INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE



If you have accessed this Worksheet via a "link we suggest you save a copy to your PC/LAN under a unique file name. This will prevent you overwriting saved data.

Element Worksheet

Ensure that the "key elements" are entered into the Elements worksheet prior to commencing the Risk Assessment

Summary Worksheet

Insert Area/Item Reviewed, Objectives, Facilitator, Participants in the headings provided prior to the Risk Assessment workshop.

Risk Register Worksheet

The column headings identify the Risk Management process stages.

Additional guide notes "Drop down" whenever the cursor is rolled over the yellow "heading" area.

To start a new line in the same cell (i.e. A carriage return) use ALT+ENTER.

Relevant Risk Management "Risk criteria" may be accessed by tabbing the "Criteria Tables" worksheet tab.

NOTE: Do not "Delete" any Rows or Columns or rename worksheet tabs as this will render the automatic functions inoperable and the data inaccurate. To remove data from cells use the "Clear Contents" command, (by highlighting the data and Rt clicking the mouse). To add a row (i.e. to insert additional risk/s at a later time), enter data in the last empty row. Add the relevant "Reference No" then use the "sort" option (data menu) to reorder the risk/s in ascending order.

Risk Assessment Management Summary



_	_	_	4	in	

Anglesea

Date

10/07/2015

Area/ Item Reviewed

Anglesea Mine Fire Risk Assessment - from Shutdown 31/8/2015

Objectives/Scope

To identify possible ignition sources of a fire in the Anglesea mine (internal or external source) and station <u>following shutdown on 31/8/2015</u>, understand the potential EHS risks associated with each, and to review and determine suitability of the controls and countermeasures to mitigate identified risks. Identify improvement opportunities for implementation where appropriate. This assessment based on an expected target state <u>of ensuring all horizontal coal surfaces are covered</u> by approximately 1m overburden by August 31 but that a section of west wall (approx 850m long by 5 - 10m high) remains uncovered as part of the overall Anglesea shutdown plan.

Team leader
Facilitator
Participants

Chris Rolland

Warren Sharp

Mills, Lisa; Rolland, Chris; Hutton, Bryce; Nixon, Graham; Darrel Linke; Sharp, Warron

Assessment Executive Summary

This risk assessment was undertaken to identify potential causes of fire at the Anglesea mine (and station) following permanent shutdown on 31/8/2015, quantify the risks associated with each, and identify any actions/countermeasures to appropriately manage/mitigate these risks.

- History: Over 46 years of operation Anglesea has only had three open flame coal fire events, each has impacted a very small area, and been extinguished within hours of being detected using Alcoa resources. Sections of the west wall have been open for up to 28 years without any spontaneous combustion events. Ash Wednesday (1983) resulted in several small spot fires on the horizontal surface that were quickly extinguished following the fire front.
- The risk assessment identified 6 potential sources of risk of coal fire ignition (elements)
 The risk assessment for each element did not identify any high risks.
 One medium risk was identified related to Image and Reputation risk (reflective of the team/community heightened sensitivity to this issue following the recent Hazelwood Mine fire).
 All other risks were rated low including for EHS related issues.
- The risk assessment did highlight the need for robust controls to be developed and in place prior 31/8/2015, this included review and updating of various procedures/SWI's, close consultation with various stakeholders (ie CFA), ongoing provision of resources to mitigate an event should it occur, ongoing daily inspection regimes to detect any potential issues or events as early as possible, etc. Key follow up actions and improvements were noted as listed in the Risk Treatment Plans.
- Shutdown planning should include a review and implementation of each of the identified actions.

Expected Benefits & by When

Improved understanding of potential sources of fire risk at the Anglesea mine and station following the permanent shutdown on 31/8/2015, and ensuring appropriate and thorough controls/countermeasures are in place prior to 31/8/2015 reducing fire risks to an acceptable level for Alcoa and the community.

