 03.45PM
 10 February the Mine Fire still fell under your

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 485 MR JEREMIAH XN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR ROZEN

| 1 | jurisdiction, did it not, in the ICC?That's correct. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | On the 10th? If I can just briefly ask you about your | |
| 3 | activities on the 10th, please. We've been provided | |
| 4 | with an Incident Shift Plan, or the Inquiry's been | |
| 5 | provided with an Incident Shift Plan for 10 February. | 03.46PM |
| 6 | Was there such a document for 9 February, for the day | |
| 7 | that we've been talking about?No. Not to my | |
| 8 | knowledge. | |
| 9 | Perhaps if the Shift Plan for 10 February could please be | |
| 10 | brought up. You're identified in this Shift Plan as | 03.46PM |
| 11 | the Incident Controller, and that's consistent with the | |
| 12 | evidence you've already given, isn't it, | |
| 13 | Mr Jeremiah?That's correct, yes. | |
| 14 | If you turn to page 5 of the plan please. The bottom of | |
| 15 | that, just a little bit further down please, do you see | 03.48PM |
| 16 | under the heading "Safety", there's a dot point, "The | |
| 17 | State Controller has reinforced the need to appoint a | |
| 18 | Safety Officer to Incident Management Teams"?Yes. | |
| 19 | That reference to State Controller is a reference to the | |
| 20 | Fire Services Commissioner?Or his delegate. | 03.48PM |
| 21 | In any event, that's a standard note in Incident Shift | |
| 22 | Plans, is it not?That's correct. | |
| 23 | There wasn't a Safety Officer, was there, for the Incident | |
| 24 | Management Team under your control on | |
| 25 | 10 February?No, there wasn't. | 03.48PM |
| 26 | It was a requirement, wasn't it, under the Standard | |
| 27 | Operating Procedure 3.04 that there be a Safety | |
| 28 | Officer?Yes, that's correct. | |
| 29 | And this, once again, was the subject of a recommendation in | |
| 30 | the Bushfire Royal Commission; are you aware of | 03.49PM |
| 31 | that?Yes, I am. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 486 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 Can you help us with understanding why there wasn't a Safety 2 Officer in that Incident Management Team?---Our Safety Officers are often dual qualified as Operations 3 4 Officers or Incident Controllers. So again, it's that issue of limited resources. I could have had a Safety 5 03.49PM Officer but then been obligated to go without an 6 7 Operations Officer, so it was a matter of prioritising 8 of resources. Without wanting to labour the point, a Safety Officer is 9 intended under the SOP to be a dedicated role that 10 03.49PM 11 doesn't have operational responsibilities?---That's 12 correct. That's under the AIMS structure, is it not?---That's 13 14 correct. 15 The other thing I need to ask you about in this Incident 03.49PM 16 Action Plan is the incident objective on page 4. Do 17 you see the first dot point there, "To have fires 18 contained by 1100 hours on 11 February 2014." Was that 19 the objective - did that include the fire in the mine? 20 I suspect not but you tell us?---Generally not. So 03.50PM Steve Warrington arrived late on the Sunday prior to my 21 shift finishing. He was having a number of 22 23 conversations with Brian Russell and the Regional 24 Control Team. When I commenced shift on the Monday, what had been put in place overnight was that the mine 25 03.50PM would be run as a separate division, with its own 26 Division Commander, and as of 1200 hours on the Monday 27 28 there's formal - Steve Warrington is formally appointed as a Deputy Regional Controller with responsibility for 29 the mine. So, in the morning of the Monday, whilst the 30 03.51PM 31 formality - the documents hadn't been formally signed,

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 487 MR JER Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY

1 there was certainly a lot of discussion and the fire 2 fight in the mine was largely being led directly between Steve Warrington and Peter Lockwood, which I 3 4 was comfortable with given all the rest of the fire in the landscape that I was still dealing with. So, yes, 5 03.51PM this Incident Action Plan relates to the broader fire 6 7 in the landscape. My understanding is, there were some 8 other objectives specifically for the mine that Peter 9 was working with. I understand. In fairness to you, Mr Jeremiah, whilst the 10 03.51PM 11 document on its face clearly incorporates the fire in 12 the mine, it was the case, was it not, as you've described, that on 10 February there was a process of 13 14 establishing a completely separate Incident Control 15 structure for that fire?---Yes. 03.52PM 16 With ultimately Mr Warrington at the apex of that 17 structure?---That's correct. 18 And then from that time onwards you had no further 19 controlling responsibility for the fire in the 20 mine?---My understanding is that from the morning of 03.52PM 21 Tuesday the 11th a separate Incident Management Team 22 was fully functioning. 23 And so the answer to my question is, yes, you had no further involvement?---That's correct. 24 25 Thanks very much for your evidence. I think I should tender 03.52PM 26 the Shift Plan for day shift, 10 February 2014. 27 28 #EXHIBIT 16 - (FSC.0006.001.0001) Shift plan for day shift, 10 February 2014. 29 I have no more questions for Mr Jeremiah. I 30 MR ROZEN: 03.53PM 31 understand Mr Riordan has about half an hour for

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 488 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1

Mr Jeremiah.

| 2 | < <u>CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR RIORDAN</u> : | |
|----|---|---------|
| 3 | I think you have given evidence that you took over on the | |
| 4 | Saturday morning at about 7 a.m. in your statement, but | |
| 5 | I think you said it may have been 7 a.m. or 9 a.m., is | 03.53PM |
| 6 | that right?Somewhere between 7 a.m. and 9 a.m. | |
| 7 | As I understand your evidence you've given, that there was | |
| 8 | no Incident Shift Plan prepared for that change over. | |
| 9 | Is that correct?Nothing was handed to me at that | |
| 10 | time. | 03.54PM |
| 11 | You've just been giving evidence about an Incident Shift | |
| 12 | Plan that you prepared on 10 February and one that | |
| 13 | you've signed off; is that correct?That I signed? | |
| 14 | If you could have a look at tab 2. Is that your | |
| 15 | signature?This is from the nightshift on the 10th? | 03.54PM |
| 16 | Yes?Yes. | |
| 17 | That's your signature?Yes. | |
| 18 | So that's on the 10th. You completed one, and signed one | |
| 19 | off. That's signed by the Incident Controller who's | |
| 20 | completing the shift; correct?Yes. So, normally the | 03.54PM |
| 21 | controller completing the shift would approve the Shift | |
| 22 | Plan for the next coming shift. | |
| 23 | Did you query why you weren't provided with one on Saturday | |
| 24 | the 8th?I did, but as the fire was not at that time | |
| 25 | run through the Traralgon Incident Control Centre, the | 03.55PM |
| 26 | documentation would have been elsewhere, so we set | |
| 27 | about collecting information we needed via different | |
| 28 | lines of communication. | |
| 29 | You might explain that to me. You were taking over as the | |
| 30 | Incident Controller for this fire?That's correct. | 03.55PM |
| 31 | When you arrived was the previous Incident Controller | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 489 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