Key Risk Treatment Plans

More detailed plans in the Risk Assessment

Refer to action items as detailed in the Risk Register worksheet

Various

31/8/15

This Review Date

12/6-10/7/15

SPA for improvements

Chris Rolland

Follow Up Date

Weekly to 31/8

Management Approval

Warren Sharp

Bob Barry Witness Statement - Attachment J.xls

Element	Key Element											
Number	,											
1	Coal Fire as result of Bush Fire											
2	Coal Fire as a result of Spontaneous Combustion											
3	Coal Fire as a result of Hot Work activity, Machinery Fire, Smoking, Overhead Electrical Fault	Note: approxi	mately 32ha 4 °	1.3 hectares of	horizontal sur	face exposed of	coal (as of 28/5	5/15) is execpt	ed to be covere	ed by approx 1	m OB by 31/8/	15.
4	Coal Fire as a result of Deliberately Directly lit fire, Direct Lightening Strike	10m high, this	section canno	ot be covered of	lue to its proxi	mity to the cult	ural managem	ent plan bound	on of the west dary (preventin	g earth being p	oushed down f	rom above), t
5	Fire within any area of the plant site including the fenced area and disturbed mine area			/15, and the w mbustion ever		covering the h	orizontal surfa	ice. Note sect	ions of this wal	I have been ex	posed for up t	o 28 years
6	Fire associated with future remedation work											
7	Elt 7	A map showir	ng this area is	attached as Mi	ne Map works	heet.						
8	Elt 8											
9	Elt 9											
10	Elt 10											
11	Elt 11											
12	Elt 12											
13	Eit 13											
14	Elt 14											
15	Elt 15											
16	Elt 16											
17	Elt 17											
18	Elt 18											
19	Elt 19											
20	Elt 20	· ·										

	Risk Assessment																				
Elt	Key Element Ref	Event/Aspect	Cause		Existing	Controls			Consequ	iences		obability Rating			Risk Rating			Adequacy of Controls	Risk Treatment Plans	Person Responsible	Action Date
10				Prevention	Detection	Protection	Mitigation		Impact	areas		Raung			Impact Area	s		of Controls		Responsible	Date
				Designed to prevent event occurring	How do you know an event has	Automatic	Requires people intervention	lealth And safety	Enviror		inanc		ealth	nviror	egula	nage (inanci				
1	Coal Fire as result of Bush Fire 1.00	covered by approx 1m overburden resulting in coal fire(s) on a horizontal coal surface.	external cause within the Heath. This is NOT A CREDIBLE EVENT given that all horizontal coal surfaces will be covered. Not assessed further.	Strategy to ensure All horizontal coal	occurred N/A	N/A	N/A				L.		I	ш	Œ	4	<u>i.</u>	Adequate	Ensure all horizontal coal surfaces are covered by approx 1m OB per the current strategy. Establish future integrity inspection and maintenance procedures for movement/erosion of the cover. Arrange an independent review of the coal covering strategy.	CR	31-Aug