MR JEREMIAH XXN BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | there?No, they weren't. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Where were they?I don't know where it was being run from, | |
| 3 | I'm not sure whether it was Morwell Fire Station or | |
| 4 | Newborough Fire Station or some other location; it was | |
| 5 | being run locally. | 03.56PM |
| 6 | You mean being run locally somewhere around | |
| 7 | Morwell?Somewhere around the fire, that's what I'm | |
| 8 | saying, Morwell, Newborough, I don't know. | |
| 9 | When you signed off at the end of your shift at about 7 p.m. | |
| 10 | on Saturday night, did you complete an Incident Shift | 03.56PM |
| 11 | Plan then?Yes, I did. | |
| 12 | And do we have that?I don't know. | |
| 13 | Can that be produced?Yes, should be able to be. I say | |
| 14 | "should"; the documents were all to my knowledge left | |
| 15 | at the Incident Control Centre. They're not in my | 03.56PM |
| 16 | control. | |
| 17 | And so, when you took over, you had to go about getting | |
| 18 | information about the fire; is that right?That's | |
| 19 | correct. | |
| 20 | How do you go about doing that?You try and contact the | 03.57PM |
| 21 | people who were involved. The planning staff reporting | |
| 22 | to me would make other enquiries. There are electronic | |
| 23 | data storage drives that we can access and interrogate | |
| 24 | that are linked State-wide, so potentially from any | |
| 25 | computer we can seek any Incident Action Plan from any | 03.57PM |
| 26 | incident. | |
| 27 | When you arrived you had no idea of what you were dealing | |
| 28 | with with the Hernes Oak Fire at all?I received a | |
| 29 | quick briefing from Russell Sullivan who was the Agency | |
| 30 | Commander in the Regional Control Centre. | 03.57PM |
| 31 | What did he tell you about it?He told me that the | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 490 M Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | perimeter was contained and that resources were due | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | back there on the Saturday, and that the fire was still | |
| 3 | burning internally?That meant that it was a Level 1 | |
| 4 | fire; is that correct?On the Friday, as it was | |
| 5 | managed locally, my understanding is it was a Level 1 | 03.58PM |
| 6 | fire. | |
| 7 | For your purposes, do you treat it as a Level 1 fire when | |
| 8 | you take over control of it?Given that we were a | |
| 9 | core IMT preparing for a Level 3 incident and given my | |
| 10 | assessment of its potential risk and consequences, I | 03.58PM |
| 11 | operated as if it was a Level 3 fire. I'm not sure | |
| 12 | exactly when it was reclassified; I can't give you the | |
| 13 | time that it was reclassified. | |
| 14 | By you? It was reclassified by you?It would normally be | |
| 15 | reclassified by me. | 03.59PM |
| 16 | Do you know whether it was reclassified by you from Level 1 | |
| 17 | to Level 3?No, I don't. | |
| 18 | Are you sure it was reclassified from Level 1 to | |
| 19 | Level 3?I am, but I don't have that time reference | |
| 20 | in my log; it could have been agreed at one of the IMT | 03.59PM |
| 21 | meetings. | |
| 22 | Is a reclassification nothing more than a thought bubble | |
| 23 | that you might have, or does it require some objective | |
| 24 | step?Can I refer you to the definitions of the | |
| 25 | Level 1, Level 2, 3 incidents which is in | 03.59PM |
| 26 | No, don't look at the definitions. What I'm trying to work | |
| 27 | out is how one is actually reclassified; whether it's a | |
| 28 | document or whether it's a statement or whether it's | |
| 29 | just a state of mind of Incident Control?We provide | |
| 30 | regular situation reports electronically via electronic | 03.59PM |
| 31 | systems from the incident to State level, and each of | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 491 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | those reports contains a statement of level of | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | incident. So at some point a situation report would | |
| 3 | have been submitted showing its change in level. | |
| 4 | And you gave these situation reports throughout the course | |
| 5 | of Saturday?My planning staff do that. | 04.00PM |
| 6 | So they would be available?Yes, they should be available | |
| 7 | electronically. | |
| 8 | In there we will find, will we not, a reference to the fact | |
| 9 | that it's been reclassified as a Level 3 fire?You | |
| 10 | should. | 04.00PM |
| 11 | Can I glean from what you've said you're not sure whether | |
| 12 | you did it on the basis of your own authority or | |
| 13 | whether it was done at one of the IMT meetings?No, I | |
| 14 | don't have those records. | |
| 15 | Can these records be made available?The situation reports | 04.01PM |
| 16 | can be, yes. | |
| 17 | Can I ask you about paragraph 43. That's where you say you | |
| 18 | start your IC shift at 7 o'clock on Saturday morning. | |
| 19 | In fact in paragraph 42 you say that; correct?Yes, | |
| 20 | that's correct. | 04.01PM |
| 21 | Then in paragraph 43 you say you were given a copy of the | |
| 22 | Incident Shift Plan for the day shift on 8 February | |
| 23 | 2014. Is that correct?That's what my statement | |
| 24 | says, yes. | |
| 25 | Is the statement correct, you were given a copy of that | 04.02PM |
| 26 | plan?I have a copy of a Shift Plan, if I check it. | |
| 27 | I have a copy of a Shift Plan dated - sorry for day | |
| 28 | 8 February, day shift, approved by Peter Lockwood and | |
| 29 | it has his signature on it. I'm not sure at what time | |
| 30 | it came into my possession. | 04.02PM |
| 31 | Is this the one that you say that you were given and refer | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 492 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | to as being given in paragraph 43?That's correct. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | Would I be able to look at that document, please?Yes, | |
| 3 | certainly. That's a copy. I'm not sure where the | |
| 4 | original is. | |
| 5 | MEMBER PETERING: I think it would be helpful for the Board | 04.03PM |
| 6 | to have a look at that too. | |
| 7 | MR RIORDAN: Would it be convenient, is it possible if we | |
| 8 | could have some photocopies made of it? | |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN: You may want to have a look at it first. Are you | |
| 10 | able to tell. | 04.03PM |
| 11 | MR RIORDAN: Are you able to tell me, without me taking any | |
| 12 | further time on it at this point | |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN: Could you move on to another topic while we get | |
| 14 | photocopies taken upstairs. | |
| 15 | MR RIORDAN: Yes. You also refer to the fact in | 04.04PM |
| 16 | paragraph 43 of your statement, the last sentence, "The | |
| 17 | maps forming part of the ISP indicated that the size | |
| 18 | and scope of the fire were consistent with a Level 1 | |
| 19 | fire." Were the maps in that document that I just saw | |
| 20 | or were they separate?My understanding, it was on | 04.04PM |
| 21 | the back of the document. | |
| 22 | So on the back of the document I'll find the maps, will | |
| 23 | I?Yes. | |
| 24 | That, possibly with other things, informed you that the size | |
| 25 | and the scope of the fire were consistent with a | 04.04PM |
| 26 | Level 1 fire; is that correct?That's correct. | |
| 27 | Within the document does it otherwise describe it as a | |
| 28 | Level 1 fire? If you can't remember, say so?The | |
| 29 | various documents have opportunities in various places | |
| 30 | for the level to be defined, only I'd have to go | 04.04PM |
| 31 | through that detail. | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 493 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | So you'd need to check the document to answer my | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | question?That's correct. | |
| 3 | After you took over control of the fire you had an IMT | |
| 4 | assembled?Core IMT. | |
| 5 | And they met by 11 a.m.; is that correct?That's correct. | 04.05PM |
| 6 | I think you say you normally met every two hours but on this | |
| 7 | occasion they met at 11 a.m., 1 p.m., 5 p.m. and | |
| 8 | 7 p.m.; is that correct?That's correct. | |
| 9 | You didn't meet at 3 p.m.?No. | |
| 10 | Was there any reason for that?No need. There were no | 04.05PM |
| 11 | active spreading fires in my area of responsibility at | |
| 12 | the time. I was satisfied that the works that we | |
| 13 | needed to do were happening. | |
| 14 | You say that you'd made an assessment that this was low | |
| 15 | risk, but there was catastrophic consequences from the | 04.06PM |
| 16 | fire, presumably if it escaped and again got out of | |
| 17 | control; is that correct?That's correct. | |
| 18 | And that was because you assessed the fact that it was | |
| 19 | within close range of Morwell and a number of | |
| 20 | significant and important pieces of State | 04.06PM |
| 21 | infrastructure?That's correct. | |
| 22 | Presumably also you took into account that we're talking | |
| 23 | about coal mines here that weren't only an important | |
| 24 | State infrastructure, but they were extremely | |
| 25 | vulnerable to fire?That's correct. | 04.06PM |
| 26 | Was it as a result of making that assessment that you | |
| 27 | decided to reclassify the fire as a Level 3 fire?In | |
| 28 | part; also partly because of the risk to life and | |
| 29 | property. As I say | |
| 30 | In Morwell?And in close proximity to the original fire. | 04.07PM |
| 31 | You say that may have in fact been reclassified at one of | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 494 MR JER Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY M