		A bushfire in the Heath that results in spotting/ember attack to coal on the west wall (approx 850m long by 5 - 10m high) resulting in coal fire(s) on a steeply angled coal surface. NOTE: sections of this area exposed for up to 28 years without any events including Ash Wednesday.	external cause within the Heath.	slope, orientation to slikley direction of attack, minimal fines build up due to age	security, onsite Alcoa supervisory resourcesboth to identify event/risk and trigger appropriate response plan including response with local authorities. notification from external parties in advance of bushfire (DELWP, Parks, CFA), inspection after fire front passes		Procedures and SWI's to be in place, Onsite Alcoa supervisory resources to be in place, Equipment to be retained, Resources to operate to be established.			3		D	Low	Low	Low	Medium		Adequate	Review/update CFA PIP, Review/update SWI for Management of Hot Coal and Coal Fires, Review/update OPG024 for bushhfiresfor appropriate response from August 31. Review with CFA. Ensure ongoing community engagement and communicate actual risks, Ensure appropriate equipment retained from 31/8, Ensure resourcing for operation of retained equipment. Review external notification process DELWP/Parks/CFA others is established		31-Aug
	3.00	A controlled burn in the Heath that results in spotting/ember attack to coal on the west wall (approx 850m long by 5 - 10m high) resulting in coal fire(s) on a steeply angled coal surface. NOTE: sections of this area exposed for up to 28 years without any events including Ash Wednesday.	to change in weather conditions	controlled burns are A planned carefully in a advance dependent a on favorable weather conditions	Alcoa is notified in advance by email as part of a notification list of	CFA procedures in place	As above	1	1	3	1	Е	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Adequate	As above plus confirm notification processes and Alcoa contacts with DELWP for controlled burns and also in the evetnt hat a controlled burn becomes uncontrolled.	CR with EJ	31-Aug
2	Coal Fire as a result of Spontaneous Combustion 1.00	Spontaneaous combustion in coal covered by approx 1m overburden. NOTE: only 3 open flame events in 46 years of operating history,	heat, O2 and fuel (ie coal)	all horizontal coal surfaces covered by approx 1m OB by 31/8 (including haul roads, any loose fines), to limit to C2 supply and also preventing exposure to radiant in heat source (ie sun) exposure (TO OCCUR based on history and strategy to cover and that no open fire is expected through 1m OB cover however detection would include: Detection		NOT EXPECTED TO OCCUR based on history and strategy to cover however mitigation would include: Procedures and SWI's to be in place, Onsite Alcoa supervisory resources to be in place, Equipment to be retained, Resources to operate to be established.		1	1	1	E	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Adequate	As per risk items 1.1 and 1.2 above		
	2.00	Spontaneaous Combustion in coal on the west wall (approx 850m long by 5 - 10m high) NOTE: sections of this area exposed for up to 28 years without any events		daily inspection tregime from onsite resources to be established	via progression through phases of		Procedures and SWI's to be in place, Onsite Alcoa supervisory resources to be in place, Equipment to be retained, Resources to operate to be established.		1	1 2	1	Е	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Adequate	As per risk items 1.1 and 1.2 above		