| 1 | the IMT meetings?That's correct. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | But you can't remember that?No. | |
| 3 | There were four IMT meetings on that day. Do you have notes | |
| 4 | with respect to those four?I believe I answered that | |
| 5 | question before; there are no formal minutes of those | 04.07PM |
| 6 | meetings. | |
| 7 | I didn't ask you that question, I asked you a different | |
| 8 | question. Have you got notes of that meeting?Yes, I | |
| 9 | have my logbook with my notes. | |
| 10 | Can you go to the first of those meetings?Time being? | 04.07PM |
| 11 | 11 a.m. according to your statement?Yes. | |
| 12 | There is a note there, is there, of that meeting?Yes. | |
| 13 | Without asking you from that at the moment, how long is it, | |
| 14 | what percentage of an A4 page or pages?Half a page. | |
| 15 | Do you have another one for 1 p.m.?I do. | 04.08PM |
| 16 | What size?There are only two words associated with that | |
| 17 | meeting. | |
| 18 | What were those two words?One was about accommodation and | |
| 19 | one was nightshift. | |
| 20 | Then you have another note at 5 p.m.?Yes. | 04.08PM |
| 21 | How long is that note?One sentence. | |
| 22 | What does the sentence say?"Resources available from 0800 | |
| 23 | Noojee/Erica." | |
| 24 | Can you tell us what that means?So Noojee and Erica were | |
| 25 | two of the other control centres in the cluster for | 04.09PM |
| 26 | which I was responsible. That's just confirming that | |
| 27 | there will be some resources at those sites the | |
| 28 | following day. | |
| 29 | Available to those sites?That's correct. | |
| 30 | So there's nothing about the Hernes Oak Fire?No. | 04.09PM |
| 31 | Is there a note for 7 p.m.?I have handover notes with | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 495 MR JEREMIAH XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN 1

Stephen Wallis.

2 HIS HONOUR: How long are those notes?---Four lines. Would you read those four lines, please?---"Safety: Working 3 4 under the transmission lines. Safety: Working on 5 freeway. Safety: Working on the railway line. SMEACS 04.09PM situation: Low risk, extreme consequence." 6 7 If I could take you back to the start of your session. Is 8 there any way this Board can be informed as to what resources were being deployed or employed in containing 9 10 the Hernes Oak Fire when you were in charge of the 04.10PM fire?---I don't have evidence. 11 12 Let me ask you a different question then. Do you have any recollection of the resources that were being employed 13 to contain the fire when you took control of the 14 15 fire?---My understanding, that two local CFA Task 04.10PM 16 Forces were assigned and allocated to Hernes Oak Fire 17 on the Saturday morning. They weren't on site to my 18 knowledge at the time I commenced my shift. They did 19 arrive at some time during the morning and, as I said, 20 based on the observations from aircraft we started 04.11PM sourcing additional heavy plant, a local helicopter 21 from Hancock Plantations, and then the other resource 22 23 requests later in the day. 24 When you came on you're not sure there was anything there 25 but you think there were two task forces. What 04.11PM 26 constitutes task force?---I did answer that before; five CFA trucks and a command vehicle. 27 28 So a strike force?---Yes. Sorry, am I confusing task force 29 and - - -30 Are they the same?---No. So a task force can be five mixed 04.11PM 31 units. A strike team is five like units; I should be