	Risk Assessn	nent																			
Elt ID	Key Element	Ref	Event/Aspect	Cause		Existing	g Controls		Con	sequence	es	Probability Rating		F	Risk Rating			Adequacy of Controls	Risk Treatment Plans	Person Responsible	Action Date
					Prevention Designed to prevent	Detection How do you know	Protection	Mitigation Requires people	Imp	act areas	, ic		£	Įn	npact Areas	•	ic				
					event occurring	an event has occurred	Automatic	intervention	Healt And safet Envi	Regu	lmag Fina		Healt	Envi	Regu	lmag	Fina				
	Coal Fire as a result of Hot Work activity, Machinery Fire, Smoking, Overhead Electrical Fault		work (welding, cutting, grinding), OR a machine catches fire OR a discarded cigarette OR electrical fault on internal overhead power lines that results in a coal fire on coal <u>covered by approx 1m</u>	Machinery fire from oil on hot engine parts, inadequate machine maintenance or housekeeping, fault condition OR Non compliance with Anglesea's non smoking policy within the mine area and disposal of a still lit cigarette or butt OR East and West 3.3kV overhead lines electrical fault. This is NOT A CREDIBLE EVENT	all horizontal coal surfaces covered by approx 1m OB by 31/8 (including haul roads, any loose fines), preventing hot work, machinery fire, cigarette, or electrical fault	N/A	N/A	N/A										Adequate	As per risk item 1.1 above		
	Coal Fire as a result of Deliberately Directly lit fire, Direct Lightening Strike		exposed coal OR Lightening Strike	permitting), storm, lightening storm with or without rain	slope, minimal fines build up due to age, Ongoing 24/7 site security to deter / detect unauthorised access.	through phases of steamy, smoky and then fire gives time	physically difficult to access without machinery, and	Procedures and SWI's to be in place, Onsite Alcoa supervisory resources to be in place, Equipment to be retained, Resources to be operate to be established.		1	2 1	E	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Adequate	As per risk item 2.2 above		
	Fire within any area of the plant site including the enced area and disturbed mine area		Fire within any area of the plant site not directly related to coal within the mine	Hot Work activity, Machinery fire, Smoking, Overhead line electrical fault, Deliberately lit, Lightening,	supervisory resources, hot work procedures, Machinery maintenance and operation procedures, non smoking policy, Electrical protection in place, Maint PM line inspections (check freq),	external parties in advance of bushfire (DELWP, Parks, CFA), Ongoing 24/7 security, onsite Alcoa supervisory resources both to identify and trigger appropriate response plan including response with local authorities. Operators in attedance at hot work/machinery, Electrical system protection	N/A	Procedures and SWI's to be in place, Onsite Alcoa supervisory resources to be in place, Equipment to be retained, Resources to operate to be established. Including response plan to be reviewed / developed with local CFA and security.		1	2 1	D	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Adequate	Ensure review/update of the following procedures includes review wrt fire in any area within the plant site: Ang Emergency Plan, Review/update CFA PIP, Review/update OPG024 for bushhfiresparticularly for appropriate response from August 31. Review with CFA. Ensure ongoing community engagement and communicate actual risks, Ensure appropriate equipment retained from 31/8, Ensure resourcing for operation of retained equipment. Review external notification process DELWP/Parks/CFA others established. Review vegetation management practices, review electrical protection monitoring regime/notification process	ВН	31-Aug
6	Fire associated with future remedation work		Fire associated with any aspect of future remediation work	remediation activities commencing.	above PLUS any items identified out of review with APM	above PLUS any items identified out of review with APM	above PLUS any items identified out of review with APM	above PLUS any items identified out		1	2 1	D	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Adequate	Expected to be as per risk item 5.1 above PLUS any items identified out of review with APM Group. Note: future risk iexfected to be low with anticipated controls expected to be adequate, but to be assessed at appropriate time in the future.	ws	TBD