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 496 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 using the term "strike team". 2 In fact there were two strike teams, were there, being 3 assigned?---Yes. 4 You've got no note of when they arrived?---No, I don't. 5 And you've got no note of whether they stayed there during 04.12PM the day and whether other appliances or units or strike 6 7 teams joined them, whether aerial or otherwise?---I 8 have notes that the light helicopter from Hancocks Plantations was activated at 1 o'clock. 9 1 p.m.?---Yes, I have notes that an additional heavy dozer 10 04.12PM was sourced and being deployed. I don't have the 11 12 detail of which trucks were online at which time. When you say which trucks, which trucks from the two strike 13 teams were - - -?---That's correct, yes. 14 15 They could have been coming and going throughout the course 04.12PM 16 of the day as far as you know?---That's correct. The 17 CFA could change an entire strike team and I wouldn't 18 necessarily be fully aware of it; I would be monitoring 19 gross resources. 20 What information do you get as the controller of this fire 04.13PM in the course of your 10 or 12 hour shift as to what 21 resources are being employed and to how the fire is 22 23 performing?---The Operations Officer would brief me 24 formally at the Incident Management Team meetings and at other times in between, and based on the 25 04.13PM 26 conversations I had with them and any mapping or other 27 products they would provide me, such as the photo 28 imagery that I see, I would make an assessment of 29 whether or not the resources available and deployed are 30 making progress at the rate that I would want them to 04.13PM

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14

Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

be making so that I could then make a decision about

497

31

| 1 | whether I increase resources or request additional | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | resources. | |
| 3 | And so, the person who really would know what was happening | |
| 4 | on the ground during this day was your Operations | |
| 5 | Manager?That's correct. | 04.14PM |
| 6 | What he does is, he reports to you orally, or he might show | |
| 7 | you photographs, aerial photographs principally I | |
| 8 | presume, of the fire to demonstrate to you how it's | |
| 9 | performing?That's correct. | |
| 10 | For example, would you get any written reports at all during | 04.14PM |
| 11 | the course of the day?No. | |
| 12 | The photographs that you got during the course of the day, | |
| 13 | would they be available?Many of them are, they're | |
| 14 | contained as attachments in emails to the Regional | |
| 15 | Controller or to the Incident Controller. | 04.14PM |
| 16 | So they'd be emails to the Incident Controller, being | |
| 17 | you?So, simplistically, aircraft flying around takes | |
| 18 | photos out the side of the aeroplane, electronically | |
| 19 | downloads them and sends them to a group email. | |
| 20 | That would include you?Yes. | 04.15PM |
| 21 | And so, there'd be email records on the day of much of the | |
| 22 | information with which you were provided?That's | |
| 23 | correct. | |
| 24 | They'd be readily obtainable, wouldn't they?To this | |
| 25 | forum? | 04.15PM |
| 26 | Yes?Yes. | |
| 27 | They could be provided and that will show the fire, how it | |
| 28 | was performing during the course of the day?Yes. | |
| 29 | You give evidence about the models, the Phoenix models that | |
| 30 | were being prepared. How do you receive those?Peter | 04.15PM |
| 31 | McHugh had an extract of it and he was providing me | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 498 MR JEREMIAH XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | with the hard copies which you've seen attached to my | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | statement. | |
| 3 | But somebody presumably prepares these things?That's | |
| 4 | correct. | |
| 5 | Inserts assumptions?That's correct. | 04.16PM |
| 6 | For example, you've identified an assumption is the time of | |
| 7 | the break out of the fire; is that correct?That's | |
| 8 | correct. | |
| 9 | But presumably also it's the end point of the fire which | |
| 10 | it's shown, or modelled at least, must also be | 04.16PM |
| 11 | identified, how long it's to suggest that the run's | |
| 12 | going; is that right?That's correct. | |
| 13 | For example, of the ones that we saw you have produced, do | |
| 14 | you know how long it was that the Phoenix program was | |
| 15 | told to assume the fire would last or progress to get | 04.17PM |
| 16 | to the point which was depicted?No, I don't. | |
| 17 | Who prepares these?Most of them are run by the Fire | |
| 18 | Behaviour Specialist attached to the State Control | |
| 19 | Centre. | |
| 20 | Do you as the Incident Controller request that they | 04.17PM |
| 21 | undertake them, particularly on a busy day like this | |
| 22 | day, in respect of your fire?We can request them. | |
| 23 | Some of them are run automatically under the State | |
| 24 | operating arrangements and provided to us. | |
| 25 | Did you request them this day or were they all given to you | 04.17PM |
| 26 | automatically?My understanding is that Peter McHugh | |
| 27 | requested them and he showed me the product. | |
| 28 | The two that you've produced were not the only ones you've | |
| 29 | said that were produced that day?That's correct. | |
| 30 | In your statement you said that they were late in the day; | 04.18PM |
| 31 | paragraph 53, you say late on Saturday?Yes. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 499 MR JEREMIAH XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

1 "The precise time I cannot recall. 8 February 2014 I was 2 provided with copies of the Phoenix modelling for the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track Fire." Do you know whether 3 4 that would have been after 5 o'clock, do you mean by 5 late on Saturday?---The one I'm referring to in 04.18PM particular is the one that was made available to Nick 6 7 which I've seen earlier today. 8 I'm sorry, in paragraph 53 you're referring to the one that you made available to Nick Demetrios. Is that 9 10 correct?---That's correct. 04.18PM 11 But you don't produce that one as part of your 12 statement?---No, I didn't. Was that a deliberate decision?---No. 13 14 Why do you say that reference at paragraph 53 is a reference 15 to the Phoenix model which you didn't produce rather 04.19PM 16 than the ones that you did produce?---I indicated 17 earlier that much of the documentation associated with 18 the running and the operating of the Incident Control Centre is retained at the Incident Control Centre. 19 The 20 documents I have provided with my statement just happen 04.19PM to be ones that were still in my possession at the time 21 that I generated the statement. There are a number of 22 23 documents that I have not been able to access. 24 Prior to seeing it referred to today or yesterday, when was the last time you'd seen the one that you gave to Nick 25 04.19PM 26 Demetrios?---At the incident. Was it only today when you saw it again that you realised 27 28 that's the one that you thought you got late on 29 Saturday?---I know that's the one I saw late on Saturday, because that's the one that triggered me to 30 04.20PM 31 arrange for people living to the southwest of the

500

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 original fire to move out before the night. 2 When did you receive the other two?---I can't be certain. Did you receive them during the course of Saturday?---That's 3 4 my belief. What is the feature about the one that was sent to Nick 5 04.20PM 6 Demetrios as opposed to the two that you have produced 7 that makes you think that was the one that made you 8 concerned about the residents of Morwell which you suggested might evacuate?---It uses a different trigger 9 time. It was, to my knowledge, run with an escape 10 04.21PM 11 happening in the early hours of the morning under the 12 influence initially of a northeast wind followed by the northwest wind, which is why it has a very different 13 14 shape to the ones that are attached to my statement, 15 and it shows the fire spreading into and around 04.21PM 16 properties and houses in close proximity to the 17 original fire. 18 If the witness might be shown this again, if he could be. 19 If I could ask you to clarify for me, if possibly he 20 could be taken to the 9 a.m. one. To be fair, 04.21PM Mr Jeremiah, you recognise this as the first of the two 21 22 that you did attach to your statement; 23 correct?---That's correct. 24 Does that not also show the fire, in whatever duration, from 25 a 9 a.m. Sunday morning release approaching the western 04.22PM 26 part of Morwell?---Yes, it does. Was there some feature of that one which would be less 27 28 concerning than the other, and do you want to see the 29 other to make a comparison?---No, they all tell me the same message, that both the Yallourn Mine and the 30 04.22PM 31 Morwell Mine and the western edge of Morwell are under

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 501 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | threat. | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | So that one would have concerned you just as | |
| 3 | much?Absolutely. | |
| 4 | Can you remember when you saw that one?No. | |
| 5 | But you can remember you saw the one you sent to | 04.23PM |
| 6 | Mr Demetrios?Yes. | |
| 7 | Would you not have been more concerned if you'd seen that | |
| 8 | one, because that shows the imminent threat of what | |
| 9 | will occur on the following morning if the fire breaks | |
| 10 | its containment lines?No, they all demonstrate | 04.23PM |
| 11 | potential spread of the fire based on different break | |
| 12 | out times, and they all run for a limited duration. | |
| 13 | So, if you allow the fire to spread for a longer amount | |
| 14 | of time, you'll get a different impact zone and they | |
| 15 | all assume no suppression effort. | 04.24PM |
| 16 | But you don't know what the assumptions were for these | |
| 17 | different depictions as to how long the fire had run to | |
| 18 | get to the point where it's depicted?That's correct. | |
| 19 | But my question is a simple one. On Saturday, would you not | |
| 20 | be more concerned by what was being depicted as the | 04.24PM |
| 21 | effect of a break in containment lines at 9 a.m. on | |
| 22 | Sunday morning rather than 1 a.m. on Monday | |
| 23 | morning?I understand the references to 1 a.m. on | |
| 24 | Monday morning. I don't understand them. | |
| 25 | Possibly then if the witness could be shown page 48 of | 04.24PM |
| 26 | Mr Harkins' statement. What break out time - is that | |
| 27 | an expression that is satisfactory? Do you know what I | |
| 28 | mean by break out time?Yes, certainly. | |
| 29 | What break out time did you understand was assumed by this | |
| 30 | model?It was a break out during the middle of the | 04.25PM |
| 31 | night-early hours of Sunday the 9th. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 502 MR JEREMIAH XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR RIORDAN

| 1 | What made you conclude that?That was the advice I was | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | given at the time and it's consistent with the spread | |
| 3 | rate based on the weather forecast at the time, | |
| 4 | spreading initially under the influence of a | |
| 5 | northeasterly wind. | 04.26PM |
| 6 | Did you see the imprint at the top left corner of the | |
| 7 | depiction?I've seen reference to it; I don't | |
| 8 | understand it. | |
| 9 | Plainly, that's not consistent - if that's the break out | |
| 10 | time, then that's not consistent with your | 04.26PM |
| 11 | understanding, is it?I don't understand what that | |
| 12 | time print means. | |
| 13 | Do you notice it says "2/10/2014"?Yes. | |
| 14 | It could be 2 October 2014, couldn't it?Yes. | |
| 15 | But it's more likely, isn't it, that it's a reference to | 04.26PM |
| 16 | 10 February and they're using the American style of | |
| 17 | dating?As I say, I don't understand that time print; | |
| 18 | I don't know whether it's Australian Eastern Standard | |
| 19 | Time or whether it's universal time coordinates; | |
| 20 | there's a number of options it could be. | 04.27PM |
| 21 | I'd better get this much clear: Do you understand that the | |
| 22 | United States often uses a month, day and year rather | |
| 23 | than our day, month and year?Yes, I do. | |
| 24 | Will you not assume that was a reference to 10 February?I | |
| 25 | didn't take note of it. | 04.27PM |
| 26 | Was there anything on this document which indicated to you | |
| 27 | what the break out time of the document was?No. The | |
| 28 | discussion I had with my planning staff was to | |
| 29 | investigate what would happen if a fire broke out | |
| 30 | during the middle of the night, and that's the product | 04.29PM |
| 31 | I was provided with. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 503 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry 1 F

Have you got a note of this request?---No.