		Risk Assessment																					
	Elt ID	Key Element Ref		Event/Aspect	Cause		Existing	Controls			Conse	quences	Pro	obability Rating		1	Risk Rating			Adequacy of Controls	Risk Treatment Plans	Person Responsible	Action Date
						Prevention	Detection	Protection	Mitigation		Impa	ct areas				Ir	mpact Areas						
						Designed to prevent event occurring	How do you know an event has occurred	Automatic	Requires people intervention	And		Regula	Financi		Health	Enviror	Regula	Image (Financi				
\vdash	-		-								+												
Н	\vdash		+								+												
											\vdash												4
\vdash	-		_								\vdash												4
\vdash	_		+								+												
	\neg																						
\vdash	_		1																				
\vdash	-		+								\vdash												
\vdash	_																						
\vdash	_		+																				

Risk Criteria

Rating	1110110			Cons	equences						Probability of Event	•	
raung				Johns	equences				Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
									E	D	С	В	A
	Health & Safety	Environment	Regulatory	Image and	Finacial Impacts	Facility Integrity	Project Performance	Employees	Very unlikely to occur	Not impossible, more likely not to occur than to occur.	As likely to occur as not to occur.	More likely to occur than not to occur.	Expected to occur
				Reputation					Probability less than 0.04	Probability 0.04 - 0.1	Probability 0.1 - 0.5	Probability 0.5 - 0.8	Probability over 0.8
									No experience of this occurring in the broader worldwide industry but is theoretically possible	A similar outcome has arisen at some time previously in Alcoa operations worldwide or broader industry	A similar outcome has arisen at some time previously in local operations	A similar outcome has arisen several times per year in Alcoa operations worldwide or broader industry	A similar outcome has arisen several times per yea in the local operations
5 Catastrop hic	Single fatality of staff, contractor or the public	Long term environmental damage (5 years or longer), requiring >\$5M to study or correct or in penalties	Regulatory intervention and prosecution possible	Damage to corporate reputation at international level; raised in international media; major loss of political, shareholder or community support	Direct loss or increased cost >\$20M; estimating error or capital loss >\$20M; fraud > \$1M		Time-critical project misses major milestone or deadline > 6 months Failure to achieve critical system, asset or performance goals	A large number of senior managers or experienced employees leave the company				Extreme	
4 Major	Serious injury or occupational illness (non-recoverable) or permanent major disabilities (acute or chronic)	Medium-term (1-5 yr) environmental damage, requiring \$1-5M to study or correct	Breach of licenses, legislation, regulation or corporate-mandated standards	Damage to corporate reputation at national level; raised in national media; significant decrease in political, shareholder or community support	Direct loss or increased cost of \$5–20M; estimating error or capital loss of \$5–20M; fraud \$0.5-1M	Failure to achieve some system, asset, integrity or condition targets Failure to achieve some performance targets	Time-critical project misses major milestone or deadline by 3-6 months Failure to achieve some performance targets	Some senior managers or experienced employees leave High turnover of experienced employees Company not perceived as an employer of choice				High	
3 Moderate	Loss time or restricted injury or occupational illness (recoverable)	Short-term (<1 yr) environmental damage, requiring up to \$1M to correct	Breach of standards, guidelines or impending legislation, or subject raised as a corporate concern through audit findings or voluntary agreements	Adverse news in state or regional media; decrease in political, shareholder or community support	Direct loss or increased cost of \$1–5M; estimating error or capital loss of \$1–5M; fraud \$0.25-0.5M	Some reduction in system, asset, integrity or condition Some reduction in performance	Time-critical project misses major milestone or deadline by 1-3 months Some reduction in performance	Poor reputation as an employer Widespread employee attitude problems High employee turnover		Medium			
2 Minor	Medical treatment or first aid injury; no lost time or occupational illness	Environmental damage, requiring up to \$0.25M to study or correct	Breach of internal procedures or guidelines	Adverse news in local media; concerns on performance raised by shareholders, government or the community	Direct loss or increased cost of \$0.25–1M; estimating core or capital loss of \$0.25–1M; fraud \$0.1-0.25M	Minor system, asset, integrity or condition degradation Minor performance degradation	Time-critical project misses major milestone or deadline by <1 month Minor performance degradation	General employee morale and attitude problems Increase in employee turnover		Low			
1 Insignifica nt	No injury or occupational illness.	Negligible environmental impact, managed within operating budgets	No breach of licenses, standards, guidelines or related audit findings	Reference to community consultation group: public awareness may exist, but there is no public concern	Direct loss or increased cost below \$250,000 Negligible estimating error or capital lossNegligible fraud	Negligible system, asset, integrity or condition impact Negligible performance impact	Negligible milestone or deadline delay Negligible performance impact	Negligible or isolated employee dissatisfaction					

Risk Level:-

Extreme Action plans must have single point accountability at top or executive management level

High Action plans must have single point accountability at senior or location management level

Medium Action plans must have Single Point Accountability at Operating Centre or project management level

Low No action plan required

Bob Barry Witness Statement - Attachment J.xls

Risk	Matrix -Qualitative		Probability											
Co	onsequence	Rare E	Unlikely D	Possible C	Likely B	Almost Certain A								
5	Catastrophic	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme	Extreme								
4	Major	Low	Medium	High	High	Extreme								
3	Moderate	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High								
2	Minor	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium								
1	Insignificant	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium								
	Risk Matrix - mi Quantitative	Probability												
Co	onsequence	Rare E	Unlikely D	Possible C	Likely B	Almost Certain A								
5	Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25								
4	Major	4	8	12	16	20								
3	Moderate	3	6	9	12	15								
2	Minor	2	4	6	8	10								
1	Insignificant	1	2	3	4	5								