2 Have you got the email that you received with this 3 particular depiction?---No. I'm unaware that I got it 4 via an email. I'm assuming that Peter McHugh in 5 carrying out his duties and responsibilities within the 04.29PM Planning Unit and leading the Planning Unit has 6 7 acquired the product and provided it to me. 8 How would he have got it? Could he have prepared it himself without reference to Melbourne?---I don't know. He 9 10 would have been in discussions with Melbourne, he would 04.29PM 11 have had to have obtained some product from Melbourne. 12 The other two that you do produce have got plain dates written on them, don't they?---Yes. 13 You can't explain the difference?---No, I can't. 14 15 You sent this, was it you who sent this on to Nick Demetrios 04.29PM 16 by email? I'm now referring to page 46 of Mr Harkins' 17 statement?---To the best of my knowledge, no, I didn't 18 send it; I had conversations with Nick and with Peter 19 collectively and asked that the information be made 20 available to him. 04.29PM Can I ask about this: Could the witness be shown the two 21 22 pages previously please. If you could turn towards the 23 bottom of that page, it says there, "From ICC 24 Traralgon". Would that have been from your office?---It would have been from the Incident 25 04.29PM 26 Management Team. We have a number of generic logins. 27 That one has the planning section in brackets 28 afterwards. That would indicate to me that it was from 29 Peter or one of his staff. And that was just sent to Mr Demetrios and - sorry, just 30 04.30PM 31 Mr Demetrios is the only recipient; is that

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 504 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | correct?That's what I observe. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | This was on your instruction it should be sent to | |
| 3 | him?Yes. | |
| 4 | Had you given him personally any other models, depictions of | |
| 5 | Phoenix modelling?No, I hadn't. | 04.30PM |
| 6 | I ask you to go back to your statement, please, and ask you | |
| 7 | to have a look at paragraph 106. I ask you to read | |
| 8 | that to yourself again. Were you not intending to | |
| 9 | suggest by that when you said, "Nick Demetrios on | |
| 10 | Saturday the 8th, the Chair of the CGEIG Inc and also a | 04.31PM |
| 11 | member of the REMT, attended the Traralgon IMT and was | |
| 12 | briefed on the potential bushfire threat associated | |
| 13 | with the Hernes Oak-McDonalds Track Fire and was | |
| 14 | provided with copies of the Phoenix predictions which | |
| 15 | clearly showed that should the Hernes Oak-McDonalds | 04.32PM |
| 16 | Track Fire containment break, spotting from that fire | |
| 17 | was likely to occur in the Hazelwood Mine. The Phoenix | |
| 18 | forecast pages for 9 a.m. and 11 a.m. on 9 February are | |
| 19 | provided in Attachment 12." Was that intended to | |
| 20 | communicate that those two were given to him?Yes. | 04.32PM |
| 21 | And were they given to him?To the best of my knowledge. | |
| 22 | When you say "to the best of your knowledge", what do you | |
| 23 | mean by that? Have you got any recollection of giving | |
| 24 | those two to him?I had a conversation with Peter | |
| 25 | McHugh and with Nick; Peter had the source documents | 04.32PM |
| 26 | and my recollection is, I asked Peter to make them | |
| 27 | available to Nick. | |
| 28 | Which ones did you tell him to make available?All of | |
| 29 | them. | |
| 30 | Is that a convenient time? | 04.33PM |
| 31 | CHAIRMAN: I'm concerned about the time that we're going | |
| | $MCA \cdot DU / DM 29 / 05 / 14 505 MD TEDEMTAU YYN$ | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 505 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | over and over. I think I'd prefer you to keep going. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | MR RIORDAN: Sir, I was going to suggest that maybe | |
| 3 | overnight we may be able to get hold of some of these | |
| 4 | records. My concern is that the Board is just not | |
| 5 | being provided with these records. | 04.33PM |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN: The trouble is, you can't guarantee that it's | |
| 7 | going to be short in the morning, that's part of it. | |
| 8 | There's always continuing problems with not being able | |
| 9 | to get material and I'm afraid I need to keep the | |
| 10 | pressure on. I think go as far as you can tonight and | 04.33PM |
| 11 | if there's still a couple of loose ends, we aim to | |
| 12 | spend as little time as possible in the morning on | |
| 13 | patching up the loose ends. | |
| 14 | MR RIORDAN: Do you know how many depictions you had when | |
| 15 | you gave the instruction for them to be given to Nick | 04.34PM |
| 16 | Demetrios?The three we've been speaking about, the | |
| 17 | two I had in my possession when I prepared my statement | |
| 18 | and the other one we prepared today are the three I | |
| 19 | have strong recollections of. | |
| 20 | There may have been others?May have been others. | 04.34PM |
| 21 | When you asked for them to be given to Demetrios, was that | |
| 22 | to be given to them by email?My understanding, yes. | |
| 23 | Nick asked for electronic copies that he could | |
| 24 | distribute. | |
| 25 | I've taken you to the email; it appears that only one was. | 04.34PM |
| 26 | You can't explain that?No, I can't. | |
| 27 | On the email, if I could take you back to it, Mr Demetrios | |
| 28 | seems to be of the view that "this is the latest | |
| 29 | mapping from the Phoenix model at 1 a.m. hours tomorrow | |
| 30 | night. Worst-case scenario this may be the fire | 04.35PM |
| 31 | protection." Was worst-case scenario something you'd | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 506 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | told him was the position?No. My interpretation | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | would be, as I said, the Phoenix model runs assuming no | |
| 3 | suppression. So, it theoretically gives you a | |
| 4 | worst-case scenario, as you can suppress some of the | |
| 5 | fire you would expect to get a smaller footprint, small | 04.35PM |
| 6 | impact area. | |
| 7 | Did these tell you anything more than this fire, if it | |
| 8 | breaks its containment, some unidentified time, | |
| 9 | although it appears that Demetrios had a view of what | |
| 10 | time it was, but if it breaks it, then after an | 04.36PM |
| 11 | undefined period it could reach Morwell or the | |
| 12 | mine?That's correct. | |
| 13 | It doesn't say anything as to the probability of that?No. | |
| 14 | In fact, your view was, that was a very low | |
| 15 | probability?That's correct. | 04.36PM |
| 16 | It was a low risk this would occur?That's correct. | |
| 17 | You only did this because Demetrios asked for it; is that | |
| 18 | right?No. We communicated - we contacted Nick and | |
| 19 | indicated that it was important that he be made aware | |
| 20 | of the information we had suggesting potential impact | 04.37PM |
| 21 | on a number of critical assets, Yallourn and Hazelwood. | |
| 22 | Do you not think it appropriate that you might contact the | |
| 23 | particular mine on the basis of this modelling, your | |
| 24 | concern could be affected?There were many critical | |
| 25 | assets in and around the Latrobe Valley. I used the | 04.37PM |
| 26 | conduit being the Essential Industries Group to make | |
| 27 | that contact. | |
| 28 | Can I suggest to you that there's a major problem with doing | |
| 29 | it in that way and that is that, if you don't contact | |
| 30 | them directly and give them the message, then they | 04.37PM |
| 31 | don't know what the probability of the fire breaking | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 507 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

1 its containment or how long it would take to reach 2 there, both of which are critical features, aren't they, in determining their reaction to it?---Can you 3 4 say that again? 5 Certainly. The critical message you wanted to send, wasn't 04.38PM 6 it, through Demetrios, was to Hazelwood Mine?---No, it 7 was to all essential industries in Latrobe Valley. 8 Well, this fire on this depiction was critically going to threaten the Hazelwood Mine, wasn't it?---And Yallourn. 9 10 To a lesser extent Yallourn, but the northwesterly run was 04.38PM towards Hazelwood?---That's correct. 11 12 And to send that document off without any explanation as to when the containment line was assumed to be broken, for 13 14 how long the fire would have to run before it rose at 15 that point and the possibility of it breaking 04.38PM 16 containment lines, I'm suggesting tells them 17 nothing?---They would have had access to a certain 18 amount of weather information which would have, under 19 their own preparedness plans, activated certain actions 20 within their enterprise. My endeavour was to alert 04.39PM them that, with this fire should it break out the risk 21 22 was higher and they may need to take additional steps. 23 I put it to you, that's because you had sent them this 24 particular depiction which in fact related to Monday when you would have been much better at least to send 25 04.39PM 26 them the one that related to Sunday morning. Do you 27 agree with that?---No, I don't. 28 CHAIRMAN: I don't know that I'm getting much help from this 29 matter being taken further. I accept what you say that 30 there are unanswered questions but I don't know that 04.40PM 31 this witness is capable of answering them.

508

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | MR RIORDAN: I'll move on to another topic, sir. You were | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | asked a question before about the time that the | |
| 3 | Driffield Fire started and I think you say that you're | |
| 4 | unaware of that and unable to assist?My records say | |
| 5 | 2.30, 1430. | 04.40PM |
| 6 | Do you have your records there which says that?Yes. | |
| 7 | Mr Lapsley gave evidence that it was 1337?As I say, to be | |
| 8 | definitive I would refer you to ESTA and the 000 calls. | |
| 9 | I'm just wondering your record. Do you have a record there | |
| 10 | that someone reported to you that it was at 2.30?I | 04.41PM |
| 11 | have a time record at 1415, a reference to the | |
| 12 | Jindivick Fire, potential multiple new ignitions at | |
| 13 | Strzelecki Highway. At 1435 three confirmed. | |
| 14 | And the time of the first entry was?1415. | |
| 15 | 1415, so that was the first you were told, that you recorded | 04.41PM |
| 16 | at least that you were being told about it?At 1415 I | |
| 17 | made a time reference in relation to the Jindivick | |
| 18 | Fire. | |
| 19 | And I thought you added some multiple?Yes, so there's | |
| 20 | some references to potential ignitions, there's no | 04.41PM |
| 21 | timestamp with that, but certainly at 1435 where I've | |
| 22 | written "three confirmed". So, certainly at 1435 | |
| 23 | confirmed three. Somewhere between 1415 and 1435 I've | |
| 24 | made the note that there's a new fire. | |
| 25 | Can I just deal with the question, requests for assistance | 04.42PM |
| 26 | from the CFA would not as a matter of course be | |
| 27 | directed to you, I take it. From outside, from third | |
| 28 | parties, from the mine, the request for assistance from | |
| 29 | the CFA would not be made to you directly?If I was | |
| 30 | the Incident Controller, they should be made to the | 04.42PM |
| 31 | Incident Control team. In terms of a fire wholly | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 509 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | within the mine, independent of this bushfire, if they | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | were seeking additional resources my understanding is, | |
| 3 | they would contact the CFA direct. | |
| 4 | But plainly enough, if the fire within the mine was a direct | |
| 5 | consequence of the bushfire, it's therefore effectively | 04.42PM |
| 6 | part of the bushfire, is it not, forming part of | |
| 7 | bushfire?That's correct. | |
| 8 | And that's the way you treated it?Yes. | |
| 9 | And that's the way you did treat it?Yes. | |
| 10 | And you gave support to the mine as soon as you were able to | 04.43PM |
| 11 | give support to the mine?That's correct. | |
| 12 | You had no doubt from an early time in the afternoon about | |
| 13 | the need to provide support from the CFA to the mine as | |
| 14 | soon as you were able to do so, taking into account the | |
| 15 | proper priorities?That's correct. | 04.43PM |
| 16 | You say at paragraph 41 that there was no request made to | |
| 17 | you for resources or assistance. The point you make | |
| 18 | about that is that they didn't suggest there was any | |
| 19 | separate fire there; is that the point you're | |
| 20 | making?No, this is in relation to preparedness. | 04.44PM |
| 21 | Preparedness?That's correct. | |
| 22 | It's not in relation to the fact that there was a Mine Fire; | |
| 23 | you were aware of that early in the afternoon?That | |
| 24 | statement is about, I alerted them of the risk; they | |
| 25 | didn't come back to me and say, well, in order to help | 04.44PM |
| 26 | prepare for that risk can you provide resources or do | |
| 27 | other work. | |
| 28 | When you say you alerted them of the risk, you told Nick | |
| 29 | Demetrios?That's correct. | |
| 30 | And you now know that he, as far as you're concerned, was a | 04.44PM |
| 31 | source of misinformation by sending them something | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 510 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | suggesting that it wouldn't be until a worst-case | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | scenario until 1 a.m. on Monday; that's not the message | |
| 3 | you intended to be sent, was it?My expectation is, | |
| 4 | the information I provided to Nick he would pass on in | |
| 5 | his role as the Chair of the Industry Group. | 04.44PM |
| 6 | You did not intend him to pass on a message to say that the | |
| 7 | depiction of the worst-case scenario at 1 a.m. on | |
| 8 | Monday?Can you restate that? | |
| 9 | You saw the emails, have you not, that were actually | |
| 10 | sent?Yes. | 04.45PM |
| 11 | And you saw the fact that, rightly or wrongly, leaving that | |
| 12 | question aside for the moment, that Phoenix model was | |
| 13 | depicted as being the position as at 1 a.m. on Monday | |
| 14 | morning, not Sunday morning?Did you not understand | |
| 15 | that from the emails?As I've said before, the | 04.45PM |
| 16 | timestamp on that product, I don't understand. | |
| 17 | Yes, I'm asking you a different question?My objective was | |
| 18 | to have Nick understand the risk of the fire breaking | |
| 19 | out and its potential impact on the major industries | |
| 20 | around the Latrobe Valley. | 04.45PM |
| 21 | And rightly or wrongly, you relied upon him to communicate | |
| 22 | that to the mine?That's correct. | |
| 23 | You say, if that was mis-communicated, that's not your | |
| 24 | responsibility?I delivered the best information I | |
| 25 | could deliver. | 04.46PM |
| 26 | The only other matter, I think paragraph 76 then probably | |
| 27 | goes to the relevant question which I was driving at | |
| 28 | before when you explained what paragraph 41 means, and | |
| 29 | I'm grateful for that. Paragraph 76 was that you were | |
| 30 | aware at an early time that there was fire in the mine, | 04.46PM |
| 31 | but you were unable to divert resources to it at that | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 511 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

| 1 | time because you had priority of threat to life in the | |
|----|---|---------|
| 2 | Morwell township and its environs that you had to | |
| 3 | attend to as a priority; is that correct?And other | |
| 4 | critical assets. | |
| 5 | Sir, that will complete my cross-examination, although our | 04.47PM |
| 6 | request would be for at least the emails or any other | |
| 7 | records to be produced and if we could have a look at | |
| 8 | the logbook. | |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN: I think that's a matter I'll leave you to take up | |
| 10 | with counsel and we'll go from there. | 04.47PM |
| 11 | MR RIORDAN: Of course. | |
| 12 | MR WILSON: If the Board pleases, I had four, only four | |
| 13 | questions, and with a view to releasing this witness | |
| 14 | would the Board indulge me in pursuing that or is it | |
| 15 | best to. | 04.47PM |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN: I'd prefer you to do that, which leaves the | |
| 17 | possibility that the witness may have to come back and | |
| 18 | we'll endeavour to get out of the way everything we can | |
| 19 | at this point. | |
| 20 | MR WILSON: Thank you very much, if the Board pleases. | 04.47PM |
| 21 | < <u>CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR WILSON</u> : | |
| 22 | Mr Jeremiah, to take up the matter that our friend, | |
| 23 | Mr Riordan, pursued with you at some length, two points | |
| 24 | about the Phoenix imaging. How many did you give to | |
| 25 | Mr Demetrios as best you recall and why did you give | 04.47PM |
| 26 | them to him?To the best of my knowledge the three | |
| 27 | products we've seen today were all made available to | |
| 28 | Nick. The reason for making them available to him was | |
| 29 | to elevate his level of awareness of the risk above and | |
| 30 | beyond that which we would have got from just the | 04.48PM |
| 31 | weather forecast. | |
| | | |

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 512 MR JEREMIAH XXN Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry BY MR WILSON

1 Second question: After resources were diverted to fight the 2 Jack River Fire, what was the consequence of that diversion on your ability to deal with the Hernes Oak 3 4 issue?---Dealing with two fires meant we had to spread the resources we had between those two fires. If we'd 5 04.48PM been able to retain more resources on the Hernes Oak 6 7 Fire, potentially we would have been able to achieve a different result, but given the risk to life and 8 property, under the other priorities I had to deal 9 10 with, I had to spread those resources. 04.49PM 11 Third question: You were asked about the reclassification 12 of the Hernes Oak Fire from a Level 1 to a Level 3. If you were to give us a single most pressing reason for 13 14 that reclassification by you, what was it?---The 15 consequences should it escape. 04.49PM 16 Consequences to whom and to what?---To life, property and 17 infrastructure as defined by the Fire Services 18 Commissioner. 19 Last point: You were asked about the Joint Standard 20 Operating Procedure very early in questions today. How 04.49PM would you describe the cooperation between agencies 21 involved in the firefighting effort with which you were 22 23 concerned?---Certainly for both the Incident Management 24 Team I was leading and the Incident Emergency Management Team, I received full cooperation, and it 25 04.49PM 26 operated from my perspective in a relatively seamless 27 way. 28 If the Board pleases, those are the questions of this 29 witness. 30 CHAIRMAN: Do you want to ask any more questions? 04.50PM 31 I don't have any re-examination, subject to MR ROZEN:

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 513 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry

MR JEREMIAH XXN BY MR WILSON

| 1 | anything further that might arise. | |
|----|--|---------|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN: Any matters that may need tidying up is, | |
| 3 | hopefully, to be done without asking Mr Jeremiah to | |
| 4 | return, but you know that there's that | |
| 5 | possibility?Yes. | 04.50PM |
| 6 | Do you want to give an outline of where you now stand, | |
| 7 | Ms Richards? | |
| 8 | MS RICHARDS: I'll do that. So, Mr Jeremiah was the first | |
| 9 | of the Incident Controllers. There are six more | |
| 10 | Incident Controllers, and over the luncheon adjournment | 04.50PM |
| 11 | we considered whether it was necessary to hear in | |
| 12 | person from all of them. At this point I can say that, | |
| 13 | so far as Counsel Assisting are concerned, we don't | |
| 14 | require Mr Bloink to attend to answer questions, we're | |
| 15 | content to just tender his statement. We're reviewing | 04.51PM |
| 16 | whether we can excuse some of the others from attending | |
| 17 | tomorrow. | |
| 18 | The remaining Incident Controllers whose | |

statements we will either tender or hear from tomorrow 19 20 are Costa Katsikis who was a Deputy Incident Controller 04.51PM 21 at the same time as Mr Bloink; Barry Foss, Bob Barry, 22 John Haynes and Ross Sullivan. I will provide a 23 definite message to the State's representatives as soon as we can after we break about which of those we 24 25 require to attend tomorrow, and there's a community 04.51PM 26 witness tomorrow, Doug Steley. So, I'm relatively 27 optimistic that we will complete that evidence by the 28 end of tomorrow.

29 CHAIRMAN: We'll resume at 10 tomorrow morning.

30 < (THE WITNESS WITHDREW).

31 ADJOURNED UNTIL THURSDAY, 29 FEBRUARY 2014

.MCA:RH/DM 28/05/14 514 Hazelwood Mine Fire Inquiry 04.51PM